Infrared universality of ζ in asymptotically FLRW Universe

Yuko Urakawa (Bielefeld U., NagoyaU.)

Tanaka & Y.U.JCAP 1606 (16) 020Tanaka & Y.U.JHEP 1710 (17)127Tanaka & Y.U.In progress

with Takahiro Tanaka (Kyoto U.)

Infrared triangle

Strominger+ (13,14, ...) review 1703.05448 Christodoulou (1991) MEMORY GW detector G.W. Test narticles EFFECT $\Delta h = h_A - h_B$ from talk slide of A.Ishibashi FOURIER VACUUM TRANSFORM TRANSITION WARD IDENTITY Soft ASYMPTOTIC THEOREM SYMMETRY (approaches to M₄ in $r \rightarrow \infty$) Bloch & Nordsieck (1937) Bondí, Metzner, Sachs (1962) Weinberg (1965)

Soft theorem 📣

for QED Bloch & Nordsieck (1937) for gravitons Weinberg (1965)



Soft photon theorem



from Strominger 1703.05448

Infrared triangle



Infrared physics in cosmology



Questions

- Gauge theories in asymptotically flat spacetimes,

Conditions on asym. geometry + Gauge invariance (symmetry group therein)

→ IR universal properties: Soft th., Memory effect, Canc. of IR div.

Q1. Does the same argument apply to ζ ?

Questions

- Gauge theories in asymptotically flat spacetimes,

Conditions on asym. geometry + Gauge invariance (symmetry group therein)

→ IR universal properties: Soft th., Memory effect, Canc. of IR div.

Q1. Does the same argument apply to ζ ?

Conditions on asym. geometry + Gauge invariance

asym. FLRW spatial Diff (including large GTs)

- IR universal properties: Soft th., const sol. of ζ, Canc. of IR div.

Questions

- Gauge theories in asymptotically flat spacetimes,

Conditions on asym. geometry + Gauge invariance (symmetry group therein)

→ IR universal properties: Soft th., Memory effect, Canc. of IR div.

Q1. Does the same argument apply to ζ ?

Conditions on asym. geometry + Gauge invariance

asym. FLRW spatial Diff (including large GTs)



Q2. Does it apply just w/ spatial Diff but w/o 4D Diff?

IR universal properties hold e.g., for Horava-Lifshitz gravity

Outline

1) Exercise in classical theory (Mostly review)

- Definition of asym. FLRW, following gradient expansion
- IR properties: Const. solution of ζ (a.k.a.Weinberg's adiabatic mode)

- 2) Extension to quantum theory
 - Stochastic approach + Gradient expansion

Basic assumptions

[Asymptotically FLRW spacetime]

[Spatial Diff. invariance] $x^i \rightarrow \tilde{x}^i(t, x^i)$

Including dilatation inv. $x^i \rightarrow e^{-s} x^i$

Asymptotic symmetry which is compatible w/ asym FLRW

[Locality] (of the original Lagrangian) * before solving constraints

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}\left[\Phi(x)\right]$$

Gradient expansion

<u>Gradient expansion</u> salopek & Bond (1990), Shíbata & Sasakí (1999)

Physical scale of inhomogeneity of our focus L >> 1/H

(or coarse graining scale)

 $\epsilon \equiv 1/(HL)$

In local theory, we identity ϵ exp. as derivative exp.

(d+1)-dim line element & Gauge choice

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + g_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt) \qquad g_{ij} = a^{2}e^{2\zeta}\gamma_{ij} \qquad \det[\gamma] = 1$$

- spatial coordinates: Transverse $\gamma_{ij}^{|j|} = 0$

Asymptotically FLRW spacetime

Asymp FLRW condition

spatial average at reference time t_{\star}

 $\left| e^{-\bar{\zeta}} \frac{N_i}{aN} \right| = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \qquad \qquad \bar{\zeta} \equiv \frac{\int d^d \boldsymbol{x} \,\zeta(t_\star, \,\boldsymbol{x})}{\int d^d \boldsymbol{x}} \\ x^i \to e^{-s} x^i \qquad \bar{\zeta} \to \bar{\zeta} - s \end{cases}$

 * Do not impose condition on time derivative of γ_{ij}

Lyth, Malík & Sasakí (2004)

* At linear order, [AsympFLRW] is a condition on shear. $k\sigma_{\rm g} \sim \partial_i N_i/a$

* $\overline{\zeta}$ is introduced for the dilatation invariance.

(* For (d+1)-Diff, the above condition is imposed on K=dH slicing.)

Classical Lagrangian

[Spatial Diff. invariance] & [Locality]

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{L}_{g} + \mathcal{L}_{matter} \right]$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{g} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\left(K^{i}_{\ j} K^{j}_{\ i} - \beta_{1} K^{2} \right) + \beta_{2} {}^{s} R + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2} \text{ w/o } N_{i}, \epsilon^{3} \text{ w/} N_{i}) \right]$$

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} (\dot{\gamma}_{ij} - D_i N_j - D_j N_i) \qquad \qquad K \equiv \gamma^{ij} K_{ij}$$

* Condition on matter sector needs a bit more inspection. Matter sector \ni Integer spin fields w/sDiff + locality

Bottom-line argument

Tanaka ξ Y.U. (in progress)

(d+1)-dim Diff H-const & M-const
$$\longrightarrow \frac{\zeta}{H} = \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

 \mathcal{J} is described only by other independent dynamical fields.

٠

C.f. projectable HL M-const
non-projectable HL H&M-const
$$\frac{\dot{\zeta}}{H} = \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$
tzumígMukohyama (11)
Gumrukcuoglu et al. (11)
Armendaríz-Pícon et al (10)
Araí, síbíryakov, Y.U. (18)

(ex) Single scalar field, Linear perturbation

H-const
$$\frac{\dot{\zeta}}{H} = \frac{2(d-1)H^2}{16\pi G\dot{\phi}^2} \frac{\partial_k N_k}{a^2 H} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$
 [AsympFLRW]

$$k\sigma_{
m g} \sim \partial_i N_i/a \propto 1/a^{d-1}$$
 $\zeta^{({
m L}),\,{
m dec}} \propto \int dt rac{H^2}{a^d \dot{\phi}^2}$ ultra-SR Kinney(05)

•

see also Leach et al. (01), Y. Tanakag Sasakí (06)

Existence of const. solution w/ (d+1)-dim Diff

Choosing time slicing
$$K = dH \longrightarrow N = 1 + \frac{\dot{\zeta}}{H} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

recall δN formalism starobinsky (82), sasaki-stewardt (95)

H-const
$$\frac{\delta \rho_{\text{tot}}}{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$
 $\delta \rho_{\text{total}} \equiv \delta \rho + \delta \rho_{\text{TT}}$ $\delta \rho_{\text{TT}} \equiv \frac{A^i j A^j i}{16\pi G}$ M-constRemove one fielde.g. scalar field system $\phi^1 = \phi^1(\phi^2, \phi^3, \cdots)$ Tanaka § Y.u. (in progress)

 ζ w/o $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ appears only through *N* (or δN) in $\frac{\delta \rho_{\text{tot}}}{\overline{\rho}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ [Spatial Diff.] & [Asymp FLRW]

 $\rightarrow \frac{\zeta}{H} = \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ also for inhomogeneous ζ (locality)

Outline

1) Exercise in classical theory (Mostly review)

- Definition of asym. FLRW, following gradient expansion
- IR properties: Cost. solution of ζ (a.k.a.Weinberg's adiabatic mode)

- 2) Extension to quantum theory
 - Stochastic approach + Gradient expansion

Repeat the same argument, using effective action.

Dilatation invariance

 $|\Psi\rangle$: Quantum state for $g_{\mu\nu}$, φ^{α} including higher spin particles $\varphi^{\alpha s}(t, x_s) = e^{-S_{\alpha}s}\varphi^{\alpha}(t, x)$ $x_s = e^s x$

Noether charge of the dilatation

$$Q_{\zeta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \left[\Delta_s \zeta(t, \, \boldsymbol{x}) \pi(t, \, \boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{\alpha} \Delta_s \varphi^{\alpha}(t, \, \boldsymbol{x}) \pi_{\alpha}(t, \, \boldsymbol{x}) \, + \, \dots \, + (\text{h.c.}) \right]$$

Dilatation invariance of the quantum system

$$Q_{\zeta} | \Psi \rangle = 0$$

Effective action is in general non-local.

Assuming that a quantum state can be expressed as

$$|\Psi\rangle = U(\delta g, \varphi^{\alpha})|\Psi_{\rm adi}\rangle \qquad \qquad U^{\dagger}U = 1$$

 $\mid \Psi_{\rm adi} \rangle$: adiabatic vacuum or Euclidean vacuum $Q_{\zeta} \mid \Psi_{\rm adi} \rangle = 0$

e.g. non-adiabatic vac. $|\Psi'\rangle = \prod_{k} S_{k}(\theta_{k}, \phi_{k}) |\Psi_{\mathrm{adi}}\rangle$ $S_{k(\theta_{k}, \phi_{k}) \equiv \exp\left[i\theta_{k}\left(a_{k}a_{-k}e^{i\phi_{k}} + a_{-k}^{\dagger}a_{k}^{\dagger}e^{-i\phi_{k}}\right)\right]$

[locality]

 $S_{\rm tot} = S + \delta S$ is local. $U \sim e^{i\delta S}$

Integrating out short modes

 $\varphi \equiv \{\delta g, \varphi^{\alpha}\}$ metric perturbations & matter fields

short modes
$$\varphi^{(S)}(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \theta(k - k_c(a)) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \varphi(t, \mathbf{k})$$

long modes

Influence functional

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{L})}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$$

Stochastic inflation Starobinsky (86)

Smeared field in gradient exp. corresponds to $arphi^{(\mathrm{L})}$

Feynman & Vernon (63)

Feynman & Hibbs (65)

$$iS_{\text{eff}}\left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+}^{(\text{L})},\,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-}^{(\text{L})}\right] \equiv \ln\left[\int D^{g}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+}^{(\text{S})}\int D^{g}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-}^{(\text{S})}\,e^{iS_{\text{tot}}\left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+}^{(\text{L})},\,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{+}^{(\text{S})}\right]-iS_{\text{tot}}\left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-}^{(\text{L})},\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{-}^{(\text{S})}\right]}\right]$$

effective action w/ influence of $\varphi^{(\mathrm{S})}$

Existence of constant solution

[Spatial Diff. invariance] including dilatation inv. $x^i \rightarrow e^s x^i$

 $Q_{\zeta} |\Psi\rangle = 0$

[Asymptotically FLRW spacetime]

 $\left|e^{-\bar{\zeta}}\frac{N_i}{aN}\right| = O(\epsilon)$ N, Ni, ζ satisfy the eoms derived from effective action

$$\frac{\dot{\zeta}^{(\mathrm{L})}}{H} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cl}} + \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{IF}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

long modes of isocurvature integrated out short modes

WT identity for dilatation

dilatation preserves asymp FLRW

$$\bigstar = \frac{\int D^{g} \varphi_{+}^{(S)} \int D^{g} \varphi_{-}^{(S)} \varphi_{\pm}^{(S)}(x_{1}) \cdots \varphi_{\pm}^{(S)}(x_{n}) e^{i(S_{\text{int}}[\varphi_{+}^{(L)}, \varphi_{+}^{(S)}] - iS_{\text{int}}[\varphi_{-}^{(L)}, \varphi_{-}^{(S)}])}{\int D^{g} \varphi_{+} \int D^{g} \varphi_{-} e^{i(S_{\text{int}}[\varphi_{+}^{(L)}, \varphi_{+}^{(S)}] - iS_{\text{int}}[\varphi_{-}^{(L)}, \varphi_{-}^{(S)}])}$$

$$S_{
m int}[oldsymbol{arphi}^{
m (L)}, oldsymbol{arphi}^{
m (S)}] \equiv S_{
m tot}[oldsymbol{arphi}^{
m (L)}, oldsymbol{arphi}^{
m (S)}] - S[oldsymbol{arphi}^{
m (L)}]$$

WT \bigstar before dilatation = \bigstar after dilatation



spín-0 Tanaka ξ Υ.υ. (2015) arbítrary ínteger spín Tanaka ξ Υ.υ. (ín progress)

Soft theorem

Soft theorem: WT for dilatation + [locality]



$$\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}} \boldsymbol{k}_{i} + \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha} \right) \left\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{n}, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}}$$

$$= \int dt \left\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{n}, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \frac{\delta i S_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}]}{\delta \zeta_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})}(t, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})} = 0} \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}} - \int dt \left\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{n}, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \frac{\delta i S_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{S})}]}{\delta \zeta_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})}(t, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\pm}^{(\mathrm{L})} = 0} \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}}$$

 \neq

Remark

Soft theorem $D\varphi^{(S)}$

Consistency relation $D\varphi^{(S)}D\varphi^{(L)}$

Soft theorem → Consistency relation

Soft theorem $D^g \varphi^{(S)} = Consistency relation <math>D^g \varphi^{(S)} D^g \varphi^{(L)}$

only when the contribution of ζ_{kL} is factorizable.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(\mathrm{L})}(t,\,\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}}) &= \zeta^{(ad)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}}) + \int^{t} dt' H \left[\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cl}}(t',\,\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}}) + \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{IF}}(t',\,\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}}) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \\ \mathsf{CR} & \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \boldsymbol{k}_{i} \cdot \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}} + (n-1)d - \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha} \right) \langle T \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{1},\,\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{n},\,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{P_{\zeta}(k_{\mathrm{L}})} \left\langle T \,\zeta^{(\mathrm{L})}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{L}}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{1},\,\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\mathrm{S})}(t_{n},\,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

No additional requirement for gauge theories in asymp. flat sp.



LSZ reduction formula (, Lorentz symmetry)

Inserting soft legs. $\frac{-i}{(p+q)^2+m^2} = \frac{-i}{p^2+2p\cdot q+q^2+m^2} = \frac{-i}{2p\cdot q} \& ie\varepsilon^{\mu}2Qp_{\mu}$

Summary

