# Search for Heavy Neutral Leptons at Belle and Belle II

Petar Rados (DESY) on behalf of the Belle II collaboration

15<sup>th</sup> Rencontres du Vietnam ICISE, Quy Nhon, 8 August 2019



### Introduction

- Particle masses in the SM are generated by the coupling of the Higgs field to a given particles LH and RH components
- In SM there are only LH neutrinos  $\Rightarrow$  massless



# • Neutrino oscillation data shows they do have mass,

and that these masses are much smaller than the other fermions

- A mechanism beyond the SM is necessary to explain  $m_{\nu}...$ 





### Heavy Neutral Leptons

- Neutrino masses can be incorporated into the SM by introducing sterile RH (Majorana) neutrino(s)
- For example, the vMSM model introduces three RH singlet HNLs (N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub>). Can solve:
  - origin and smallness of SM neutrino masses (with GeV scale N<sub>1,2</sub> and see-saw mechanism)
  - dark matter (N<sub>1</sub> with mass ~keV)
  - BAU: leptogenesis due to Majorana mass term

- Ш Ш mass -2.4 MeV 1.27 GeV 173.2 GeV 2/3 g charge → 2/3 2/3 U С charm name top gluon 4.8 MeV 104 MeV 4.2 GeV Quarks b C ·¼ -1/3 S bottom down strange photon 126 GeV 91.2 GeV 🔿 spin orces) weak spin 0 0.511 MeV 105.7 MeV 1.777 GeV 80.4 GeV Leptons Bosons e μ τ tau electron muon
- N is mostly RH neutrino, but small LH component allows it to interact with SM particles
- Interacts with  $v_{SM}$  via  $N \leftrightarrow v_{SM}$  mixing. Long lifetime due to small  $M_N$  and small mixing.
- HNLs also appear in other BSM models (SUSY, grand unification theories, exotic Higgs, ...)

### **HNL Production and Decay**

• Neutrino flavour and mass eigenstates need not coincide, but may be related through a unitary transformation

$$\nu_{\alpha} = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha,i} \nu_{i}, \quad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau, \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

- HNL production can occur through mixing with the SM neutrinos  $\Rightarrow$  suppressed by factor of  $U_{\alpha}{}^2$
- They can then decay (after long flight length) by mixing again with SM neutrinos  $\Rightarrow$  additional  $U_{\alpha}^2$

**Production** 

<u>Decay</u>



### Status of Direct Searches for HNL

- Existing experiments have explored M<sub>N</sub> from 100 MeV up to almost 1 TeV
- *M<sub>N</sub>* > *M<sub>Z</sub>* direct search @LHC (pp→NI<sup>±</sup>)
- *M<sub>N</sub>* < *M<sub>Z,W</sub>* DELPHI (Z<sup>0</sup>→vN) ATLAS/CMS (W<sup>±</sup>→NI<sup>±</sup>)
  - *M<sub>N</sub>* < *M<sub>B,D,K</sub>* beam-dump, NA62, etc. LHCb, <u>Belle</u>, soon also <u>Belle II</u>



### Belle and Belle II

- Energy asymmetric e<sup>+</sup>-e<sup>-</sup> colliders operating mostly at √s=m<sub>Y(4s)</sub>, located at KEK near Tsukuba, Japan
- KEKB → SuperKEKB accelerator
  - 2x beam currents, 50nm vertical beam spot size ("nano beam")
  - design lumi 2.1×10<sup>34</sup>  $\rightarrow$  8.0×10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>





 Consequently, SuperKEKB has higher beam bkg conditions and event rates

### • Belle → Belle II detector

- PXD at r=1.4cm significantly improves vertexing
- larger SVD acceptance and outer CDC radius
- improved PID, TOP + new ARICH (K/ $\pi$  separation)
- Faster electronics in general

Dataset size:  $1 ab^{-1} \rightarrow 50 ab^{-1}$  (by 2027)



### First collisions @ Belle II

- First collisions recorded by Belle II on 26th April 2018
- During Phase 2 (April-July 2018) about ~0.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data was recorded
- Phase 3 since March 2019 with ~6.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> so far
- Good performance of the subsystems. Clear mass peaks observed from both tracks and photons.







### Belle II Schedule

- Belle and Belle II are *B***-meson** +  $\tau$ -lepton factories
  - $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4s)) = 1.05 \text{ nb}, \ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = 0.92 \text{ nb}$
- Over its lifetime Belle II aims to record 50 ab<sup>-1</sup> of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collision data (x50 that of Belle)
  - 5.25×10<sup>10</sup> BB and 4.6×10<sup>10</sup> TT events
  - unique environment to search for HNLs that are produced in B and T decays!





- Data taking in **Phase II** was performed with all subsystems, except full vertex detector
- VXD installed and running during Phase III



### Global constraints on N↔v mixing

 $10^{(}$ 

 $10^{-1}$ 

- Direct searches for visible HNL decay products can strongly constrain  $|U_{\alpha N}|$ 
  - $\Rightarrow\,$  e.g. search in B decays with N ${\rightarrow} I\pi$  @ Belle
- In addition, more model independent global constraints can be set assuming
  - (i) **invisible** HNL decay (to SM *v*, dark matter, ...)
  - (ii) HNL too heavy to be produced in a given process
- For these constrains, the main input from **Belle** comes from tests of **lepton universality**, for example:
  - ▶ B-meson decays  $\frac{Br(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{Br(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)} = \frac{Br(B \to K^*\mu\mu)}{Br(B \to K^*ee)}$  ⇒ D and τ decays  $\frac{Br(D_s \to \tau\nu)}{Br(D_s \to \mu\nu)} = \frac{Br(\tau \to e\nu\bar{\nu})}{Br(\tau \to \mu\nu\bar{\nu})}$  and many more



 $10^{0}$ 

 $m_4 \, [\text{GeV}]$ 

A. de Gouvêa et al: Phys. Rev. D 93, 033005 (2016)

and many more...

 $10^{-10}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $10^{3}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

 $10^{1}$ 

### Search for HNL at Belle

- Direct search for Majorana HNL in *B* decays using the Belle detector
   <u>Phys. Rev. D. 87, 071102 (2013)</u>
  - Phys. Rev. D 95, 099903(E) (2017)
- Data sample of  $722 \times 10^6 B\overline{B}$  pairs (711 fb<sup>-1</sup>), collected at  $\sqrt{s} = M_{Y(4s)}$
- Sensitivity to  $N \leftrightarrow v_{SM}$  mixing for  $M_K < M_N < M_B$



### **HNL** production

• Both leptonic and semileptonic *B* decays  $B \to X \ell N$ 

where:  $\ell = e$ , X = D,  $D^*$ ,  $\mu$  light meson ( $\pi$ , $\rho$ , $\eta$ ,...), 'nothing' (leptonic decay)

### **Detector Signature**

- HNL decays to  $e\pi/\mu\pi$  after a very long flight length e.g M<sub>N</sub> = 1 GeV,  $|U_{e,\mu}|^2 = 10^{-4}$ ,  $\Rightarrow c\tau \approx 20m!$
- Final state:  $X\ell\ell\pi$ 
  - eem,  $\mu\mu\pi$  or e $\mu\pi$  (Majorana  $\Rightarrow$  OS or SS leptons)
  - $e\pi$  or  $\mu\pi$  originate from a **displaced vertex**

### **Reconstruction and selections**

- Partial reconstruction technique
  - Partial *B* decay candidate  $\Rightarrow \ell_2 \ell_1 \pi$
  - HNL candidate  $\Rightarrow$  OS charge  $\ell_1 \pi$  from **displaced vertex**
- Analysis split into two M<sub>N</sub> regimes
  - low-mass (<2 GeV): targets dominant  $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$  mode
  - high-mass (2-5 GeV): inclusive production



### ≈ 20 efficiency, <sup>6</sup> **Displaced Vertex Selections** • $\ell_1 \pi$ is fit to common vertex • ≥4 tracks, p<sub>T</sub> > 0.5 GeV $\pi \ell N$ 14 $\Rightarrow \chi^2/ndof < 16$ tight lepton ID (ee, μμ or eμ) 12 • then $\ell_1 \ell_2 \pi$ is fit with IP - lepton veto for $\pi$ 10 constraint • Low-mass regime: $D^{(*)}$ 8 $\Rightarrow \chi^2/ndof < 4$ - $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ selected via recoil mass (1.4-2.4 GeV) • cuts on track dr, $d\phi$ , dz<sub>vtx</sub>, that vary with nCDCHits and rvtx $M_X^2 = (E_{CM} - E_{\ell\ell\pi})^2 - P_{\ell\ell\pi}^2 - P_B^2$ • $dr_{fh} = min(r_{\ell}, r_{\pi}) - r_{vtx}$ above -2 cm, for large $r_{vtx}$ - proton veto 0.5 4.5 5 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 $\mathbf{4}$ GeV/c $M(v_{k})$

### M<sub>N</sub> distributions

- Signal MC: 500k signal events for each production mode
- **Background MC**: known SM  $B\overline{B}$  decays from b $\rightarrow$ c processes (3× data stats)



| Requirement                        | Applied                   | Supp.      | Signal     | Syst.       |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                                    | to                        | eff., $\%$ | eff., $\%$ | error, $\%$ |
| $\chi_1^2/ndf < 16$                | All                       | 35         | 99         | 2.9         |
| $\chi_2^2/ndf < 4$                 | All                       | 27         | 85         | 10.1        |
| $\mathcal{R}_e(\ell_1) > 0.9$      | All                       | 40         | 45         | 2.2         |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(\ell_1) > 0.99$ | All                       | 17         | 35         | 4.9         |
| $\mathcal{R}_e(\ell_2) > 0.9$      | All                       | 38         | 53         | 3.0         |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(\ell_2) > 0.9$  | All                       | 25         | 38         | 3.1         |
| Lepton veto                        | All                       | 86         | 99         | 1.8         |
| $d\phi < 0.03{\rm cm}$             | Type I                    | 39         | 95         | ``          |
| $d\phi < 0.03{\rm cm}$             | Type II                   | 5          | 80         |             |
| $d\phi < 0.04{\rm cm}$             | Type III                  | 11         | 85         | 5.8         |
| $d\phi < 0.09{\rm cm}$             | Type IV                   | 66         | 96         |             |
| $d\phi < 0.15{\rm cm}$             | Type V                    | 51         | 94         |             |
| $dr > 0.09 \mathrm{cm}$            | Type I                    | 5          | 97         |             |
| $dr>0.1{\rm cm}$                   | Type II                   | 7          | 98         |             |
| $dr>3{\rm cm}$                     | Type III                  | 1          | 79         | 3.7         |
| $dr>3{\rm cm}$                     | Type IV                   | 10         | 94         |             |
| $dr > 5\mathrm{cm}$                | Type V                    | 42         | 95         |             |
| $dz_{\rm vtx} < 0.4{\rm cm}$       | Type I                    | 37         | 94         |             |
| $dz_{\rm vtx} < 0.4{\rm cm}$       | Type II                   | 17         | 74         |             |
| $dz_{\rm vtx} < 0.5{\rm cm}$       | Type III                  | 21         | 75         | 10.0        |
| $dz_{\rm vtx} < 0.9{\rm cm}$       | Type IV                   | 36         | 80         |             |
| $dz_{\rm vtx} < 2{\rm cm}$         | Type V                    | 68         | 83         | )           |
| $dr_{\rm fh} > -2{\rm cm}$         | $r_{\rm vtx} > 6{\rm cm}$ | 32         | 84         | 2.9         |
| Recoil mass                        | Small mass                | 24         | 99         | 4.1         |
| Proton veto                        | Small mass                | 94         | 97         | 1.6         |

# Limits on $N \leftrightarrow v_{e,\mu}$ mixing

• Number of HNL decays detected by Belle:

$$n(\nu_h) = 2N_{BB} \ \mathcal{B}(B \to \nu_h) \ \mathcal{B}(\nu_h \to \ell\pi) \int \frac{m\Gamma}{p} \exp\left(-\frac{m\Gamma R}{p}\right) \varepsilon(R) dR$$
$$= |U_{\alpha}|^2 |U_{\beta}|^2 \ 2N_{BB} \ f_1(m) \ f_2(m) \ \frac{m}{p} \int \exp\left(-\frac{m\Gamma R}{p}\right) \varepsilon(R) dR$$

 $\Rightarrow$  solved for  $|U|^2$  to obtain upper limits

- Total systematic uncertainty of **25.0%** and **25.4%** for small and large-mass regimes. Largest contributions:
  - $\chi^2$ /ndof and dz vertex cuts (10.1%, 10.0%)
  - tracking of HNL daughter particles (8.7% per-track)
  - Maximum sensitivity at  $M_N \simeq 2 \text{ GeV}$ 
    - + 3.0×10<sup>-5</sup> for  $|U_{eN}|^2$  and  $|U_{\mu N}|^2$
    - 2.1×10<sup>-5</sup> for  $|U_{eN}| |U_{\mu N}|$

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to X \ell \nu_h) \times \mathcal{B}(\nu_h \to \ell \pi^+) < 7.2 \times 10^{-7}$$



### Comparison with other experiments



• Results are shown from Belle, CHARM, CHARMII, DELPHI, NuTeV, BEBC and NA3

### $B \rightarrow \mu N$ search at Belle

- Recent result on SM B→µvµ from Belle (talk @ Moriond EW 2019, *M. Prim* et al.)
- µ recoil against HNL (N→invisible) would shift momentum spectrum
   ⇒ SM result recast with M<sub>N</sub> scan

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B} 
ightarrow \mu 
u_{\mu}) = (\mathsf{5.3} \pm \mathsf{2.0} \pm \mathsf{0.9}) imes \mathsf{10}^{-7}$$
 @ 2.8  $\sigma$ 

 $SM = 4.26 \times 10^{-7}$ 

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B} \to \mu + \text{missing energy}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B} \to \mu \nu_{\mu}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B} \to \mu \mathsf{N})$$



### LHCb and Belle II Prospects

Since the Belle result, LHCb has also performed a Majorana HNL search B. Shuve et al: arXiv:1607.04258 0.100 with displaced vertex in *B* decays revised limit h • 3fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp data at  $\sqrt{s}$ =7,8 TeV ر 10.001 الح 14 2 0.010  $W^{-}$ B Approaching the Belle limits • LHCb stated limit Updated results are expected 10-4  $\pi^+$ Belle limit using the Run 2 dataset at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV 2 3 4  $m_N$  (GeV)  $\times 10^3$ Belle II 2019 Preliminary Events/(0.02) MeV/c<sup>2</sup> B-physics @ Belle II is in the 600**⊦** в<sup>\*</sup>  $\rightarrow$  D(K $\pi$ , K $\pi\pi^0$ , K $3\pi$ ) $\pi^{\mp}$ Belle II 600F • Y(4S) data → D(Kπ, Kππ<sup>0</sup>, K3π)ρ<sup>\*</sup> 2019 (preliminary) early stages  $Ldt = 2.63 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  $\rightarrow D^{*0}(D^{0}(K\pi, K\pi\pi^{0}, K3\pi)\pi^{0})\pi^{+}$ 1.5 - BB 500F 500 L dt = 2.62 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\star \pi}(\mathbf{D}^{0}(\mathbf{K}\pi,\mathbf{K}\pi\pi^{0},\mathbf{K}3\pi)\pi^{\star})\pi^{\star})$ per off-resonance B  $\rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{K}\pi\pi)\pi^{\dagger}$ Rediscovery of known BB 400 400 F Candidates  $\rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{K}\pi\pi)\mathbf{0}^{\dagger}$ decays using Phase 2 and → D<sup>∓</sup>(K<sup>⁰</sup>π)π 300 300 early Phase 3 data 200 200 Belle II will be a major player • 100 100 in HNL physics via *B*-decays 0 0 5.2 in the near future! 5.21 5.22 5.23 5.24 5.25 5.26 5.27 5.28 5.29 0.2 0.6 0.8 0.4 1.2  $R_2$  $M_{hc}$  (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

5

### Constraints on $N \leftrightarrow v_{\tau}$ mixing

- Tight limits already exist on HNL mixing with  $v_e$  and  $v_\mu$
- Limits on  $|U_{\tau N}|^2$  are weaker, motivating  $|U_{\tau N}|^2 \gg |U_{eN}|^2$ ,  $|U_{\mu N}|^2$ 
  - Global constraints  $\Rightarrow$  below  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2} 10^{-1})$ , for M<sub>N</sub> > 200 MeV
  - **CHARM**  $\Rightarrow$  below  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4} 10^{-1})$ , for 20 MeV < M<sub>N</sub> < 300 MeV
  - **DELPHI**  $\Rightarrow$  below  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5} 10^{-3})$ , for 1 GeV < M<sub>N</sub> < 60 GeV





- By studying τ decays at Belle and Belle II, we can improve existing limits for M<sub>N</sub> < M<sub>τ</sub>
- No measurement yet!

Sensitivity studies will be shown in coming slides.

# HNL in $\tau$ decay kinematics

- Proposed search for HNL in  $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi v$  decays *A. Kobach et al.* **arXiv:1412.4785v2**
- Phase space of  $3\pi$ -system could be superposition of massless neutrinos and HNL

 $\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm tot}(\tau^- \to \nu h^-)}{dm_h dE_h} = \left(1 - |U_{\tau 4}|^2\right) \frac{d\Gamma(\tau^- \to \nu h^-)}{dm_h dE_h}\Big|_{m_\nu = 0} + |U_{\tau 4}|^2 \frac{d\Gamma(\tau^- \to \nu h^-)}{dm_h dE_h}\Big|_{m_\nu = m_4}$ 



- Kinematics of  $\tau$  decay will contain info on whether  $3\pi$  recoiled against HNL
- General idea:

Measure a crescent-shaped endpoint in the  $E_{3\pi}\text{-}M_{3\pi}$  plane



- Method is insensitive to details of HNL decay, lifetime or whether it is Majorana/Dirac
- Would require large data statistics and excellent E/M resolution
  - ⇒ Possible at Belle and Belle II!

### HNL in $\tau$ decay kinematics



- Sensitivity estimate based on pseudo-data study
- MC sample of  $ee \rightarrow \tau \tau$  with  $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi v \text{ decay}(s)$ 
  - assuming Belle lumi,  $\sqrt{s}$ =11 GeV
  - smearing to mimic typical Belle resolution
  - both optimistic and conservative scenarios wrt systematics
- Belle may be able to place stringent limits on  $|U_{\tau N}|^2$  as low as  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7} - 10^{-3})$  for 100 MeV  $\leq M_N \leq 1.2 \text{ GeV}$

### Belle vs upcoming experiments



### Search for HNL vertex with taus

- Proposed search for displaced HNL vertex in  $ee \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow 1x3$  prong
- For  $|U_{\tau N}|^2 \gg |U_{eN}|^2$ ,  $|U_{\mu N}|^2$  and  $m_N < m_{\tau}$ , decay occurs via  $N \rightarrow v_{\tau}(Z^* \rightarrow X^0)$
- For this preliminary sensitivity study:
  - $X_1$  restricted to  $\pi$  or  $\pi\pi^0$
  - $X_2$  restricted to  $\mu\mu$  or ee (hadronic  $X_2$  could enter final analysis)
- Long lifetime  $(c\tau \propto |U_{\tau N}|^{-2} m_{N}^{-5}) \Rightarrow$  tiny background but low signal efficiency



- Bkg suppression driven by N→ee/µµ vertex-based constraints and flight length > 10 cm
- Signal yields extracted from fit to reconstructed M<sub>N</sub> distribution
- Assumption of zero background search
  - achievable based on studies with official Belle II MC
  - more comprehensive bkg studies are ongoing

In this channel alone, Belle or Belle II could exceed DELPHI limits!





### Constraints from LFV $\tau$ decays



 $10^{4}$ 

### Tau leptons in early Belle II data

- As with *B*-physics, the  $\tau$ -physics program at Belle II is in the early stages
- Rediscovery of  $ee \rightarrow \tau \tau$  targeting 3-by-1 prong decay:  $\tau_{tag} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu_{\ell} \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$   $\tau_{signal} \rightarrow 3\pi^{\pm} \nu_{\tau} + n\pi^{0}$
- We observe clear evidence of  $\tau$ -pair production in the Phase 2 data
- First measurement of m<sub>τ</sub> @ Belle II by fitting M<sub>min</sub> to an empirical edge pdf from 1.7-1.85 GeV

$$M_{min} = \sqrt{M_{3\pi}^2 + 2(E_{beam} - E_{3\pi})(E_{3\pi} - P_{3\pi})}$$





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  event candidate



# Summary and Outlook

- Belle searched for HNL produced in *B* decays with displaced vertex
- Limits were set on  $|U_{e,\mu N}|^2$  below  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}-10^{-5})$  for  $0.5 < M_N < 5.0 \text{ GeV}$
- M<sub>N</sub> scan in recent B→µv<sub>µ</sub> result (N→invisible), no significant excess



- Existing constraints on N↔v<sub>τ</sub> mixing are much weaker, motivating scenario where |U<sub>τN</sub>|<sup>2</sup> » |U<sub>eN</sub>|<sup>2</sup>, |U<sub>µN</sub>|<sup>2</sup>.
   No results yet from Belle or Belle II with τ decays. Sensitivity studies show promise.
- B- and  $\tau$ -physics programs at Belle II are in the early stage. Rediscoveries of known SM processes.
- Belle II will become a major player in HNL physics in the near future.
   Exciting times ahead!

