M. Amenomori et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 141101

Physics See Viewpoint: Signs of PeVatrons in Gamma-Ray Haze



Diffuse Gamma Rays and PeV Cosmic Rays with the Tibet ASγ Experiment

Kazumasa KAWATA (ICRR, University of Tokyo) For the Tibet AS γ Collaboration

TMEX2023 (5th-11th January 2023)



✓ Introduction

Γibet ASγ

- \checkmark The Tibet ASy Experiment
- \checkmark First detection of UHE (> 100 TeV) γ rays
- \checkmark Sub-PeV diffuse γ rays from the Milky Way galaxy
- ✓ Other PeVatron Candidates
- ✓ Summary



Wide energy range

Main component is proton

 Rate decreases to 1/100 when energy is 10 times higher

As an open question, Did/Do "PeVatrons" really exist in our Galaxy?

PeVatron: Cosmic super-accelerators can accelerate to Peta electron volt



Cosmic rays from the source lost original directions due to magnetic field

Earth



Cosmic rays interact with interstellar gas, and produce γ rays $p + p \rightarrow X$'s + $\pi^{\pm} + \pi^{0} \rightarrow 2\gamma$ (γ -ray energy is ~10% of cosmic ray's)

PeVatrons

in past/present •

∍ar



Tibet ASγ Collaboration

M. Amenomori¹, S. Asano², Y. W. Bao³, X. J. Bi⁴, D. Chen⁵, T. L. Chen⁶, W. Y. Chen⁴, Xu Chen^{4,5}, Y. Chen³, Cirennima⁶, S. W. Cui⁷, Danzengluobu⁶, L. K. Ding⁴, J. H. Fang^{4,8}, K. Fang⁴, C. F. Feng⁹, Zhaoyang Feng⁴, Z. Y. Feng¹⁰, Qi Gao⁶, A. Gomi¹¹, Q. B. Gou⁴, Y. Q. Guo⁴, Y. Y. Guo⁴, Y. Hayashi², H. H. He⁴, Z. T. He⁷, K. Hibino¹², N. Hotta¹³, Haibing Hu⁶, H. B. Hu⁴, K. Y. Hu^{4,8}, J. Huang⁴, H. Y. Jia¹⁰, L. Jiang⁴, P. Jiang⁵, H. B. Jin⁵, K. Kasahara¹⁴, Y. Katayose¹¹, C. Kato², S. Kato¹⁵, I. Kawahara¹¹, T. Kawashima¹⁵, K. Kawata¹⁵, M. Kozai¹⁶, D. Kurashige¹¹, Labaciren⁶, G. M. Le¹⁷, A. F. Li^{4,9,18}, H. J. Li⁶, W. J. Li^{4,10}, Y. Li⁵, Y. H. Lin^{4,8}, B. Liu¹⁹, C. Liu⁴, J. S. Liu⁴, L. Y. Liu⁵, M. Y. Liu⁶, W. Liu⁴, X. L. Liu⁵, Y.-Q. Lou^{20,21,22}, H. Lu⁴, X. R. Meng⁶,
Y. Meng^{4,8}, K. Munakata², K. Nagaya¹¹, Y. Nakamura¹⁵, Y. Nakazawa²³, H. Nanjo¹, C. C. Ning⁶, M. Nishizawa²⁴, R. Noguchi¹¹, M. Ohnishi¹⁵, S. Okukawa¹¹,
S. Ozawa²⁵, L. Qian⁵, X. Qian⁵, X. L. Qian²⁶, X. B. Qu²⁷, T. Saito²⁸, Y. Sakakibara¹¹, M. Sakata²⁹, T. Sako¹⁵, T. K. Sako¹⁵, T. Sasaki¹², J. Shao^{4,9}, M. Shibata¹¹,
A. Shiomi²³, H. Sugimoto³⁰, W. Takano¹², M. Takita¹⁵, Y. H. Tan⁴, N. Tateyama¹², S. Torii³¹, H. Tsuchiya³², S. Udo¹², H. Wang⁴, Y. P. Wang⁶, Wangdui⁶, H. R. Wu⁴, Q. Wu⁶, J. L. Xu⁵, L. Xue⁹, Z. Yang⁴, Y. Q. Yao⁵, J. Yin⁵, Y. Yokoe¹⁵, N. P. Yu⁵, A. F. Yuan⁶, L. M. Zhai⁵, C. P. Zhang⁵, H. M. Zhang⁴, J. L. Zhang⁴, X. Zhang³, X. Y. Zhang⁹, Y. Zhang⁴, Yi Zhang³³, Ying Zhang⁴, S. P. Zhao⁴, Zhaxisangzhu⁶, X. X. Zhou¹⁰ and Y. H. Zou^{4,8}

1 Department of Physics, Hirosaki Univ., Japan. 2 Department of Physics, Shinshu Univ., Japan. 3 School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing Univ., China. 4 Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, China. 5 National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, China. Astrophysics, Tsinghua Univ., China. 6 Department of Mathematics and Physics, Tibet Univ., China. 7 Department of Physics, Hebei Normal Univ., China. 8 Univ. of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. 24 National Institute of Informatics, Japan. 9 Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong Univ., China. 10 Institute of Modern Physics, SouthWest Jiaotong Univ., China. 11 Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National Univ., Japan. 12 Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa Univ., Japan. 13 Faculty of Education, Utsunomiya Univ., Japan. 30 Shonan Institute of Technology, Japan. 14 Faculty of Systems Engineering, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan. 15 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan. 32 Japan Atomic Energy Agency, TJapan. 16 Polar Environment Data Science Center, Joint Support-Center for Data Science Research, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Japan. 17 National Center for Space Weather, China Meteorological Administration, China.

Tibet ASγ

18 School of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agriculture Univ., China.
19 Department of Astronomy, School of Physical Sciences, Univ. of Science and Technology of China, China.
20 Department of Physics and Tsinghua Centre for Astrophysics (THCA), Tsinghua Univ., China.
21 Tsinghua Univ.-National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC) Joint Research Center for Astrophysics, Tsinghua Univ., China.
22 Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua Univ., China.
23 College of Industrial Technology, Nihon Univ., Japan.
24 National Institute of Informatics, Japan.
25 National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Japan.
26 Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Shangdong Management Univ., China.
27 College of Science, China Univ. of Petroleum, China.
28 Tokyo Metropolitan College of Industrial Technology, Japan.
29 Department of Physics, Konan Univ., Japan.
30 Shonan Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda Univ., Japan.
31 Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda Univ., Japan.
33 Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy, Purple Mountain Observatory, CAS, China.



Air Shower Reconstruction

Gamma-ray candidate event 150 150 100 100 50 Relative position [m] 001-00-100 Selative timing [ns] 50 -150 -100 -200 -150 -150 -100 100 150 -50 50 Relative position [m]

Tibet ASv

> circle size $\propto \log(\# \text{ of detected particles})$ circle color \propto relative timing [ns]

Amenomori +, PRL 123, 051101 (2019)



S50 improves *E* resolutions (10 - 1000 TeV) → ~40%@10 TeV , ~20%@100 TeV *Kawata+, Experimental Astronomy* 44, 1 (2017)

9

Underground WC Muon Detectors

Measurement of # of μ in AS $\rightarrow \gamma$ / CR discrimination

DATA: February 2014 - May 2017 Live time: 719 days

✓ 4 pools, 16 units / pool

Tibet ASγ

- ✓ 54 m² in area ×1.5m in depth / unit
- 2.4m soil overburden (~515g/cm² ~9 X_0) \checkmark
- 20" PMT (HAMAMATSU R3600) \checkmark



Muon Cut Condition (Standard)

Tight muon cut : $\Sigma N\mu < 2.1 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \Sigma \rho^{1.2}$ \rightarrow Optimized for the gamma-ray point-like source

Tibet ASγ



Gamma Survival ratio : ~90% by MC sim (>100TeV) CR Survival ratio : ~10⁻³ (>100TeV)

UHE γ -rays from the Crab Nebula (2019)

Tibet ASγ



UHE γ -rays from the Crab Nebula (2019)

Tibet ASγ



Muon Cut Condition (Tight) for Diffuse γ

Tibet ASγ

Tight muon cut : $\Sigma N\mu < 2.1 \text{ x } 10^{-4} \Sigma \rho^{1.2}$ \rightarrow One order magnitude tighter than the Crab analysis



Gamma Survival ratio : ~30% by MC sim (>398TeV) CR Survival ratio : ~10⁻⁶ (>398TeV=10^{2.6}TeV)

Data/MC Comparison

 ✓ AS generation: CORSIKA
 ✓ Hadronic int. model: EPOS-LHC + FLUKA
 ✓ Detectors: GEANT4

Tibet ASγ

Reasonable agreement!

*Note: Cosmic-ray MC simulation is not used for the flux calculation or for any optimization of the analysis.





Gamma-ray-like events after the tight muon cut in the equatorial coordinates

Blue points: Experimental data Red plus marks: known Galactic TeV sources

>398 TeV ($10^{2.6}$ TeV) 38 events in our FoV 23 events in $|b| < 10^{\circ}$ 16 events in $|b| < 5^{\circ}$



Latitude Profile

Tibet ASγ

> Red points: experimental data across our FoV ($22^{\circ} < l < 225^{\circ}$) including source contribution

> Gray shade histogram: Model by Lipari and Vernetto

Lipari & Vernetto, PRD 98, 043003 (2018)



Muon Number Distribution (>398 TeV)



Tibet ASγ

> ON region $|b| < 10^{\circ}$ BG region $|b| > 20^{\circ}$

Gamma Survival ratio : 30% by MC sim (>398TeV) CR Survival ratio : ~10⁻⁶ (>398TeV=10^{2.6}TeV)



Correlation with known TeV Sources

Correlation between UHE γ-rays above 398 TeV and 60 galactic sources from TeVCat catalog including UNID, PWN , Shell, Binary, SNR..., excluding GRB, HBL, IBL, LBL, BL Lac, AGN, Blazar, FSRQ, FRI, Starburst)

Tibet ASv

> ✓ No excess around known TeV sources
> ✓ Event distribution is consistent with diffuse model



Distance to the closest TeV source [deg.]

- ✓ High-energy $e^{+/-}$ lose their energy quickly.
- ✓ Cosmic-ray protons can escape farther from the source.

Strong evidence for sub-PeV y rays induced by cosmic rays

Energy Spectrum of UHE Diffuse γ Rays

After excluding the contribution from the known TeV sources (within 0.5 degrees) listed in the TeV source catalog

The measured fluxes are overall consistent with Lipari's diffuse gamma model assuming the hadronic cosmic ray origin.

 $CR + ISM \rightarrow X s + \pi^0 \dots \rightarrow 2\gamma$

Tibet ASγ

Lipari & Vernetto, PRD 98, 043003 (2018)



Preliminary Result by LHAASO

Tibet ASγ



Composition Dependence

CRs interact with interstellar gas (γ -ray energy has 10% of CRs)

Tibet ASγ

 $CR + ISM \rightarrow X's + \pi^0 \dots \rightarrow 2\gamma$

→ Diffuse gamma-ray spectrum depends on the CR composition

Vernetto & Lipari (ICRC2021)



factor 1.5 - 2 difference@~600 TeV

4 events above 398 TeV detected within 4°-radius-circle from the Cygnus cocoon which is claimed as an extended source by the ARGO-YBJ and HAWC and also proposed as a candidate of the PeVatrons.

PeVatron Candidate: SNR G106.3+2.7

- ✓ Spectrum extends beyond 100 TeV (HAWC, Tibet ASγ, LHAASO)
- ✓ Shell-type SNR near the pulsar (t_{age} ~10kyr?, d=800pc?)
- ✓ Extended γ -ray excess (σ_{EXT} =0.24° ± 0.10°)

Tibet ASγ

 \checkmark γ -ray excess is coincident with the could, not pulsar

 $E_{\rm p.cut} = \sim 500 \text{ TeV}$

 $W_{\rm p} = -5 \ {\rm x} \ 10^{47} \ {\rm erg}$

PeVatron Candidate: HESS J1943-033

Tibet ASγ

Amenomori et al., ApJ, 932, 120 (2022)

UHE γ -ray astronomy E > 100 TeV (ICRC2021)

Kifune plot (Credit: Stephen Fegan) + UHE γ rays LHAASO - X rays Data from HEASARC and TeVCat HAWC HE v rays Einstein & EXOSAT Fermi/LAT 10^{4} VHE v ravs Carpet-2 (4FGL) Tibet ASy UHE v ravs Fermi/LAT (3FGL) HESS (>100 TeV) MAGIC VERITAS Fermi/LAT 10³ (1FGL) CTA HEAO A-1 sources SWGO Macomb & Gehrels ALPACA Uhuru (4U) EGRET (3EG) of 3rd generation IAC 10² Uhuru (2U) EGRET (2EG) Number VERITA X-1) Rockets and balloons (Sco) COS-B (2CG) HESS. LHAASO COS-B (CG) 10¹ al. Sounding 2nd generation IACTs et Whipple, HEGRA, CAT, rockets SAS-2 CANGAROO, TA Giacconi HAWC Whipple (+Mrk 501) Whipple (+Mrk 421) 10⁰ McBreen et al. (Crab) Whipple (Crab) Tibet ASy (Cral 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2020 2030 Year

Draw the "Kifune" plot - the integral number of high energy sources detected as a function of year - in the style of a plot developed by Tadashi Kifune (for example http://adasb.shurvad.edu/abs/1996NCimC.19..953K). The data for the number of X-ray and HE (GeV) garma-ray sources come from a page on HEASARC maintained by Stephen A. Drake (retrieved 2017-09-28): https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/heasarc/headates/how_many_xray.html The data for the number of VHE (TeV) aamma-ray sources is from TeVCat maintained

by Deirdre Horan and Scott Wakely (retrieved 2017-09-28) : http://tevcat.uchicago.edu/

Tibet ASγ

- Tibet ASγ experiment opened a new energy window UHE (>100 TeV).
- A dozen of UHE γ-ray sources discovered (Tibet ASγ, HAWC, LHAASO) in northern sky.

 \rightarrow UHE γ -ray observatories necessary in southern hemisphere

Projects in the Southern Hemisphere

(e.g., ALPACA [2022-24], Mega ALPACA, SWGO, CTA, ...) & Neutrinos

Out of sight at Tibet

 \rightarrow New field

PeVatron hunting in

Tibet ASγ

Northern/Southern hemispheres

 Blackhole at the Galactic center (A candidate of PeVatron) ✓ Hot gas bubble around the Galactic center

✓ Survey heavy dark matter search

Conclusions

- ✓ We successfully observed the galactic diffuse gamma rays in 100 TeV < E < 1 PeV for the first time.</p>
- ✓ The highest energy of observed gamma-ray candidate is 957 TeV.
- ✓ UHE gamma-ray candidates above 400 TeV are spatially separated from known TeV gamma-ray sources beyond our angular resolution as is expected from the diffuse gamma-ray scenario.
- ✓ The measured fluxes are overall consistent with a recent model assuming the hadronic cosmic-ray origin.

These facts indicate strong evidence that cosmic rays are accelerated beyond PeV energies in our Galaxy and spread over the Galactic disk. \rightarrow Search for current active PeVatrons! \rightarrow Go South!

