



# NEW IDEAS IN DARK MATTER DETECTION

Kathryn M. Zurek





- WIMP paradigm: a good place to start looking
- Reason: weak forces have the right scale, for detection, abundance, and cosmology

$$\sigma_{wk} \simeq \frac{g_{wk}^4 \mu_{XT}^2}{4\pi m_Z^4} \simeq 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{M}\right)^2$$



- WIMP paradigm: a good place to start looking
- Reason: weak forces have the right scale, for detection, abundance, and cosmology

$$\sigma_{wk} v_{fo} \simeq \frac{g_{wk}^4 \mu_{XT}^2}{4\pi m_Z^4} \frac{c}{3} \simeq 10^{-24} \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{s}} \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{M}\right)^2$$



- WIMP paradigm: a good place to start looking
- Cross-sections are too small to have relevant impacts on structure formation

$$\sigma_{SIDM} \lesssim 10^{-24} \ \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{GeV}$$



# **DETECTABLE INTERACTION RATES**

#### WIMP: not dead but continually pressured

Z-boson interacting dark matter: ruled out



Higgs interacting dark matter: active target





$$\sigma_{wk} v_{fo} \simeq \frac{g_{wk}^4 \mu_{XT}^2}{4\pi m_Z^4} \frac{c}{3} \simeq 10^{-24} \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{s}} \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{M}\right)^2$$

- Heavier dark matter: setting relic abundance through interactions with Standard Model is challenging (NB: exceptions)
- At heavier masses, detection through Standard Model interactions is (generally) not motivated by abundance



- Look for gravitational means to detect structure
- Above  $10^{-13} M_{\odot}$  Pulsar timing, interferometers can be effective
- Project of the (far) future to use laboratory clocks to detect small gravitational redshift effects



- Ultralight dark matter: dark matter behaves like a wave rather than an individual particle, e.g. axion
- Detection techniques focus on utilizing this coherence
- Cavities, AMO techniques



- Focus on an intermediate range where observation via particle interactions with SM is still highly motivated though not detectable with traditional WIMP experiments
- Arise generically in top-down constructions



- Dark sector dynamics are complex and astrophysically relevant.  $\sigma_{str} \simeq \frac{4\pi\alpha_s^2}{M^2} \simeq 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{M}\right)^2$
- Abundance may still be set by (thermal) population from SM sector

$$\sigma_{wk} v_{fo} \simeq \frac{g_{wk}^4 \mu_{XT}^2}{4\pi m_Z^4} \frac{c}{3} \simeq 10^{-24} \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{s}} \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{M}\right)^2$$

#### TOWARDS HIDDEN SECTOR DARK MATTER

#### Developments in condensed matter make this possible



???

#### PARADIGM SHIFT

#### Our thinking has shifted



From a single, stable very weakly interacting particle ..... (WIMP, axion)

> Models: Light DM sectors, Secluded WIMPs, Dark Forces, Asymmetric DM ..... Production: freeze-in, freeze-out and decay, asymmetric abundance, non-thermal mechanisms .....

...to a hidden sector / valley with multiple states, new interactions

 $M_p \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ 

Standard Model

Inaccessibility

## TOWARDS HIDDEN SECTOR DARK MATTER

#### Experimental Panorama



### DM ABUNDANCE AS A GUIDE

#### If DM abundance is related to its coupling to the SM in



### **COMPLEMENTARITY TO ACCELERATOR SEARCHES**

• High energy accelerators probe particles with mass at high energy scale of the collider with *large* couplings to SM

Direct detection experiments are "intensity" experiments
 — they probe light mediators with *small* couplings to SM

#### LOOKING BEYOND BILLIARD BALLS

 Nuclear recoil experiments; basis of enormous progress in direct detection



 $v \sim 300 \text{ km/s} \sim 10^{-3} c \implies E_D \sim 100 \text{ keV}$ 

$$E_D = \frac{q^2}{2m_N} \qquad \qquad q_{\max} = 2m_X v$$

### LIGHTER TARGETS FOR LIGHTER DARK MATTER



# **EXCITING COLLECTIVE MODES**

- Once DM drops below an MeV, its deBroglie wavelength is longer than the inter particle spacing in typical materials
- Therefore, coupling to collective excitations in materials makes sense!
- Collective excitations = phonon modes
- Can be applied to just about any material
- (partial) calculations exist for superfluid helium, semiconductors, superconductors, polar materials
- Details depend on
  - 1) nature of collective modes in target material
  - 2) nature of DM couplings to target

# NATURE OF COLLECTIVE OSCILLATIONS OF IONS — PHONONS

- Number of collective modes:
  3 x number of ions in unit
  cell
- 3 of those modes describe in phase oscillation — acoustic phonons — and have a translation symmetry implying gapless dispersion
- The remaining modes are gapped



# NATURE OF COLLECTIVE MODES

- Some materials have an abundance of these modes
- When these gapped modes result from oscillations of more than one type of ion, it sets up an oscillating dipole
- Polar Materials



#### **KINEMATICS OF COLLECTIVE MODES**

First element to enter is the kinematics



Better coupling to gapped modes

## **DIRECTIONALITY IN ANISOTROPIC MATERIALS!**

Knapen, Lin, Pyle, KZ 1712.06598 Griffin, Knapen, Lin, KZ 1807.10291

- Crystal Lattice is not Isotropic
- Especially pronounced in sapphire







## **OPTICAL PHONONS IN POLAR MATERIALS**

Griffing, Inzani, Trickle, Zhang, KZ, 1910.10716



#### **OPTICAL PHONONS IN POLAR MATERIALS**

Griffing, Inzani, Trickle, Zhang, KZ, 1910.10716



# COUPLING TO TARGET, AND IMPORTANCE OF TARGET DIVERSITY

- Why? Dark matter interaction is sensitive to material type
- Dirac materials versus ordinary metals
- Consider dark photon mediated dark matter:





Polarization tensor characterizes in-medium optical response

Metals have very strong optical response, and hence weak coupling to dark photons

#### **OPTICAL RESPONSE OF "SEMI-METALS**

- Band structure can be "quantum engineered"
- Instead of a spherical Fermi surface as in a metal, the electrons have a cone structure
- Linear dispersion implies a Dirac equation, like QED
- In QED, gauge invariance protects photon from obtaining a mass



 $|\mathbf{q}| \ll \omega$ 



#### **COMPARISON OF METAL AND SEMI-METAL**



Yonit Hochberg,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Yonatan Kahn,<sup>3,†</sup> Mariangela Lisanti,<sup>3,‡</sup> Kathryn M. Zurek,<sup>4,5,§</sup> Adolfo Grushin,<sup>6,7,¶</sup> Roni Ilan,<sup>8,\*\*</sup> Zhenfei Liu,<sup>9</sup> Sinead Griffin,<sup>9</sup> Sophie Weber,<sup>9</sup> and Jeffrey Neaton<sup>9</sup> 1708.08929 Some types of particle interactions have dominant interactions with spin

Magnetic dipole DM	$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_{\chi}}{\Lambda_{\chi}} \bar{\chi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \chi  V_{\mu\nu} + g_e \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e  V_{\mu}$
Anapole DM	$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_{\chi}}{\Lambda_{\chi}^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi  \partial^{\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + g_e \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e  V_{\mu}$

Collective (electron) spin-waves = magnons

Magneticall 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_{j,k} \\ \hat{a}_{j,-k}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = T_{k} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_{\nu,k} \\ \hat{b}_{\nu,-k}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$
 where  $T_{k} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n} & \mathbb{0}_{n} \\ \mathbb{0}_{n} & -\mathbb{1}_{n} \end{pmatrix} T_{k}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n} & \mathbb{0}_{n} \\ \mathbb{0}_{n} & -\mathbb{1}_{n} \end{pmatrix}$  ignets)

## TOWARDS HIDDEN SECTOR DARK MATTER

#### Experimental Panorama



# SEARCHING FOR AXIONS AND OTHER ULTRALIGHT PARTICLES

- Rather than depositing kinetic energy, entire mass energy can be deposited
- Typically requires inelastic processes on the lattice to absorb momentum

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

# DARK MATTER NEW INITIATIVES

A number of experimental proposals available both for small project development and R&D

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROGRESS**

#### Results with small detectors already published

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

SENSEI Collaboration, 1901.10478

SuperCDMS 1804.10697

# BACKGROUNDS AND A SOLAR NEUTRINO DETECTOR

- Radiogenic backgrounds improve with better energy resolution detectors — not a problem
- p+p solar neutrinos become important with kg-year exp

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

Recoil Energy Range [eV]	Integrated Scattering Rate $[\text{recoils } (\text{kg·yr})^{-1}]$			
	Ge	Si	Не	
< 0.01	72	16	1.0	
0.01 – 0.1	34	13	0.5	
0.1 - 1	16	5	0.013	
1 - 10	0.8	0.9	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	
>10	0.10	0.012	0.012	

Coherent Photon Scattering Need good photon veto

#### THE CHALLENGE

- Now is not the time for narrowing our search for dark matter; the playing field is still wide open
- Moving beyond nuclear recoils into phases of matter crucial to access broader areas of DM parameter space
- Target diversity essential. graphene, superconductors, semiconductors, helium, polar crystals, Dirac or Weyl materials ....
- Leverage progress in materials and condensed matter physics
- Realizing program 5-10+ years into the future

#### THE OUTLOOK

• We are not without tools!

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

- The universe is dominated by invisibles!
- " WIMP or (axion)
  - How to be ready for anything? Hidden Sectors
  - How do I search for these things?