

### New results on $t\bar{t}W$ and $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production with the **ATLAS** experiment

Windows on the Universe, Quy Nhon

**09 August 2023** 



Sreelakshmi Sindhu II Physics Institute, University of Goettingen On behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

## Why ttW and tttt?

- $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ : Very rare process, was not observed yet
- $t\bar{t}W$ : prediction has been consistently below observation in ATLAS and CMS measurements
- Important to measure rare top processes at high precision to identify any discrepancies in SM

Interdependence between rare top processes in multi lepton channel



**Arrows denote dominant backgrounds** 

Sreelakshmi Sindhu





# New *ttW* measurement







### Overview

- ATLAS and CMS consistently measured 20-50% excess in comparison to SM NLO theory prediction
- Main background in  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  and many  $t\bar{t}H$  decay channels
- Very challenging process with complex NLO QCD and electroweak contributions
- Theory predictions
  - $\sigma(t\bar{t}W) = 722 \pm 7$  fb <u>JHEP11(2021)029</u> (NLO with FxFx merging)
  - $\sigma(t\bar{t}W) = 745.3 \pm 6.9$  fb <u>arXiv:2306.16311</u> (NNLO)
- Mis-modelling observed previously -> First differential measurement

Sreelakshmi Sindhu



 $Z/\gamma$ 

LO3

NLO3

O NLO



LOCD

uus-

a-us

 $\alpha \alpha_s^3 \alpha^2 \alpha_s^2 \alpha^3 \alpha_s \alpha^4$ 





## Signal regions

- Using multi lepton final state
  - 2 Same Sign leptons or 3 leptons
- ≥2 jets
- ≥1b-jet 60% or ≥2b-jets 77%
- Remove dominant  $t\bar{t}Z$  background by excluding OSSF and 3L pair with mass in Z peak
- For inclusive measurement, events are classified into 56 Signal regions, based on:
  - the number of jets (2/3, 4, 5+), b-jets(1, 2+) $\bullet$ and leptons (2,3)
  - total charge of leptons(+1,-1)  $\bullet$
  - flavour( $e/\mu$ ).

Sreelakshmi Sindhu





#### Main backgrounds

- Diboson,  $t\overline{t}Z, t\overline{t}H$
- fake/non-prompt leptons mainly from  $t\bar{t}$  production, charge misID (electron)



## **Control regions**

- Dedicated control regions for  $t\bar{t}Z$  and Dibosons  $\bullet$
- $\bullet$
- $\bullet$



#### **Fake leptons**



### **Results - inclusive**



Sreelakshmi Sindhu







## **Results - charge asymmetry**

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}W^+)$  $\frac{2}{5} = 1.95 \pm 0.21$ (stat)  $\pm 0.16$ (syst)  $\overline{\sigma(t\bar{t}W^{-})}$ 



Sreelakshmi Sindhu





$$A_c^{rel} = \frac{\sigma(t\bar{t}W^+) - \sigma(t\bar{t}W^-)}{\sigma(t\bar{t}W^+) + \sigma(t\bar{t}W^-)}$$

 $A_C^{rel} = 0.32 \pm 0.05(stat) \pm 0.03(syst)$ 

#### **Good agreement with prediction**



### **Results - Differential**

- Consistent with the results from the inclusive measurement
- Unfolded data shows good agreement with all MC in shape



**Absolute Cross-section** 

Sreelakshmi Sindhu



# • First differential measurement of $t\bar{t}W$ for 7 observables using profile likelihood unfolding



# tttt production

Sreelakshmi Sindhu





### Overview

- Extremely rare and heavy final state, first observation in this paper
- Predicted:
  - $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12.0 \pm 2.4$  fb <u>JHEP 02 (2018) 031</u> (NLO QCD)
  - $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 13.4^{+1.0}_{-1.8}$  fb <u>arXiv:2212.03259</u> (NLO+NLL')
- Good candidate for BSM studies  $-> t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  cross-section enhanced
- Sensitive to top-Yukawa coupling
- Sensitive to four-fermion coupling and Higgs oblique parameter















### Overview

#### Evidence for the production of $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ was seen by both ATLAS and CMS

#### **Improvements** in this analysis:

- Includes lower  $p_T$  leptons and jets —>  $\bullet$ increase acceptance
- New improved B-tagging algorithm
- Data driven estimate for the dominant ttW $\bullet$ background
- Graph Neural Network (GNN) to separate lacksquaresignal and background
- Improved treatment of  $t\bar{t}t$  $\bullet$
- Updated MC simulation and luminosity calibration

Sreelakshmi Sindhu



	ATLAS+CMS Preliminary		Run 2, <b>√</b> s = 13 TeV, N	November 202
	$\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} = 12.0^{+2.2}_{-2.5}$ (scale) fb JHEP 02 (2018) 031 NLO QCD+EW		tot. stat.	
			$\sigma_{\pi\pi} \pm \text{tot.} (\text{stat.} \pm \text{syst.})$	Obs. (Exp.) Si
$\triangleleft$	ATLAS, 2LSS/3L, 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> EPJC 80 (2020) 1085	₩	24 <sup>+7</sup> <sub>-6</sub> (5 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-4</sub> ) fb	4.3 (2.4) c
	ATLAS, 1L/2LOS, 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> JHEP 11 (2021) 118		■ 26 <sup>+17</sup> <sub>-15</sub> (8 <sup>+15</sup> <sub>-13</sub> ) fb	1.9 (1.0) c
	ATLAS, comb., 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> JHEP 11 (2021) 118	┠┼╌┯╌┼╌┫	24 <sup>+7</sup> <sub>-6</sub> (4 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-4</sub> ) fb	<b>4.7 (2.6)</b> d
	CMS, 2LSS/3L, 137 fb <sup>-1</sup> EPJC 80 (2020) 75	<b></b> 1	12.6 <sup>+5.8</sup> <sub>-5.2</sub> fb	2.6 (2.7) c
	CMS, 1L/2LOS, 35.8 fb <sup>-1</sup> JHEP 11 (2019) 082		0 <sup>+20</sup> fb	0.0 (0.4) c
	CMS, 1L/2LOS/all-had, 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> CMS-PAS-TOP-21-005 *	F	→ 38 <sup>+13</sup> fb	3.7 (1.5) o
$\triangleleft$	CMS, comb., 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> CMS-PAS-TOP-21-005 *	┝╾┯╌┥	17 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-5</sub> fb	<b>3.9 (3.2)</b> d
	*Preliminary			
	0	20 40	$\sigma_{t\tilde{t}t\tilde{t}}$ (fb)	100





### Signal selection





## Handling *ttW* background

- $t\bar{t}W$  background modelling has large uncertainties, lacksquareestimated using data in jet multiplicity bins
- 4 dedicated control regions for  $t\bar{t}W$  to extract 4 factors,  $a_0, a_1, t\bar{t}W^+, t\bar{t}W^-$
- Scale factors  $a_0, a_1$  are defined using:  $\bullet$
- $N(j+1) = a_0 * N(j)$  at high jet multiplicity
- $N(j+1) = \frac{a_1}{1+n} * N(j)$  at low jet multiplicity

<i>ttW</i> background	$a_0$	$a_1$	$NF_{t\bar{t}W^+(4jet)}$	$NF_{t\bar{t}}$
Value	$0.51 \pm 0.10$	$0.22^{+0.25}_{-0.22}$	$1.27^{+0.25}_{-0.22}$	1.1

Sreelakshmi Sindhu



Validation by removing all charge symmetric backgrounds Z-⁺Z **ATLAS** Data ∏tĪW  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 160 tīttī Others CRs+SR *Uncertainty* 140 Post-Fit 120 100 80 60 40 20 Data / Pred.  $W^{-}(4 \text{jet})$ +0.31 -0.28 8 ≥ 10 6 9 5 7 4





### Results

- Graph Neural Network used to distinguish signal and  $\bullet$ background
- GNN output chosen as observable in signal region  $\bullet$
- Signal generator choice and statistical uncertainties largest source of uncertainties
- Observed  $6.1\sigma$  over background only hypothesis  $\bullet$ (Expected 4.3 $\sigma$ )

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 22.5^{+4.7}_{-4.3}(\text{stat}) \stackrel{+4.6}{_{-3.4}}(\text{syst})$  fb

Consistent with SM prediction ( $12.0 \pm 2.4$  fb) at  $1.8\sigma$ 

Sreelakshmi Sindhu







### Results

- Graph Neural Network used to distinguish signation  $\bullet$ background
- GNN output chosen as observable in signal
- Signal generator choice and statistical uncer largest source of uncertainties
- Observed 6.1 $\sigma$  over background only hypoth  $\bullet$ (Expected 4.3 $\sigma$ )

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 22.5^{+4.7}_{-4.3}(\text{stat}) \stackrel{+4.6}{_{-3.4}}(\text{syst})$  fb

Consistent with SM prediction  $(12.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ fb})$ 

Sreelakshmi Sindhu



anal and	ATLAS+CMS Preliminary LHC <i>top</i> WG		√s = 13 TeV, June
griai and	$\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} = 12.0^{+2.2}_{-2.5}$ (scale) fb $\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}$ JHEP 02 (2018) 031 ar	$_{t\bar{t}} = 13.4 ^{+1.0}_{-1.8} (scale+$ (iv:2212.03259	-PDF) fb H + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
region			$\sigma_{\text{fff}} \pm \text{tot.} (\pm \text{stat.} \pm \text{syst.})$
tainties	ATLAS, 1L/2LOS, 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> JHEP 11 (2021) 118	┝╧╾┼───┛	$26_{-15}^{+17}$ (±8 $_{-13}^{+15}$ ) fb
	ATLAS, comb., 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> JHEP 11 (2021) 118	┠┼╶╤╶┼╌┨	24 <sup>+7</sup> <sub>-6</sub> (±4 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-4</sub> ) fb
hesis	CMS, 1L/2LOS/all-had, 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> arXiv:2303.03864	┠┼╌╋╌┼╌┨	36 <sup>+12</sup> <sub>-11</sub> (±7 <sup>+10</sup> <sub>-8</sub> ) fb
	<b>CMS, comb., 138 fb<sup>-1</sup></b> arXiv:2303.03864	<del>₭_▼</del> _∦	17±5 (±4 ±3) fb
	ATLAS, 2LSS/3L, 140 fb <sup>-1</sup> arXiv:2303.15061	<u>}</u> , ₩	22.5 <sup>+6.6</sup> <sub>-5.5</sub> ( <sup>+4.7 +4.6</sup> <sub>-4.3 -3.4</sub> ) fb
	CMS, 2LSS/3L, 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> arXiv:2305.13439	┣━━─╢	17.7 $^{+4.4}_{-4.0} (^{+3.7}_{-3.5}  ^{+2.3}_{-1.9})$ fb
o) at $1.8\sigma$			
	0	20 40	60 80 100 σ <sub>tītī</sub> [fb]





### Interpretations - ttt

#### **SM** three top production

- Cross section ~ 10 times smaller than the four top process
- Significantly populates the signal region



Sreelakshmi Sindhu







### Interpretations - BSM

#### **Top Yukawa coupling**

 $\mathscr{L} = 1/\sqrt{2}h_t y_t \overline{t}(\cos\alpha + i\sin(\alpha)\gamma_5)th$ CP even CP odd

• CP even, obs (exp) |kt|<1.8 (1.6)



Sreelakshmi Sindhu



#### Limits on heavy flavour fermion operators in EFT

Operators	Expected $C_i/\Lambda^2$ [TeV $^{-2}$ ]	Observed $C_i/\Lambda^2$ [TeV $^{-2}$ ]
$O_{OO}^1$	[-2.4, 3.0]	[-3.5, 4.1]
$O_{Ot}^{\tilde{1}\tilde{c}}$	[-2.5, 2.0]	[-3.5, 3.0]
$O_{tt}^{\widetilde{1}}$	[-1.1, 1.3]	[-1.7, 1.9]
$O_{Qt}^8$	[-4.2, 4.8]	[-6.2, 6.9]

#### **Higgs oblique parameter**

$$\hat{H} < 0.2$$

$$\hat{H$$





### Conclusion

#### Two very interesting results from the ATLAS collaboration

- Full Run 2 ( 140 fb<sup>-1</sup> ) measurement of  $t\bar{t}W$  cross-section
  - Consistent with the SM upto  $1.5\sigma$
  - First differential cross-section measurement for ttW, performed for 7 observables
- First observation of four top quark production (6.1 $\sigma$ )
  - Limits set on three top cross-section
  - Improvement in the limits of 3 four-fermi operators
  - Upper limit set on Higgs oblique parameter
- Both processes show slight excess in comparison to SM, making it an interesting choice for further investigations especially with more data from Run 3



