# Theory Challenges in QCD predictions



Quy Nhon August 6-12, 2023

Laura Reina Florida State University



# A big year for QCD

QCD was developed and defined over a brief period from 1972 - 73

#### The **asymptotic freedom** of strong interactions was discovered in 1973 by **D. Gross, F. Wilczek, and D. Politzer – Nobel Prize in 2004**

A paradigmatic QFT that can be calculated from first principles  $\rightarrow$  Lattice QCD

#### arxiv > hep-ph > arXiv:2212.11107

**High Energy Physics – Phenomenology** 

[Submitted on 21 Dec 2022 (v1), last revised 26 Dec 2022 (this version, v2)]

#### 50 Years of Quantum Chromodynamics

Franz Gross, Eberhard Klempt, Stanley J. Brodsky, Andrzej J. Bu Meyer, Kostas Orginos, Michael Strickland, Johanna Stachel, Git Britzger, Simon Capstick, Tom Cohen, Volker Crede, Martha Co Carleton DeTar, Alexandre Deur, Yuri Dokshitzer, Hans Günter A. Escobedo, Harald Fritzsch, Kenji Fukushima, Paolo Gambino Grazzini, Boris Grube, Alexey Guskov, Toru lijima, Xiangdong J Shunzo Kumano, Derek Leinweber, Heinrich Leutwyler, Hai-Bo Simone Marzani, Wally Melnitchouk, Johan Messchendorp, Han Sebastian Neubert, Marco Pappagallo, Saori Pastore, José R. Pel Ramos, Patrizia Rossi, Anar Rustamov, Andreas Schäfer, Stefan Edward Shuryak, Torbjörn Sjöstrand, George Sterman, Iain W. S Thoma, Antonio Vairo, Danny van Dyk, James Vary, Javier Virto Christopher Young, Feng Yuan, Xingbo Zhao, Xiaorong Zhou



Very different energy regimes, over multiple orders of magnitude, spanning the full spectrum of particle physics experiments

#### 50 Years of QCD

Search.

Help | Advanced



O REGISTER https://indico.cern.ch/event/1276932/

#### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Michalis Bachtis (UCLA)

Igor Klebanov (Princeton)

Zhongbo Kang (UCLA, Chair)

George Sterman (Stony Brook)

Aida El-Khadra (UIUC)

Iain Stewart (MIT)

#### LOCAL CONTACT

Zvi Bern Zhongbo Kang

UCLA Mani L. Bhaumik Institute for Theoretical Physics

## Goals of QCD studies- 2023

- Understanding strong interactions per se (in different regimes of energy, density, ...)
  - pQCD parton dynamics in HE-collider events See talk by Schwartz
  - non-perturbative QCD PDFs, hadronization, new states of matter, ...
  - QCD phase transition early-universe dynamics, ...
  - Global symmetries of QCD flavor, spectroscopy, ...
  - Strong CP problem axions, ...

See talks by Grosse-Oetringhaus, Mohamty

See talk by Silvestrini

See talks by Petrov, Schune, Ozcelik, Kim, Bianchi, Pepe, Karliner, Polyakov

- Understanding the impact of strong interactions on anything else
  - Other sectors of the Standard Model
    - SM masses and couplings

See talks by d'Enterria, Liao

> The least known of all: Higgs – EWSB

See talks by Mühlleitner, Liu, di Micco

- New physics searches both direct and indirect
  - > SM backgrounds
  - Precision EW physics Global fits of the SM and beyond

# The (HL)-LHC and precision phenomenology

• Percent level phenomenology as the opportunity to study some of the core questions of particle physics and uncover new physics. The physics potential of the LHC greatly depends on enabling and successfully executing a broad precision phenomenology program.

# Living the LHC era- Precision phenomenology



13.6 - 14 TeV

5 to 7.5 x nominal L

PHYSICS

3000 fb 4000 fb

EYETS

450 fb<sup>-1</sup>

HL-LHC

ATLAS - CMS

INSTALLATION & COMM.

13.6 TeV

2 x nominal Lumi

CONSTRUCTION

BUILDING

EYETS

cryalimit interaction regions

2 x nominal Lumi

190 fb<sup>-1</sup>

PROTOTYPE

LIU Installation

ATLAS - CMS upgrade phase 1

ALICE - LHCE

13 TeV

HL-LHC CIVIL ENGINEERING

beam pipes

30 fb<sup>-1</sup>

DESIGN STUD

**Statistical limitations will be overcome** for a very large number of observables

Focus on systematics!

#### Theoretical systematics could become the main limitation

### Establishing the scalar sector of the SM and probing $\Lambda_{\rm NP}$



Theory need to improve modeling and interpretation of LHC events, in particular when new physics may not be a simple rescaling of SM interactions



# QCD for percent-level phenomenology

• A realm where mathematical progress and phenomenological studies and intuition are strongly intertwined and have brought so much progress, paving the way to tackling future challenges.



#### Dissecting the challenge From S. Ferrario Ravasio, **RADCOR 2023** Hadronization 000 Fixed-order calculations Beam Parton shower 00000 00000 Hard Scattering Beam

 $\sigma = \sum_{ij} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{p,i}(x_1) f_{p,j}(x_2) \hat{\sigma}(x_1 x_2 s) + O((\Lambda_{QCD}/Q)^p)$ 

Parton Distribution Functions (PDF) hard-scattering ) partonic xsec (pQCD) Hadronization, non-p QCD

# QCD predictions: N<sup>X</sup>LO state of the art



## Higgs production via gg fusion at N<sup>3</sup>LO



#### A strong case to demonstrate the need for higher-order QCD

- The leading Higgs production mode
- A benchmark test of QCD, including H+j production
- An excellent testing ground to probe theoretical accuracy



#### ... crucial to map residual uncertainties





4-loop splitting functions (low moments) – Moch, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren, Vogt, 2111.15561
DY@N3LO QCD – Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2001.07717, 2007.13313

## DY at $N^3LO$ – input to PDF fits and $M_W$ measurement

#### **NC-DY**



Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2001.07717

- Scale dependence: non-uniform behavior in all Q-regions
- Important input for PDFs (not yet included)
- Region around Q~M<sub>w</sub>: reconsider how to estimate
   theoretical uncertainty from scale variation



CC-DY



Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2007.13313

### DY at N<sup>3</sup>LO – dedicated PDF study



Baglio, Duhr, Mistlberger, Szafron, 2209.06138 (n3loxs – public numerical code)

Different patterns observed in CC vs NC cannot be ignored for precision measurements, since the introduced bias can be sizable at percent level.

#### DY at N<sup>3</sup>LO+N<sup>3</sup>LL – differential



#### VH at N<sup>3</sup>LO, first complete calculation

Same color structure as DY, same characteristic behavior, same lesson learnt in assessing theoretical uncertainties



#### NNLO for $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes

- Several recent results for pp → γγγ, γγj, γjj, jjj
   Chawdry, Czakon, Mitov, Poncelet; Kallweit, Sotnikov, Wiesemann; Badger, Gerhmann, Marcoli, Moodie;
- Most recently first NNLO results for multi-scale processes: bbW,  $t\bar{t}W$ ,  $t\bar{t}H$

1 massive final-state particle (b massless)

Major impact on LHC phenomenology

Hartanto, Poncelet, Popescu, Zoia 2205.01687 3 massive final-state particles

Buonocore, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Rotoli, Savoini , 2306.16311 Catani, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Savoini , 2210.07846

Major bottle neck: 2-loop 5-point amplitudes Evaluated in  $t\bar{t}W, t\bar{t}H$  calculation by soft-W/H approximation Several groups working at providing exact 2-loop amplitudes

#### Buonocore et al., 2306.16311

# $t\bar{t}W$ and $t\bar{t}H$ at NNLO





 $450 \quad 500 \quad 550 \quad 600 \quad 650 \quad 700 \quad 750$ 

ר מיז

## NLO: push the multiplicity challenge

Beyond on-shell production to match fiducial measurements



## PDF – first approximate N<sup>3</sup>LO sets



 $aN^{3}LO \rightarrow MSHT20aN^{3}LO$ 

McGowan, Cridge, Harland-Lang, Thorne, 2207.04739

- Based on N<sup>3</sup>LO approximation to structure functions and DGLAP evolution
- Making use of all available knowledge to constrain PDF parametrization, including both exact, resummed, and approximate estimates of N<sup>3</sup>LO results
- Including PDF uncertainty from missing higher-orders (MHOU) as theoretical uncertainty in the fit
- Gluon fusion to H: the increase in the cross section prediction at N<sup>3</sup>LO is compensated by the N<sup>3</sup>LO PDF, suggesting a cancellation between terms in the PDF and cross section theory at N<sup>3</sup>LO → matching orders matters!
- Vector Boson Fusion: no relevant change in going from N<sup>2</sup>LO to N<sup>3</sup>LO PDF, due to different partonic channel involved.

### Parton-shower event generators



#### It's time for better Parton Showers!

Slide from G. Salam

Crucial ingredient to reproduce the complexity of collider events

Often unknown or with poor formal accuracy (built in approx., tunings, etc.)



Standard PS are Leading Logarithmic (LL) → becoming a limitation
 Several groups aiming for NLL hadron-collider PS
 Nagy&Soper, PanScales, Holguin- Forshaw-Platzer, Herren-Höche-Krauss- Reichelt



#### More challenges: non-perturbative effects $O((\Lambda_{OCD}/Q)^p)$

Estimate of "p" for all relevant processes crucial to LHC precision program

A few tens GeV < Q < a few hundreds GeV  $\rightarrow (\Lambda_{QCD}/Q)^p \sim (0.01)^p - (0.001)^p$ 

Perturbative predictions at percent level will have to be supplemented with nonperturbative effects if p = 1 for a particular process or observable.

No general theory. Direct calculations have shown that there are no linear non-pert power corrections in:

Z transverse-momentum distributions

Ferrario Ravasio, Limatola, Nason, 2011.14114



Observables that are inclusive with respect to QCD radiation

Caola, Ferrario Ravasio, Limatola, Melnikov, Nason, 2108.08897, same+Ozcelik 2204.02247

### Summary and Outlook

- > QCD: a mature theory that still offers plenty of conceptual challenges
- > In this talk we have mainly focused on aspects of QCD predictions for collider physics
- Understanding the multiple components of QCD predictions becomes crucial to interpret precision measurements as well as direct searches of new physics. Of course, QCD+EW corrections will be part of the balance (not covered in this talk).
- > Now accessible high-precision measurements pose a serious challenge to theoretical predictions
- Theoretical development during the last few years have deeply changed traditional approaches to QCD calculations and given results that were unimaginable only a decade ago, giving us confidence that challenges can be met.
- Interpreting the complexity of LHC events at with HL-LHC precision will be challenging and will require diversity of approaches.