# Highlights from the Pierre Auger Observatory



#### Marcus Niechciol<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the Pierre Auger Collaboration<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany <sup>2</sup>Observatorio Pierre Auger, Malargüe, Argentina

25<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Rencontres du Vietnam Windows on the Universe 2018 (Quy Nhon, 07.08.2018)



### Outline



#### • Introduction

- Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays
- Pierre Auger Observatory

#### • Current results

- Energy spectrum
- Composition
- Anisotropy

#### • Perspectives

AugerPrime

### Outline



#### • Introduction

- Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays
- Pierre Auger Observatory

#### • Current results

- Energy spectrum
- Composition
- Anisotropy

#### • Perspectives

AugerPrime

### Cosmic rays





### Cosmic rays

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Pierre Auger Observatory (I)

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### General

- Located near Malargüe, Argentina; latitude 35.2 °S, longitude 69.3 °W
- Start of data taking: 2004

#### • Surface detector (SD)

- 1600 water Cherenkov detectors
- 1500 m distance, area: 3000 km<sup>2</sup>
- $E > 10^{18.5} \text{ eV}$
- ~100 % duty cycle
- Fluorescence detector (FD)
  - 4 stations with 6 telescopes each
  - Field of view per telescope: 0-30° elevation, 30° azimuth
  - $E > 10^{18} \text{ eV}$
  - 13 % duty cycle

![](_page_5_Picture_15.jpeg)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, NIM A 798 (2015) 172-213]

# Pierre Auger Observatory (II)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### • Infilled array

- 60 additional water Cherenkov detectors
- 750 m distance, area: 24 km<sup>2</sup>
- $E > 10^{17.5} \text{ eV}$

#### • HEAT

- 3 additional fluorescence telescopes
- Tilted field of view: 30-60° elevation
- $E > 10^{17} \text{ eV}$

#### • AERA

- 124 radio stations
- Different distances, area 17 km<sup>2</sup>
- Measurement of the radio signals emitted by air showers (frequencies 30-80 MHz)

![](_page_6_Picture_14.jpeg)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, NIM A 798 (2015) 172-213]

## Hybrid concept (I)

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Hybrid concept (II)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

07.08.2018

### Outline

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### • Introduction

- Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays
- Pierre Auger Observatory
- Current results
  - Energy spectrum
  - Composition
  - Anisotropy
- Perspectives
  - AugerPrime

# Energy spectrum (I)

- of the energy spectrum of UHECRs over three
- Precise reconstruction of the energy spectrum of UHECRs over three decades in energy
  - 4 datasets: FD (Hybrid), SD 750 m, SD 1500 m (0-60°), SD 1500 m (60-80°)
  - ~300.000 events, ~70.000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr exposure, -90°...+45° covered in  $\delta$

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

Good agreement of the individual spectra within the uncertainties:
 → Combined spectrum

<sup>[</sup>F. Fenu for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)486]

## Energy spectrum (II)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

[F. Fenu for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)486]

•

# Energy spectrum (III)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

[F. Fenu for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)486]

# Composition: $X_{max}$ distributions

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

07.08.2018

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

Composition:  $\langle X_{max} \rangle$  and  $\sigma(X_{max})$ 

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Determine  $\langle X_{max} \rangle$  and  $\sigma(X_{max})$  from the unbiased distributions

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Elongation rate (79±1)gcm<sup>-2</sup> decade<sup>-1</sup> below ~10<sup>18.3</sup> eV, (26±2)gcm<sup>-2</sup> decade<sup>-1</sup> above
  - ~60 g cm<sup>-2</sup> decade<sup>-1</sup> expected for constant composition

[]. Bellido for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)506]

# Composition: $\langle lnA \rangle$ and $\sigma^2(lnA)$

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Calculate (InA) and σ<sup>2</sup>(InA) from (X<sub>max</sub>) and σ(X<sub>max</sub>) using current hadronic interaction models
  - Same trend for all models: composition gets lighter until ~10<sup>18.3</sup> eV, then heavier again

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Results also serve as a test of the hadronic interaction models [J. Bellido for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)506]

### **Composition: correlation**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Study the correlation between  $X_{\text{max}}$  and  $S_{1000}$  for 18.5 < log<sub>10</sub>(E[eV]) < 19.0
  - Correlation coefficient ~0: "pure" composition (e.g. 100 % p or 100% Fe)
  - Correlation coefficient < 0: mixed composition
  - Expectation robust against uncertainties in the hadronic interaction models

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Data: significantly negative correlation
   → mixed composition
- Mixture of only protons and Helium not sufficient to explain the data, also heavier nuclei are necessary

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Search for UHE photons

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Stringent limits on the diffuse flux of UHE photons
  - Exotic models strongly constrained
  - Predictions of some cosmogenic models are within reach
- Targeted search for sources of UHE photons
  - No evidence for EeV photon emitters in any of the studied source classes (e.g. pulsars, X-ray binaries...)
  - Connection to H.E.S.S. measurements of the Galactic Center in the TeV regime

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

### Search for UHE neutrinos

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Limits on the diffuse flux of UHE neutrinos allow for constraints on cosmogenic neutrino source models
  - Pure-proton models with strong source evolution are excluded

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

Diffuse flux neutrino model	Expected events			
	(1 Jan 04 - 31 Mar 17)			
Cosmogenic - proton - strong source evolutio	n			
Cosmogenic - proton, FRII evol. (Kampert 2012)	$\sim 5.2$			
Cosmogenic - proton, FRII evol. (Kotera 2010)	$\sim 9.2$			
Cosmogenic - proton - moderate source evolution				
Cosmogenic - proton, SFR evol (Aloisio 2015)	$\sim 2.0$			
Cosmogenic - proton, SFR evol, $E_{\text{max}} = 10^{21} \text{ eV}$ (Kotera 2010)	$\sim 1.8$			
Cosmogenic - proton, SFR evol. (Kampert 2012)	$\sim 1.2$			
Cosmogenic - proton, GRB evol. (Kotera 2010)	$\sim 1.5$			
Cosmogenic - proton - normalized to Fermi-LAT GeV $\gamma$ -rays				
Cosmogenic - proton, Fermi-LAT, $E_{\min} = 10^{19} \text{ eV}$ (Ahlers 2010)	$\sim 4.0$			
Cosmogenic - proton, Fermi-LAT, $E_{\min} = 10^{17.5}$ eV (Ahlers 2010)	$\sim 2.1$			
Cosmogenic - mixed and iron				
Cosmogenic - mixed (Galactic) UHECR composition (Kotera 2010)	$\sim 0.7$			
Cosmogenic - iron, FRII (Kampert 2012)	$\sim 0.35$			
Astrophysical sources				
Astrophysical - radio-loud AGN (Murase 2014)	$\sim 2.6$			
Astrophysical - Pulsars - SFR evol. (Fang 2014)	$\sim 1.3$			
	·			

**EXCLUDED** (> 90% CL), **DISFAVORED** (85% < CL < 90% ), **ALLOWED** 

[E. Zas for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)972]

## Multimessenger astronomy (I)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Searches for neutrinos in association with gravitational wave events detected by LIGO and Virgo
  - Discussed here: GW170817 (binary neutron star merger)
  - 2 s later detection of a gamma-ray burst (GRB170817A) by Fermi GBM and INTEGRAL
  - Follow-up observations by many observatories and instruments; searches for associated neutrinos by IceCube, Antares and Auger

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Multimessenger astronomy (II)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Searches for neutrinos in association with gravitational wave events detected by LIGO and Virgo
  - Discussed here: GW170817 (binary neutron star merger)
  - 2s later detection of a gamma-ray burst (GRB170817A) by Fermi GBM and INTEGRAL
  - Follow-up observations by many observatories and instruments; searches for associated neutrinos by IceCube, Antares and Auger
     GW170817 Neutrino limits (fluence per flavor: ν<sub>x</sub> + ν̄<sub>x</sub>)

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Intermediate-scale anisotropy (I)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Compare the arrival directions of UHECRs with the expected flux pattern from two catalogs of extragalactic γ-ray emitters
  - $\gamma$ -ray-detected Active Galactic Nuclei ( $\gamma$ AGN) from the 2FHL catalog, 17 radio-loud objects within 250 Mpc (mainly BL-Lac-type blazars and FR-I-type radio galaxies), use  $\Phi$ (>50 GeV) as proxy for the UHECR flux
  - Starburst Galaxies (SBG) from a Fermi-LAT search list, select the 23 brightest objects within 250 Mpc, use  $\Phi(>1.4 \text{ GHz})$  as proxy for the UHECR flux
- Likelihood ratio analysis as test statistics for deviation from isotropy
  - **2 free parameters:** search radius  $\psi$ , anisotropic fraction f
  - Null hypothesis: isotropy; hypothesis under test:  $(1-f) \times isotropy + f \times flux$  map from catalog

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Intermediate-scale anisotropy (II)

- Isotropy at intermediate angular scales disfavored at the  $4\sigma$  level for the comparison with the SBG catalog
- Results indicative of an excess of events from strong, nearby sources

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

Model Excess Map - Starburst galaxies - E > 39 EeV

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Observed Excess Map - E > 60 Eev

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

Model Excess Map - Active galactic nuclei - E > 60 EeV

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

07.08.2018

Marcus Niechciol | 14<sup>th</sup> Rencontres du Vietnam - Windows on the Universe 2018 (Quy Nhon)

UNIVERSITÄT

# Large-scale anisotropy (I)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- **Rayleigh analysis** of the first harmonic in right ascension  $\alpha$ 
  - ~114,000 events with E > 4 EeV and  $\theta < 80^\circ$ , declination range -90° <  $\delta < 45^\circ$  (85% sky coverage); 2 energy bins (4-8 EeV, > 8 EeV)

$a_lpha = rac{2}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \cos lpha_i$	Energy (EeV)	Number of events	Fourier coefficient $a_{\alpha}$	Fourier coefficient $b_{\alpha}$	Amplitude $r_{\alpha}$	Phase φ <sub>α</sub> (°)	Probability P (≥ r <sub>α</sub> )	$r_lpha=\sqrt{a_lpha^2+b_lpha^2}$
$b_{\alpha} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \sin \alpha_i$	4 to 8	81,701	0.001 ± 0.005	0.005 ± 0.005	0.005 +0.006 -0.002	80 ± 60	0.60	$tan co = b_{\alpha}$
$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow[i=1]{} \mathcal{N}$	≥8	32,187	$-0.008 \pm 0.008$	$0.046 \pm 0.008$	0.047 +0.008 -0.007	100 ± 10	$2.6 \times 10^{-8}$	$\tan \varphi_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{a_{\alpha}}$

• Above 8 EeV: significant modulation at a level of  $5.2\sigma$  (5.6 $\sigma$  before penalization)

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, Science 357 (2017) 1266]

# Large-scale anisotropy (II)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### • Reconstruction of the dipole structure:

Energy	Dipole	Dipole	Dipole	Dipole	<b>Dipole right</b>
(EeV)	component $d_z$	component $d_{\perp}$	amplitude d	declination $\delta_d$ (°)	ascension $\alpha_{d}$ (°)
≥8	-0.026 ± 0.015	$0.060\substack{+0.011\\-0.010}$	$0.065\substack{+0.013\\-0.009}$	$-24_{-13}^{+12}$	100 ± 10

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Dipole structure is **expected** if cosmic rays diffuse to the Galaxy from sources distributed similar to **nearby galaxies** (take e.g. the **2MRS catalog**)
  - Deflection of the dipole pattern due to the Galactic magnetic field
- Strong indication for an extragalactic origin of UHECR above 8 EeV

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, Science 357 (2017) 1266]

### Outline

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### • Introduction

- Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays
- Pierre Auger Observatory

#### • Current results

- Energy spectrum
- Composition
- Anisotropy
- Perspectives
  - AugerPrime

### **Motivation for AugerPrime**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

- **Complex and unexpected picture of UHECR** is emerging from the data
  - Suppression of the flux of cosmic rays at the highest energies firmly established,
     ...but the origin of the suppression not yet clear (propagation effect? maximum energy at the source? both?)
  - \$\langle X\_{max} \rangle\$ data indicate a light (and mixed) composition around the ankle and a heavier composition towards the highest energies,
     ...but the detailed interpretation of the data is currently limited by uncertainties in the hadronic interaction models
  - We start seeing anisotropies in the arrival directions (observation of a large-scale dipole structure, indications for anisotropy at intermediate scales)
     ...but is there a rigidity-dependence?
- Open questions cannot be answered with only more statistics
  - An upgraded detector is needed, in particular an improved measurement of the muonic shower component to increase the composition sensitivity, with a duty cycle of ~100 % → AugerPrime

# AugerPrime (I)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Main part of the upgrade: equip every water Cherenkov detector (WCD) with an additional scintillation counter (Scintillation Surface Detector, SSD)
  - Exploit the different response of the two detectors to the electromagnetic and muonic shower components to disentangle the components

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Moreover:

Improved (faster) electronics Additional (small) PMT for increased dynamic range

- Extension of the FD duty cycle
- Dedicated (buried) muon counters in the 750 m array for cross-checks (AMIGA)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:1604.03637]

07.08.2018

# AugerPrime (II)

- September 2016: deployment of an SSD Engineering Array (12 stations)
  - Since then data taking and first data analysis
- 2018: Design finalized and tested, large-scale production of SSDs started
  - Deployment of the SSDs in the full SD array in 2018-2019
- Data taking until 2025 (exposure ~40.000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Deployment of 5-10 SSDs per day

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:1604.03637]

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The Pierre Auger Observatory has been successfully taking data since almost 15 years
- Key results
  - Precise measurement of the energy spectrum above ~10<sup>17</sup> eV: flux suppression above 40 EeV firmly established
  - Composition: measurements of  $\langle X_{max} \rangle$  over 3 orders of magnitude in energy; evidence for a mixed composition around the ankle
  - Anisotropy: observation of a dipole structure above 8 EeV, indications of an intermediatescale anisotropy
  - ....and a lot more!
- Results led to new (and unexpected) questions about UHECR
  - To answer them, an extensive upgrade program (AugerPrime) has been started
  - Exciting times ahead!

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Backup

### SD and FD

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

[C. Peters]

07.08.2018

#### AMIGA

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, JINST 11 (2016) P02012]

07.08.2018

### Atmospheric monitoring

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### [C. Peters]

07.08.2018

### Energy calibration

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

	$SD \ 1500 < 60^{\circ}$	$SD \ 1500 > 60^{\circ}$	SD 750	Hybrid	
Data taking period	Jan. 2004 – Dec. 2016	Jan. 2004 – Dec. 2016	Aug. 2008 – Dec. 2016	Jan. 2007 – Dec 2015	
Exposure [km <sup>2</sup> sr yr]	51,588	15,121	228	1946 @10 <sup>19</sup> eV	
Number of events	183,332	19,602	87,402	11,680	
Zenith angle range [deg.]	0–60	60-80	0–55	0–60	
Energy threshold [eV]	$3 \times 10^{18}$	$4 \times 10^{18}$	$3 \times 10^{17}$	10 <sup>18</sup>	
Calibration parameters					
Number of events	2661	312	1276		
A [eV]	$(1.78 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{17}$	$(5.45 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{18}$	$(1.4 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{16}$		
В	$1.042 \pm 0.005$	$1.030\pm0.018$	$1.000\pm0.008$		
Energy resolution [%]	15	17	13		

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$E_{FD} = A \hat{S}^{B}$$
  
 $\hat{S} = S_{38}, S_{35}, N_{19}$ 

[F. Fenu for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)486]

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

Systematic uncertainties on the energy scale				
Absolute fluorescence yield	3.4%			
Fluor. spectrum and quenching param.	1.1%			
Sub total (Fluorescence yield - sec. 2)	3.6%			
Aerosol optical depth	3%÷6%			
Aerosol phase function	1%			
Wavelength depend. of aerosol scatt.	0.5%			
Atmospheric density profile	1%			
Sub total (Atmosphere - sec. 3)	3.4%÷6.2%			
Absolute FD calibration	9%			
Nightly relative calibration	2%			
Optical efficiency	3.5%			
Sub total (FD calibration - sec. 4)	9.9%			
Folding with point spread function	5%			
Multiple scattering model	1%			
Simulation bias	2%			
Constraints in the Gaisser-Hillas fit	$3.5\% \div 1\%$			
Sub total (FD profile rec sec. 5)	6.5% ÷5.6%			
Invisible energy (sec. 6)	3%÷1.5%			
Stat. error of the SD calib. fit (sec. 7)	0.7%÷1.8%			
Stability of the energy scale (sec. 7)	5%			
Total	14%			

[V. Verzi for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, ICRC 2013]

#### **Declination dependence**

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

[F. Fenu for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)486]

07.08.2018

# $X_{\rm max}$ resolution and systematics

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

[J. Bellido for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)506]

07.08.2018

### Combined fit

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Simultaneous fit of a simplified scenario to the spectrum and X<sub>max</sub> data
  - One-dimensional propagation, homogeneous distribution of identical sources of protons, Helium, Nitrogen, Silicon and Iron
  - Injection spectrum at the source: **power law with cut-off in rigidity**
  - Model dependence: propagation code, cross-sections, EBL models...
  - **Reference model:** SimProp, PSB cross-sections, Gilmore 2012 EBL, EPOS LHC
  - Scan in the spectral index  $\gamma$  and the cut-off rigidity  $R_{cut}$  for the reference model

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Combined fit: EGMF (I)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Include the extragalactic magnetic field in the combined fit
  - 4D propagation using CRPropa3 instead of SimProp 1D
  - Use large-scale structure following Dolag 2012 for the source distribution
  - Results for a single model (CRPropa3, TALYS cross sections, Gilmore 2012 EBL, EPOS LHC)

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Combined fit: EGMF (II)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Include the extragalactic magnetic field in the combined fit
  - 4D propagation using CRPropa3 instead of SimProp 1D
  - Use large-scale structure following Dolag 2012 for the source distribution
  - Results for a single model (CRPropa3, TALYS cross sections, Gilmore 2012 EBL, EPOS LHC)

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

[D. Wittkowski for the Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS(ICRC2017)563]

#### Search for UHE neutrinos

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### down-going

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

### AugerPrime: sensitivity to $\gamma/\nu$

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

07.08.2018

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

[The Pierre Auger Collaboration, arXiv:1604.03637]