# New Physics / Resonances in Vector Boson Scattering at the LHC

25<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Rencontres du Vietnam

#### WINDOWS ON THE UNIVERSE



.R.Reuter

## Jürgen R. Reuter, DESY

based on work with A.Alboteanu, S. Brass, C. Fleper, W. Kilian, T. Ohl, M. Sekulla

w. EPJC [1807.02512] PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022], PRD91(15) 096007 [1408.6207] JHEP 0811.010 [0806.4145]



New Physics in VBS @ LHC |





## Vector Boson Scattering after the Higgs Discovery 2/16



- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions:
  - I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
  - 2. Higgs boson potential, all the way like the Standard Model!?
  - 3. Does "the Higgs" fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
  - 4. Is the I25 GeV state the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
  - 5. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
  - 6. Is there something related to the Little Hierarchy problem (strong or weak)
  - 7. Look for deviations in intricate cancellations of VBS amplitudes



J.R.Reuter New Physics in VBS @ LHC Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

## Anatomy of Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) <sup>3 / 16</sup>

# $D(x_2, Q^2)$ $P_2$ QCD $x_2P_2$ QGC $x_1P_1$ QGC $D(x_1, Q^2)$

 $pp \rightarrow WWjj \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\nu jj$ 

#### Fiducial phase space volume:

- Iljj tag
- *m<sub>jj</sub>* > 500 GeV ("jet recoil")
- $|\Delta y_{jj}| > 2.4$  ("rapidity distance")
- Cuts on E<sub>j</sub>, p<sup>j</sup>

J.R.Reuter

• No mini jet vetoes



#### Backgrounds:

- $tt \rightarrow WbWb$
- W + jets
- single top, misreconstructed jet
- WWjj QCD production
- *II* + X + Emiss ("prompt")



## Anatomy of Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) 4/16



#### VBS ZZjj Candidate Event from PLB 774 (2017) 682

shown by Kenneth Long, Seoul, ICHEP 2018

J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

- Discovery for W+W+jj (electroweak production) ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241] & 1611.02428; CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]
- First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector



Discovery for W+W+jj (electroweak production)

ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241] & 1611.02428; CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]

First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector





Discovery for W+W+jj (electroweak production)

ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241] & 1611.02428; CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]

First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector





Discovery for W+W+jj (electroweak production)

ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241] & 1611.02428; CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]

First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector





I.R.Reuter

#### More channels coming up ...





#### More channels coming up ...



#### **Deviations as EFT — Dim 8 Operators**

Motivated by SMEFT:

I.R.Reuter

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{c_i^{(5)}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \frac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \frac{c_i^{(7)}}{\Lambda^3} \mathcal{O}_i^{(7)} + \frac{c_i^{(8)}}{\Lambda^4} \mathcal{O}_i^{(8)} + \cdots \right]$$

Longitudinal operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = F_{S,0} \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \mathcal{L}_{S,1} = F_{S,1} \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right]$$

#### Mixed operators

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{M,0} &= -g^2 F_{M_0} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{W}^{\nu \rho} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,1} &= -g^2 F_{M_1} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\rho} \mathbf{H}) \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{W}^{\nu \mu} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,2} &= -g'^2 F_{M_2} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{B}^{\nu \rho} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,3} &= -g'^2 F_{M_3} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\rho} \mathbf{H}) \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{B}^{\nu \mu} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,4} &= -gg' F_{M_4} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} \mathbf{W}_{\nu \rho} (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{B}^{\nu \rho} \right] , \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,5} &= -gg' F_{M_5} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} \mathbf{W}_{\nu \rho} (\mathbf{D}^{\rho} \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{B}^{\nu \mu} \right] , \\ \mathcal{L}_{M,7} &= -g^2 F_{M_7} \mathrm{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} \mathbf{W}_{\nu \rho} \mathbf{W}^{\nu \mu} (\mathbf{D}^{\rho} \mathbf{H}) \right] ; \end{split}$$

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

S. Weinberg, 1979 Buchmüller/Wyler, 1986 Grzadkowski/Iskrzysnki/Misiak/Rosiek, 2010 Eboli/Gonzalez-Garcia/Mizukoshi, 2006 Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008 Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

7/16

#### Transversal operators

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{T,0} &= g^4 F_{T_0} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,1} &= g^4 F_{T_1} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\mu\beta} \mathbf{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,2} &= g^4 F_{T_2} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\mu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\beta\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,5} &= g^2 g'^2 F_{T_5} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{B}^{\alpha\beta} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,6} &= g^2 g'^2 F_{T_6} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\mu\beta} \mathbf{B}^{\alpha\nu} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,7} &= g^2 g'^2 F_{T_7} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\mu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\beta\nu} \mathbf{B}^{\alpha\nu} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,8} &= g'^4 F_{T_8} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{B}^{\alpha\beta} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,9} &= g'^4 F_{T_9} \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\mu} \mathbf{B}^{\mu\beta} \right] \mathrm{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\beta\nu} \mathbf{B}^{\nu\alpha} \right]. \end{split}$$

#### **Deviations as EFT — Dim 8 Operators**

Motivated by SMEFT:

J.R.Reuter

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{c_i^{(5)}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \frac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \frac{c_i^{(7)}}{\Lambda^3} \mathcal{O}_i^{(7)} + \frac{c_i^{(8)}}{\Lambda^4} \mathcal{O}_i^{(8)} + \cdots \right]$$

S. Weinberg, 1979

Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008

Longitudinal operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = F_{S,0} \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{S,1} = F_{S,1} \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \mathbf{H}) \right] \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^{\nu} \mathbf{H}) \right]$$

Mixed operators

Transversal operators

Grzadkowski/Iskrzysnki/Misiak/Rosiek, 2010

Eboli/Gonzalez-Garcia/Mizukoshi, 2006

Buchmüller/Wyler, 1986

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

7/16

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

#### Procedures to treat unitarity violations

Cut-off (a.k.a. "Event clipping")  $\theta(\Lambda_C^2 - s)$ 

unitarity bound (0th partial wave) at  $\Lambda_C$ no continuous transition beyond Effect on BDT training not clear





#### **Procedures to treat unitarity violations**

8 / 16



unitarity bound (0th partial wave) at  $\Lambda_C$ no continuous transition beyond Effect on BDT training not clear

#### Form factor

 $\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\Lambda_{EEE}}\right)^n}$ 

Applicable for arbitrary operators, tuning in 2 parameters: n damps unitarity violation,  $\Lambda_{FF}$  highest value to satisfy 0th partial wave





#### Procedures to treat unitarity violations

8/16



I.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC Rencontres du Vie

Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

3



#### General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$

J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC



#### General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$

J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC





#### General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$

J.R.Reuter

DESY

New Physics in VBS @ LHC



DESY



General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ J.R.ReuterNew Physics in VBS @ LHCRencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18



General cuts:  $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 

J.R.Reuter

DESY

New Physics in VBS @ LHC



General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\mathrm{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4;$  $p_T^j > 20 \,\mathrm{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ LeuterNew Physics in VBS @ LHCRencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

J.R.Reuter

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]





$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]

 $\mathcal{L}_{HD} = F_{HD} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H} - \frac{v^2}{4} \right] \cdot \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H})^{\dagger} \mathbf{D}_{\mu} \mathbf{H} \right] \qquad F_{HD} = 30 \ \mathrm{TeV}^{-2}$ 





Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]



 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]



 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

## Resonances: Quantum numbers & simplified models

- Rise of amplitude: is Taylor expansion below a resonance
- Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)

Spins 0, 2 considered, Spin I has different physics (mixing with W/Z)

	isoscalar	isotensor	$32\pi\Gamma/M^5$				
scalar	$\sigma^0$	$\phi_t^{}, \phi_t^{-}, \phi_t^{0}, \phi_t^{+}, \phi_t^{++}$			4	f	V
		$\phi_v^-, \phi_v^0, \phi_v^-$		0	φ	J	
		$\varphi_s$	$F_{S,0}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	15	5
tensor	$f^0$	$(X_t^{}, X_t^{-}, X_t^{0}, X_t^{+}, X_t^{++})$	$F_{S,1}$	_	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-5	-35
		$X_v^-, X_v^0, X_v^-$					
		$X_s^{\circ}$					
			Translation into Wilson coefficients				

below resonance



#### **Comparison: Simplified Models & EFT**

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla: PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022]

Brass/Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: w. EPJC [1807.02512]

Black dashed line: saturation of  $A_{22}(W^+W^+)/A_{00}(ZZ)$ 

12/16



- EFT fails at resonance
- aQGC describe rise of resonance
- Unitarization applied
- Tensor resonances better visible than scalars

 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

#### **Comparison: Simplified Models & EFT**

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla: PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022]

Brass/Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: w. EPJC [1807.02512]

 $10^{1}$  $F_{S,0} = 19.2 \text{ TeV}^{-4} F_{S,1} = -134.1 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$  $F_X = 38.6 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ SM limit of  $\mathcal{A}_{22}$  $10^{(}$  $M_X = 1800 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial M} \begin{bmatrix} fb\\ 100 \text{GeV} \end{bmatrix}$  $\Gamma_X = 720 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $10^{-2}$ 400 1600 1800 2000 600 800 1200 1400 1000  $M(W^+W^+)$ [GeV]

Black dashed line: saturation of  $A_{22}(W^+W^+)/A_{00}(ZZ)$ 

12/16

- EFT fails at resonance
- aQGC describe rise of resonance
- Unitarization applied
- Tensor resonances better visible than scalars

 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

#### **Comparison: Simplified Models & EFT**

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla: PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022]

Brass/Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: w. EPJC [1807.02512]

Black dashed line: saturation of  $A_{22}(W^+W^+)/A_{00}(ZZ)$ 

12/16



- EFT fails at resonance
- aQGC describe rise of resonance
- Unitarization applied
- Tensor resonances better visible than scalars

 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

#### Complete LHC process at 14 TeV



J.R.Reuter

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

## Triple [multiple] Vector Boson Production ? 14/16



Yes, same Feynman rule as in VBS, but ...

- one external  $W/Z/\gamma$  always far off-shell
- Unitarization formalism: work in progress (needs  $2 \rightarrow 3$  unitarizations)
- Different Wilson coefficients dominate (particularly for resonances)
- Important physics (partially) independent from VBS ("different fiducial vol.")



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

## **Conclusions / Summary**

- Vector boson scattering one of the flagship measurements of Runs II/III
- + EFT provides well-defined (and very limited) framework for SM deviations





- There is not really a true model-independent parameterization!
- Unitarization for theoretically sane description (allows reliable BDT analysis)
- T-matrix unitarization universal scheme for EFT and resonances
- Simplified models: generic electroweak resonances
- + Limits from LHC still quite limited:  $\Lambda_{new physics} \sim 500-600 \text{ GeV}$



J.R.Reuter New Physics in VBS @ LHC

6th Workshop on Multi-Boson Interactions

August 28-30, 2018 U. Michigan, Ann Arbor

- I. TU Dresden
- 2. BNL (Brookhaven Ntl. Lab)
- 3. DESY
- 4. U. of Wisconsin Madison
- 5. KIT Karlsruhe
- 6. U. of Michigan Ann Arbor

#### Multi-Boson Interactions (MBI) 2018 6/16

August 28-30, 2018 http://cern.ch/mbi2018 University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI, USA



#### Program committee:

John Campbell (FNAL), Sally Dawson (BNL), Lindsey Gray (FNAL), Christophe Grojean (DESY) Tao Han (U Pittsburgh), Matthew Herndon (UW Madison), Barbara Jäger (U Tübingen) Michael Kobel (TU Dresden), Sabine Lammers (Indiana U), Yurii Maravin (KSU) Marc-André Pleier (BNL), Aaron Pierce (Michigan), Jianming Qian (Michigan) Jürgen Reuter (DESY), James Wells (Michigan), Bing Zhou (Michigan), Junjie Zhu (Michigan)

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

# **BACKUP SLIDES**



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

#### + Consider effects from heavy states by using (known) low-energy d.o.f.s

In addition to being a great convenience, effective field theory allows us to ask all the really scientific questions that we want to ask without committing ourselves to a picture of what happens at arbitrarily high energy.

H. Georgi, 1993



+ Consider effects from heavy states by using (known) low-energy d.o.f.s

In addition to being a great convenience, effective field theory allows us to ask all the really scientific questions that we want to ask without committing ourselves to a picture of what happens at arbitrarily high energy.

H. Georgi, 1993

Integrating out heavy d.o.f.s marginalizes over details of short-distance interactions

+ Toy Example: two interacting scalar fields  $arphi, \Phi$ 

Path integral

$$\mathcal{Z}[j,J] = \int \mathcal{D}[\Phi] \mathcal{D}[\varphi] \exp\left[i \int dx \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(\Box + M^2)\Phi - \lambda\varphi^2\Phi - \ldots + J\Phi + j\varphi\right)\right]$$

+ Consider effects from heavy states by using (known) low-energy d.o.f.s

In addition to being a great convenience, effective field theory allows us to ask all the really scientific questions that we want to ask without committing ourselves to a picture of what happens at arbitrarily high energy.

H. Georgi, 1993

- Integrating out heavy d.o.f.s marginalizes over details of short-distance interactions
- + Toy Example: two interacting scalar fields  $arphi, \Phi$

Path integral 
$$\mathcal{Z}[j,J] = \int \mathcal{D}[\Phi] \mathcal{D}[\varphi] \exp\left[i \int dx \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(\Box + M^2)\Phi - \lambda\varphi^2\Phi - \ldots + J\Phi + j\varphi\right)\right]$$

Completing the square (Gaussian integration)

$$\Phi' = \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{M^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial^2}{M^2} \right)^{-1} \varphi^2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \checkmark \qquad \checkmark$$



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

+ Consider effects from heavy states by using (known) low-energy d.o.f.s

In addition to being a great convenience, effective field theory allows us to ask all the really scientific questions that we want to ask without committing ourselves to a picture of what happens at arbitrarily high energy.

H. Georgi, 1993

- Integrating out heavy d.o.f.s marginalizes over details of short-distance interactions
- + Toy Example: two interacting scalar fields  $\,\,arphi,\Phi\,$

Path integral 
$$\mathcal{Z}[j,J] = \int \mathcal{D}[\Phi] \mathcal{D}[\varphi] \exp\left[i \int dx \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(\Box + M^2)\Phi - \lambda\varphi^2\Phi - \ldots + J\Phi + j\varphi\right)\right]$$

Completing the square (Gaussian integration)

$$\Phi' = \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{M^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial^2}{M^2} \right)^{-1} \varphi^2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \checkmark \checkmark$$

In the Lagrangian remove the high-scale d.o.f.s:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}M^2\Phi^2 - \lambda\varphi^2\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi'(M^2 + \partial^2)\Phi' + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda^2}{2M^2}\varphi^2\left(1 + \frac{\partial^2}{M^2}\right)^{-1}\varphi^2}_{-1}$$

Irrelevant normalization of the path integral

Tower of higher and higher-dim. operators of light fields



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

## **Scenarios for New Physics in VBS**

- I. SM or weakly coupled physics (e.g. 2HDM): amplitude remains close to origin
- Rising amplitude (at least one dim-8 operator): rise beyond unitarity circle [unphys.], strongly interacting regime
- 3. Inelastic channel opens (form-factor description): new channels open out, multi-boson final states
- 4. Saturation of amplitude: maximal amplitude, strongly interacting continuum, K-/T-matrix unitarization
- 5. New resonance: amplitude turns over



19/16



Optical Theorem (Unitarity of the S(cattering) Matrix):  $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \text{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{ii}(t=0) \right] / s \qquad t = -s(1 - \cos \theta) / 2$ 

Partial wave amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$  ("Power spectrum")



20/16

**Optical Theorem** (Unitarity of the S(cattering) Matrix):  $\sigma_{tot} = \text{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{ii}(t=0) \right] / s \qquad t = -s(1 - \cos \theta)$ 

Partial wave amplitudes:

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1) \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s) P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$
 ("Power spectr









 $\operatorname{Re}[\mathcal{A}]$ 



Partial wave amplitudes:

$$\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$
 ("Power spectr

Assuming only elastic scattering:

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell}|^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left|\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right|^2 = \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right]$ 

SM longitudinal isospin eigenamplitudes ( $A_{I,spin=J}$ ):

$$\mathcal{A}_{I=0} = 2 \frac{s}{v^2} P_0(s)$$
  $\mathcal{A}_{I=1} = \frac{t-u}{v^2} = \frac{s}{v^2} P_1(s)$   $\mathcal{A}_{I=2} = -\frac{s}{v^2} P_0(s)$ 



 $i\frac{x_{el}}{2}$ 



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

J.R.Reuter

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 



Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950



- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.
- T-matrix: Thales circle construction

$$\Theta$$
 Defined via  $\left|a - \frac{a_K}{2}\right| = \frac{a_K}{2} \Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right) - i}$ 

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950





Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

New Physics in VBS @ LHC

.R.Reuter

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.
- T-matrix: Thales circle construction
- Identical to K matrix for real amplitudes
- Points on Argand circle left invariant
- Does not rely on perturbation theory
- Applicable for amplitudes with imaginary parts (models with resonances)

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950







New Physics in VBS @ LHC

Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.
- T-matrix: Thales circle construction
- Identical to K matrix for real amplitudes
- Points on Argand circle left invariant
- Does not rely on perturbation theory
- Applicable for amplitudes with imaginary parts (models with resonances)

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950



21/16



New Physics in VBS @ LHC

Rencontres du Vietnam 2018, Quy Nhon, 7.8.18

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014