

Determination of the Number of Neutrinos

Monica Pepe Altarelli (CERN)

XVth Rencontres du Vietnam, "3 neutrinos and Beyond" 4-10 August 2019, ICISE, Qui Nhon





WEEK MONDAY 21 AUGUST



Z^{0} marks the spot

late on the night of Sunday 13 August, just one month after first beam circulated and a mere 16 minutes after the start of the pilot run, LEP's first Z^0 was recorded. By midnight a total of three had been observed, and on Monday there followed 13 more - a remarkable total of 16 between the four detectors ALEPH, DELPHI, OPAL and L3 in the first 24 hours of operation.



SEMAINE DU LUNDI 21 AC

Le règne du Z⁰

Tard dans la nuit du dimanche 13 août, un r exactement après les premières révolutions faisceaux dans l'anneau et 16 minutes seulen après le début de la période d'essai, le premier Z LEP a été enregistré. A minuit leur nombre s'éle à trois et lundi 13 autres ont suivi, soit un t remarquable de 16 Z⁰ pour l'ensemble des qu détecteurs ALEPH, DELPHI, OPAL et L3 au co des 24 premières heures d'exploitation.



DELPHI



L3

BULLETIN CERN 34/89 - 21.8.89

ALEPH



OPAL



Let us turn our clock back

What was known at the time? • SM of electroweak interactions well established with the W and Z discovery in 1983 and the measurement of their properties

their masses

• Quarks and leptons organised into three families \rightarrow

Basic question was:

• Given the regularity of the pattern, counting the number of neutrino types N_{ν} may also mean counting the number of fundamental fermion generations

SM however does not predict the number of fermion generations or

Are there more families than the three observed so far?

epton:



What was known at the time? (II) Info on N_{ν} from cosmology, astrophysics & particle physics • Cosmology, from primordial nucleosynthesis:

- a function of N_{ν}

formation of light elements and their relative abundances (He/H) is

• Astrophysics, based on observation of $\bar{\nu}$ emitted by SN 1987A, relying on theory and based on assumption that total gravitational energy release shared equally by all neutrino species

• Particle physics: indications from direct search from the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ and from Z/W properties at CERN and FERMILAB $p\bar{p}$ experiments, e.g. $\sigma(W \rightarrow l\nu)/\sigma(Z \rightarrow ll)$

- neutrinos enter through the reaction $n + \nu_{\rho} \leftrightarrow p + e^-$;



What was known at the time? (III)

• Remarkable agreement between values derived from the analysis of such widely different phenomena

 Putting everything together, Denegri, Sadoulet & Spiro obtained $N_{\nu} = 2.0^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$

• "Results perfectly compatible with the *a priori* knowledge that at least three neutrino families should exist ... Although the consistency is significantly worse, four families still provide a reasonable fit"

Denegri, Sadoulet & Spiro [Rev. Mod. Phys, 1989]



6



First Z detected at SLC! 12 April 1989

SLAC Linear Collider • SLC was the prototype of a new accelerator concept, the linear collider

• Scheduled to take first data in Jan.'87, but new and difficult technology \rightarrow First reasonable Lumi only in March '89 (few $10^{27} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

• First results based on 106 Z events collected at 6 different energies around the Z peak

> $\Gamma_Z = 1.61^{+0.60}_{-0.43} \,\mathrm{GeV}$ [PRL 63, 724 (1989)]

Positron

 $\Gamma_{inv} = 0.62 \pm 0.23 \,\text{GeV} \to N_{\nu} = 3.8 \pm 1.4$

- July 14, First turn - August 13, First Collisions - August 13-18, Physics pilot run - Sept. 20-Nov. 5, Physics

• The Economist August 19, 1989 "The results from California are impressive, especially as they come from a new and unique type of machine. They may provide a sure answer to the generation problem before LEP does. This explains the haste with which the finishing touches have been applied to LEP. The 27km-long device, six years in the making was transformed from inert hardware to working machine in just four weeks--- a prodigous feat, unthinkable anywhere but at CERN......

......Even so, it was still not as quick as Dr. Carlo Rubbia, CERN's domineering director-general might have liked".

- LEP start-up advertised for 14 July 1989

 - August 21-Sept.11, Machine studies

[S.Meyers, CERN's 50th anniversary]

13 November 1989 LEP Inauguration

First results from LEP **13 October 1989**

• After only three weeks of data-taking, CERN seminar in which the four experiments presented their results based on ~3000 Z each (J.Lefrancois, U.Amaldi, S.Ting, A.Wagner) & MARKII update

• Results written on blackboard by John Thresher, CERN research director with responsibility for the new LEP experimental programme

First results from LEP **13 October 1989**

• After only three weeks of data-taking, CERN seminar in which the four experiments presented their results based on ~3000 Z each (J.Lefrancois, U.Amaldi, S.Ting, A.Wagner) & MARKII update

 Results written on blackboard by John Thresher, CERN research director with responsibility for the new LEP experimental programme

		FA .E	Blondel a	Xiv:1812
adronic Zs	Zn			
450	91.14	+	0.12	2.8
2538	91.13	±	0.06	3.42
3112	91.17	±	0.05	3.27
4350	91.01	+	0.05	3.12
1066	91.06	±	0.05	2.4
	91.10	±	0.05	3.12

2.11362v2

First results from LEP **13 October 1989**

• After only three weeks of data-taking, CERN seminar in which the four experiments presented their results based on ~3000 Z each (J.Lefrancois, U.Amaldi, S.Ting, A.Wagner) & MARKII update

 Results written on blackboard by John Thresher, CERN research director with responsibility for the new LEP experimental programme

Hadronic Zs 91.14450253891.13311291.17435091.01106691.0691.10

The number of light neutrinos was three!

[A.Blondel arXiv:1812.11362v2]

The four LEP experiments

• ALEPH: main emphasis on momentum measurement via accurate tracking in high magnetic field (1.5 Tesla); high granularity ECAL

- Vertex detector (Silicon strips), Inner Tracking Chamber, Time Projection Chamber (main tracking detector) $\rightarrow \Delta p/p \simeq 2.7 \%$ for 45 GeV muons

• **DELPHI**: pioneering new techniques

PID via RICH detector (with liquid and gas radiators), Heavy Projection Chamber used as electromagnetic calorimeter (excellent spatial resolution but complex to operate), Silicon Vertex detector

• L3: general tracking minimised in favour of precise outer tracking for muons only in very large solenoidal magnet ($\Delta p/p \simeq 2.5\%$ for 45 GeV muons); Bismuth Germanium Oxide (BGO) electromagnetic calorimeter ($\Delta E/E \simeq 1.4\%$ for 45 GeV electrons)

About twice as expensive as the other detectors! —

• OPAL: more conservative design

Excellent tracking achieved by means of a "jet-type" drift chamber; Silicon Vertex detector installed in — 1992

The four LEP experiments

High Density Projection Chamber Small Angle Tile Calorimeter Very Small Angle Tagger

Z line shape • Cornerstone of LEP physics programme, studied by measuring the visible cross-section at several centre-of-mass energies near the Z mass

• From measured cross-sections, EW parameters extracted after correcting for QED effects (ISR), which are large (~30% at the peak) but precisely known (5x10-4)

• In the SM, $\Gamma_{
m had} \sim 70\,\%$, $3\Gamma_{\ell} \sim 10\,\%$ and $\Gamma_{
m inv} = N_{
ho}\Gamma_{
ho}$

$$\nu \sim 20\%$$

 $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ as a function of \sqrt{s}

• Drawn in 1987 before the start of LEP • One additional ν species would increase Γ_7 by 6.6% and decrease the peak cross-section $\sigma_{\rm had}^0$ by 13%

[A.Blondel, arXiv:1812.11362]

minimizes experimental correlations)

• Dominant sensitivity in N_{ν} determination through hadronic peak cross-section [G.Feldman, '87]

The method • Primary quantities measured : $\sigma(\sqrt{s})$ for hadrons, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, $\tau^+\tau^-$ (but first N_{ν} measurement only based on hadrons)

• From $\sigma(\sqrt{s})$ to a final state $f\bar{f}$ one can extract peak position, width and overall normalisation, best obtained from the peak cross-section σ_f^0

• Parameter set reduces to four: $M_Z, \Gamma_Z, \, \sigma^0_{
m had}, R_\ell\,$ assuming lepton universality

Principles of the analysis

• All visible Z decays detected and classified according to the four categories: hadrons, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, $\tau^+\tau^-$

 High and well-known efficiency, e.g.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$

Luminosity measurement • Uncertainty on Luminosity has direct impact on N_{ν} : $\Delta N_{\nu} \sim 8 \frac{\Delta \mathscr{L}}{C}$ Luminosity determined by measuring at the same time another process with known cross-section, low-angle Bhabha scattering : $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (dominated by *t*-channel γ -exchange) through dedicated detectors for the scattered electrons

section predicted by theory

• Method: compare measured rate of Bhabha scattering with cross-# events in data passing selection cuts

Calculated from MC events using same cuts as for data

Luminosity measurement (II)

• Experimental challenge was to define the geometrical acceptance with high accuracy, especially at the lowest θ bound:

• ALEPH: Sensitivity to possible displacements with respect to beam position reduced with asymmetrical event selection: tight fiducial cut on one side (e.g. e^+) and loose on the other (e^{-}) with fiducial role alternating from one event to the next (plus other clever) tricks \rightarrow experimental systematics ~1% already in first paper)

• Experimental precision decreased to well below 10⁻³ at the end of LEP after progressive replacement with more precise calorimeters, e.g. silicon-tungsten

• After a lot of hard work final precision on theory estimate of cross-section within acceptance reached 6x10⁻⁴ (from Monte Carlo program for small-angle Bhabha scattering BHLUMI) matching experimental uncertainty

 $\theta \simeq R/z$

Some days before LEP startup

[J. Steinberger, 60 Years of CERN Experiments and Discoveries]

First ALEPH analysis approval Early October 1989

 Hadronic event selection based on calorimeters

 Immense effort with many sleepless nights as we were at the same time validating the data, removing the noise and calibrating them and running the reconstruction

CRESS - SECTION MEASUREMENT

S. Dugeay, F. Fidecaro, N. Minord, F. Pake M. Pepe, J. Steinberge. IN CLOSE CONTACT WITH E. BLUCHER, F. BIRD 24. GARRED

OFFLINE TRIGGER BASED ON ECAL WIRES

GGEV IN BARREL . OR . 3 GEV IN END CARS IN GINCIDENCE

Eqq = 99.8% Ezz = 74.1% Exx = 2.3%

First ALEPH Z line shape

1	= <u>3</u>	1 8	1				
11	1.2					1	
1	1	0.18	8	1			1
	1	1 30	1	-		and the later of	
		1					
					1		
		1	and the				
		÷		-			
			8 E	1	1 3		
207.03	e opere		in m	******	1-000	1	1 · · · ·
		-	1		1		+
-		f	and a		ter er e	less of the	
_			£	1	1		
	- 14 				E.		
8	8		E	1 2 2 2			1
2 3	1	A COLOR	1				

		+ 					partices here
	51		1.10	1	in the second		in on
	3	3 18	i i i i i				
				1.5			
e i							
-	12.5	1.1.1	1				
	-					-	
_							
	1		markers				
			N.A.)	
1			31.5	4.4	1	-	
		-144					
			. I., .				
	1.	5 16 -	1.				
	24 L		94				
1			n bain			111111	
							1.1
		14 2 . O.		1011404-0		- <u>0</u>	and mark
- 1							
	Liber.			4 0			
	S. 1	3117					
s di	13	THE SE	1.1				1.1
			a series de la compañía de la				
100	<u>u</u>	111.1 +131					
1	3						in the second
		1.1					
0							
						5	<u>2</u> T
3		1				1.1	-
		1.1.					
				-		- 2	-
	1.1		5.45			- 10 - 3	
		in hi e	e Him	1020			
	5352		: And				
			- 527	5 1			
		- C- 12		<u>Galera</u>			
			-192.8	i hi		engeral	
		1782	4,121		20133	1.3.1	
				23.1		1	I I
							1.1
aN			122.12				1
A	4	15.3					
		- (i)		1.5		1 - 1	1
			_			3 1	
	1.4						1
		and the second second	and the second second	and the second	the second second		

CERN Theory Christmas play 1989

My line shape is the best of all but.... there is a deviation...

Foundation of the LEP ElectroWeak Working Group

• Originally, a group with members of the four LEP experiments, led by Jack Steinberger, investigated the combination of the Z line shape parameters [Phys. Lett. B 276 (1992) 247]

- Jack insisted that the combination was a job for the experimentalists from the four collaborations who should discuss together, rather than for the theorists! [J.Lefrancois reminded me of Jack's role in this!]

 This led to the establishment of the LEP ElectroWeak Working Group, an unprecedented, collaborative effort across the experiments

• Mandate: to combine the measurements of the four LEP experiments on electroweak observables, e.g. cross sections, masses and various couplings, properly taking into account the common systematic uncertainties and producing the "best" LEP averages

http://lepewwg.web.cern.ch/LEPEWWG/

Foundation c

 Originally, a gro Jack Steinberg parameters [Ph

> - Jack insisted the four collak theorists!

• This led to the Group, an unp experiments

• Mandate: to cc on electroweał couplings, prop uncertainties a

Sorking Group

oeriments, led by he Z line shape

(perimentalists from ather than for the

ak Working oss the

LEP experiments asses and various n systematic

• First paper ever signed by over 2500 authors !

LEP EW WG: Phys. Rept. 427 (2006)

• Less than 3 per mille uncertainty ~ half of it from theoretical scattering cross-section

 $N_{\nu} = 2.9840 \pm 0.0082$

Final combined result

29

• Based on 17 million Z decays uncertainty on low-angle Bhabha

An alternative method: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$

• Detect events with a single photon and nothing else at energies above the Z mass (method originally advocated for ν counting)

 Cross-section approximately proportional 100 60 to N_{ν} (contribution from *t*-channel *W*-exchange is small) 40 20 • Around 2500 single-photon events collected by the four LEP experiments, giving $N_{1} = 3.00 \pm 0.08$ ь • By also including data at $130 < \sqrt{s}$ [GeV] < 20925for new physics searches, the LEP experiments collectively detected ~6200 single-photon

events, giving $N_{\nu} = 2.92 \pm 0.005$

data

- Gain of a factor ~10 in precision on N_{μ} seems possible

 Method advocated in FCC-ee studies for a high precision measurement of invisible partial width (sensitive to invisible particles, e.g. a neutralino, or to the mixing of heavy right-handed neutrinos with existing ones)

• Measure $\Gamma_Z^{inv}/\Gamma_Z^{lept}$ above the Z to eliminate many systematic uncertainties (e.g. luminosity, photon detection efficiency)

[https://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.6176.pdf]

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ (The return)

• The measurement of the number of neutrino generations stands out as one of the legacies of the LEP physics programme. It ruled out for the first time the existence of a fourth generation, posing stringent limits on theoretical models relevant in astrophysics and cosmology

 The overall determination of the Standard Model parameters by the LEP experiments, with precision exceeding the initial expectations, and the proof of its unexpected consistency, marked a turning point in our field

• The story of success of the Standard Model continues with the results from the LHC, demonstrating its validity up to the multi-TeV range and possibly even beyond

• Still many questions remain unanswered: Why are there just three families of particles? What determines the masses of their members? These questions still lie at the centre of particle physics today

Conclusions