Status and future prospects for studying Reionization and Cosmic Dawn

Box length=800Mpc z=029.96



http://homepage.sns.it/mesinger







European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Image: ESA









Image: ESA *AM+2016*

CMB Dark Ages



 $z \approx 30$

 10^{8}

cosmic time [yr]



Image: NASA/ CXC/M.WEISS *AM+2016; J. Munoz*

 $4 \cdot 10^{5}$

CMB Dark Ages Cosmic Dawn



 $z \approx 10^3$ $z \approx 30$

 10^{8}

cosmic time [yr]

Image: NASA/ CXC/M.WEISS *AM+2016; J. Munoz*

CMB Dark Ages Cosmic Dawn Reionization



Image: NASA/ CXC/M.WEISS AM+2016; J. Munoz

 $4 \cdot 10^{5}$

CMB Dark Ages Cosmic Dawn Reionization Late Universe



What do we know **now** about the IGM and galaxies during the first billion years?

Observations of the Epoch of Reionization

- We have constraints from:
 - 1. Ly α + Ly β forest dark fraction
 - 2. Ly α forest opacity distributions
 - 3. QSO damping wings
 - 4. Redshift evolution of $Ly\alpha$ EW
 - 5. Redshift evolution of LAE
 - 6. Clustering of LAEs
 - 7. CMB primary anisotropies (τ_e)
 - 8. CMB secondary anisotropies (kinetic SZ)

Observations of the Epoch of Reionization

- We have constraints from:
 - **1.** $Ly\alpha + Ly\beta$ forest dark fraction
 - **2.** Lyα forest opacity distributions
 - 3. QSO damping wings
 - 4. Redshift evolution of $Ly\alpha EW$
 - 5. Redshift evolution of LAE
 - 6. Clustering of LAEs
 - 7. CMB primary anisotropies (τ_e)
 - 8. CMB secondary anisotropies (kinetic SZ)

Probes IGM through Lyman alpha scattering **Observations of the Epoch of Reionization**

- We have constraints from:
 - 1. Ly α + Ly β forest dark fraction
 - 2. Ly α forest opacity distributions
 - 3. QSO damping wings
 - 4. Redshift evolution of $Ly\alpha EW$
 - 5. Redshift evolution of LAE
 - 6. Clustering of LAEs
 - 7. CMB primary anisotropies (τ_e)
 - 8. CMB secondary anisotropies (kinetic SZ)

Probes IGM through Compton scattering

Understanding the timing of reionization



We now have a reasonable handle on when the **bulk** of reionization happened...



We have only scratched the surface of this *Cosmological Frontier*...



the "formative childhood" of the Universe, yet the **majority of the observable volume**

- When and how did the first galaxies form?
- How did they impact each other and their surroundings?
- What are the dominant feedback mechanisms?
- Can we learn about Dark Matter properties?
- How does the Hubble parameter evolve?
- What are the properties of the first stars and black holes?



We have only scratched the surface of this *Cosmological Frontier*...



Galaxies during the first billion years

• Telescopes like Hubble and ALMA have enabled detailed studies of *the brightest galaxies*







Routes towards progress

- JWST + ALMA will improve our understanding of ISM physics in the brightest galaxies at z<~15
- Probes of the IGM during the Epoch of Reionization (EoR) will continue nailing down the mean ionization history of the IGM at z<10
- The cosmic 21-cm signal will constrain the IGM ionization and temperature evolution throughout the Cosmic Dawn (5<z<30) as well as the *average* UV and Xray properties of the the first galaxies

Routes towards progress

- JWST + ALMA will improve our understanding of ISM physics in the brightest galaxies at z<~15
- Probes of the IGM during the Epoch of Reionization (EoR) will continue nailing down the mean ionization history of the IGM at z<10
- The cosmic 21-cm signal will constrain the IGM ionization and temperature evolution throughout the Cosmic Dawn (5<z<30) as well as the *average* UV and Xray properties of the the first galaxies

21 cm line from neutral hydrogen



Hyperfine transition in the ground state of neutral hydrogen produces the 21cm line.



Widely used to map the HI content of our galaxy and nearby galaxies



Circinus Galaxy

ATCA HI image by B. Koribalski (ATNF, CSIRO), K. Jones, M. Elmouttie (University of Queensland) and R. Haynes (ATNF, CSIRO).



Cosmic 21-cm

We measure the difference in intensities of the CMB and the cosmic HI.



Cosmic 21-cm

5%

 \mathcal{J}

We measure the difference in intensities of the CMB and the cosmic HI.

SKA-low



Cosmic 21-cm

5

We measure the difference in intensities of the CMB and the cosmic HI.

SKA-low



Cosmic 21-cm signal



$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

Cosmic 21-cm signal



- **3D** signal with **> 10 orders of magnitude** more independent modes than in the CMB!
- data collection with upcoming Square Kilometre Array (SKA) will surpass 10x current global internet traffic! —> BIG DATA REVOLUTION!
- even the narrowest fields will contain >billion of unseen galaxies
- the timing and the patterns of the signal tell us about both Astrophysics and Cosmology

How do we take advantage of this Big Data revolution?

We forward model the first billion years



21cm **3D!!!** map



characterize in terms of a summary statistic:

power spectra with 1000h noise from HERA and moderate foreground contamination





(1) 21-cm

combine with other observations in order to compute **likelihood**







SKA will be revolutionary, but we actually have data (upper limits) NOW

Currently only upper limits on the PS



Barry+ 2022

Currently only upper limits on the PS



Barry+ 2022

Recent results from HERA

An initial observing campaign in 2017-18, with just 39/~350 antennas and 18 nights (2108.02263).



Interpreting recent results from HERA



and are still ~2 orders of magnitude above the expected signal

HERA collaboration (2021)

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 2 (\mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}} 1 + \delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d} \mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d} \mathsf{r} + \mathsf{H}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}} \right) \left(\frac{1 + \mathsf{z}}{10} \frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}} \mathsf{h}^{2}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b} \mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023} \right) \mathrm{mK}$$
$$\sim 0 - 1$$

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}} \left(1 + \delta_{\mathrm{nl}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d} \mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d} \mathsf{r} + \mathsf{H}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}} \right) \left(\frac{1 + \mathsf{z}}{10} \frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}} \mathsf{h}^{2}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b} \mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023} \right) \mathrm{mK}$$
$$\sim 0.1 - 1$$

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$
$$\sim -10(!) - 1$$

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

Models that are ruled out must have:

COLD IGM: $T_{\rm S} \ll T_{\gamma}$

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

Models that are ruled out must have:

COLD IGM: $T_{\rm S} \ll T_{\gamma}$

Spatial fluctuations in either:

• *ionization fraction (patchy EoR)*

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

Models that are ruled out must have:

COLD IGM: $T_{\rm S} \ll T_{\gamma}$

Spatial fluctuations in either:

- ionization fraction (patchy EoR)
- matter density

$$\delta \mathsf{T}_{b}(\nu) \approx 27 \mathsf{x}_{\mathrm{HI}}(1+\delta_{\mathrm{nl}}) \left(\frac{\mathsf{H}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{v}_{r}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{H}}\right) \left(1-\frac{\mathsf{T}_{\gamma}}{\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \left(\frac{1+\mathsf{z}}{10}\frac{0.15}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}\mathsf{h}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{b}\mathsf{h}^{2}}{0.023}\right) \mathrm{mK}$$

Models that are ruled out must have:

COLD IGM: $T_{\rm S} \ll T_{\gamma}$

Spatial fluctuations in either:

- ionization fraction (patchy EoR)
- matter density
- temperature (requires "unrealistically" soft SEDs)

see also e.g. Ewall-Wice+2013; Ghara+2020; Greig+2020; Mondal+2020; Reis+2020; Greig+2021

Current constraints on EoR history



Current constraints on EoR history



Constraints on IGM properties

Cold IGM disfavored by HERA



Assuming heating is dominated by galaxies, we can constraint the X-ray luminosity per unit SFR



We inferred the X-ray properties of the first galaxies, ruling-out the values seen in local, metal-enriched galaxies.

What can we learn using **full** upcoming data?

Parameter constraints



Parameter constraints



Parameter constraints



In addition to the unknown astrophysics of the *first galaxies*, 21-cm will be a physics rich probe of *physical cosmology*

Co-vary cosmological parameters



Co-vary cosmological parameters



Kern + (2017)

Heating from Dark Matter annihilations and/ or decay?



Dark matter annihilations heat the IGM more uniformly than galaxies!

Peak is in **emission**! Cannot be reproduced with astrophysics!!!

Evoli, AM, Ferrara (2014) see also Lopez-Honorez+2016



21cm can also measure acoustic oscillations!



21cm can also measure acoustic oscillations!



Credit: Daniel Eisenstein

Acoustic oscillations -> standard ruller in vbc



density contrast

relative velocities

Munoz, Qin, **AM**+2022

v_bc pointed out by Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010



increasing vbc

Schauer+2021

Acoustic oscillations -> standard ruller in vbc



Munoz, Qin, **AM**+2022

v_bc pointed out by Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010

Standard ruler



Munoz 19, Park+19, Cain+20

Munoz, Qin, AM+ 2022

Measuring the expansion history



Munoz+ 2019

Conclusions

- Direct observations of individual galaxies with *JWST* and ground based telescopes is allowing us to study the brightest EoR galaxies
- Recent observations of the IGM during the first billion years using Lya absorption and integral scattering to the CMB allowed us to narrow down the timing of reionization to Δz ~ 1
- The cosmic 21cm signal will allow us to map the IGM during the first billion years, constraining the average UV and Xray properties of the first galaxies
- Even preliminary data from HERA constrains the heating history of the Universe, implying that the first X-ray binaries were more X-ray luminous than local ones —> lower metalicity environments
- The cosmic 21-cm signal also allows us to study **physical cosmology**, e.g.
 - co-vary cosmological and astrophysical parameters
 - exotic heating processes, e.g. DM annihilations and decay
 - standard ruler at z=10-15 from velocity-induced feedback on galaxies
- Analysis framework is fully Bayesian -> you can change the underlying model and let the Evidence tell you which model the data prefers