

On the Backreaction of Dirac Matter in JT Gravity and SYK Model

Chen-Te Ma (GBU)

Pak Hang Chris Lau (Osaka U.), Jeff Murugan (Cape Town U.), and
Masaki Tezuka (Kyoto U.)

Phys.Lett.B 853 (2024) 138702

July 10, 2024

JT Gravity and SYK Model

JT Gravity [Teitelboim 1983] [Jackiw 1985]

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{|\det g_{\mu\nu}|} \phi(R - 2\Lambda) \\ & -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int du \sqrt{|\det h_{uu}|} \phi K \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

SYK Model

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq N} j_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \psi_{i_3} \psi_{i_4} \quad (2)$$

JT Gravity and SYK Model

JT Gravity [Teitelboim 1983] [Jackiw 1985]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{|\det g_{\mu\nu}|} \phi (R - 2\Lambda) \\
 & -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int du \sqrt{|\det h_{uu}|} \phi K
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

SYK Model

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq N} j_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \psi_{i_3} \psi_{i_4} \tag{2}$$

- **solvable** in strong coupling limit ($N \gg \beta J \gg 1$)
- **maximally** chaotic (Quantum Chaos)
- emergent **conformal symmetry** (Emergence)

Information Loss

von Neumann Algebra [Leutheusser, Liu 2021] [Witten 2021]

- I: algebra of all bounded operators (finite N)
- II: loss of **pure states** (large N expansion to all orders);
II₁: **allowance** of maximally mixed state
II_∞: **no** maximally mixed state
- III: loss of **pure state, density matrix, and trace operations**
($N \rightarrow \infty$ or large N expansion up to some orders)

SYK Model and Backreaction

- Extension of **SYK Model**

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq N} (g_{i_1 i_2 j} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \Psi_j^\dagger + g_{i_1 i_2 j}^* \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \Psi_j), \quad (3)$$

where the distribution is

$$\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq N} g_{i_1 i_2 j}^* g_{i_1 i_2 j} \frac{N}{2g^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

- Dirac fermion Matter (from the extension)

$$S_{\text{DF}} = \frac{\lambda}{32\pi G_2 \tilde{M}} \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{M}} \int d^2x \sqrt{|\det g_{\rho\sigma}|} \bar{\Psi}_k \bar{\gamma}^\mu \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \Psi_k, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{M} = M/2$

Maximally Mixed State

- simulations suggest EE with a fixed initial state, $|0 \cdots 0\rangle$ by tracing over the **matter sector**:
 - (i) first **increases** and then **saturates**
 - (ii) saturates to the **maximally** allowed value of $M \ln 2$ in the **large- N** limit
 - (iii) saturation time is **finite** in the **large- N** limit

Maximally Mixed State

- simulations suggest EE with a fixed initial state, $|0 \cdots 0\rangle$ by tracing over the **matter sector**:
 - (i) first **increases** and then **saturates**
 - (ii) saturates to the **maximally** allowed value of $M \ln 2$ in the **large- N** limit
 - (iii) saturation time is **finite** in the **large- N** limit
- state is well approximated by one that is maximally entangled

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^M}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^M} |j_M\rangle \otimes |j_D\rangle. \quad (6)$$

when reaching the **saturation** regime in the large- N limit

Symmetry

- Hamiltonian do **not** commute with the particle-hole operator

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv K \prod_{j=1}^{T_d} (c_j + c_j^\dagger), \quad (7)$$

where $T_d \equiv N/2 + M$, and K is an anti-linear operator

Symmetry

- Hamiltonian do **not** commute with the particle-hole operator

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv K \prod_{j=1}^{T_d} (c_j + c_j^\dagger), \quad (7)$$

where $T_d \equiv N/2 + M$, and K is an anti-linear operator

- charge-conjugation operators:

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv K \prod_{j=1}^{T_d} \gamma_{2j-1}; \quad \mathcal{R} \equiv K \prod_{j=1}^{T_d} i\gamma_{2j}, \quad (8)$$

where $T_d \equiv N/2 + M$, **commute** with the Hamiltonian

Random Matrix

- N/M is **large enough**:
 - $T_d \bmod 4 = 0, (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{R}^2) = (1, 1)$, **no** degeneracy, **GOE**;
 - $T_d \bmod 4 = 1, (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{R}^2) = (1, -1)$, **no** degeneracy, **GUE**;
 - $T_d \bmod 4 = 2, (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{R}^2) = (-1, -1)$, **twofold** degeneracy, **GSE**;
 - $T_d \bmod 4 = 3, (\mathcal{P}^2, \mathcal{R}^2) = (-1, 1)$, **no** degeneracy, **GUE**,

Thank you!