Inflation as a Cosmological Collider

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology



Cosmological Collider Status

Yi Wang <u>≡</u>—, 2018.08.06

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology



Cosmological Collider Status & Future

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology











Collider Built by Nature?

High energies in nature

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?

High energies in nature:

- Black holes



- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?

High energies in nature:

- Black holes
- The early universe



- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?

High energies in nature:

- Black holes
- The early universe

Probably the highest energy in our universe

Is it a "collider"?



Collider Built by Nature?

What's needed as a "collider"?

High energies in nature:

- Black holes
- The early universe

Probably the highest energy in our universe

Is it a "collider"?



Image: WMAP

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?









The curvature perturbation $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \sim \delta N(\mathbf{x}) \sim \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} \delta \phi \quad (\phi = \phi_0(t) + \delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, t))$

Intuitive (probably too rough) $T_{GH} \sim H \rightarrow \delta \phi \sim H$

Formalism: QFT in curved spacetime

$$\begin{split} S &= \int d^3x \, dt \, a^3(t) \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \cdots \right), \\ \langle \delta \phi^n(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle &= \left\langle \left(\bar{T} e^{i \int^t dt \, H_I} \right) \delta \phi^n_{(I)} \left(T e^{-i \int^t dt \, H_I} \right) \right\rangle, \qquad \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \sim H^2 \,, \quad \langle \delta \phi^3 \rangle \cdots \end{split}$$

PGW & remaining isocurvature fluctuation (if any): similarly





Observations: Correlation functions of

- Curvature perturbation ζ
 - From CMB $\Delta T/T$, LSS & 21cm $\delta \rho / \rho$
 - Status: 2pt well measured (COBE DMR)
 - 3pt, ... (non-Gaussianity) not yet observed
- PGW: From CMB B-mode, not yet observed
- Isocurvature: From details of CMB/LSS, not yet observed





The cosmological collider

What can be studied?

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?





Information in correlation functions: Mass: resonance in energy dependence Spin: angular dependence Interactions: size & energy envelop

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?



Detections New particle Mass of Higgs

Information in correlation functions: Mass: resonance in energy dependence Chen & YW, 0909.0496, 0911.3380 Arkani-Hamed & Maldacena, 1503.08043 **Spin:** angular dependence Arkani-Hamed & Maldacena, 1503.08043 Baumann, Goon, Lee, Pimentel, 1712.06624 **Interactions:** size & energy envelop Model dependent, lots of studies

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?



- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?







- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference





- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference



Accidentally near *H* ?

- Grand unification
- Neutrino seesaw

Chen, Wang & Xianyu, 1805.02656

Accidentally near *H* ?

- Grand unification
- Neutrino seesaw

Chen, Wang & Xianyu, 1805.02656

Uplifted to *H* scale: - Standard Model $\langle h^2 \rangle \sim H^2$ $\lambda h^4 \supset \lambda \langle h^2 \rangle h^2 \sim m_{eff}^2 h^2$ also: possible $h^2 R \sim H^2 h^2$ Chen & YW, 0911.3380 Chen, YW & Xianyu, 1610.06597 Kumar & Sundrum, 1711.03988



Accidentally near H?

- Grand unification
- Neutrino seesaw

Chen, Wang & Xianyu, 1805.02656

Uplifted to *H* scale:

- Standard Model $\langle h^2 \rangle \sim H^2$

 $\lambda h^4 \supset \lambda \left< h^2 \right> h^2 \sim \ m_{\rm eff}^2 \ h^2$

also: possible $h^2 R \sim H^2 h^2$

Chen & YW, 0911.3380

Chen, YW & Xianyu, 1610.06597

Kumar & Sundrum, 1711.03988



Accidentally near H ?

- Grand unification
- Neutrino seesaw

Chen, Wang & Xianyu, 1805.02656

Uplifted to *H* scale:

- Standard Model $\langle h^2 \rangle \sim H^2$

 $\lambda h^4 \supset \lambda \langle h^2 \rangle h^2 \sim m_{\rm eff}^2 h^2$

also: possible $h^2 R \sim H^2 h^2$

Chen & YW, 0911.3380

Chen, YW & Xianyu, 1610.06597

Kumar & Sundrum, 1711.03988

- SUSY breaking

Baumann & Green, 1109.0292 Delacretaz, Gorbenko & Senatore 1610.04227

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference
- What's at the energy scale *H*?
- How is the collider "built"?

Expansion history Chen, Namjoo & YW, 1509.03930

Maldacena, 1508.01082

Correction to 2pt Jiang & YW, 1703.04477

Testing QM

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference
- What's at the energy scale *H*?
- How is the collider "built"?
- Has inflation indeed happened?

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN FEBRUARY 2017

Cosmic Inflation Theory Faces Challenges

The latest astrophysical measurements, combined with theoretical problems, cast doubt on the long-cherished inflationary theory of the early cosmos and suggest we need new ideas

By Anna Ijjas, Paul J. Steinhardt, Abraham Loeb



🖞 Observations

A Cosmic Controversy

A *Scientific American* article about the theory of inflation prompted a reply from a group of 33 physicists, along with a response from the article's authors

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN FEBRUARY 2017

Precision Era: Haven't we known our universe very well?

Cosmic Inflation Theory Faces Challenges

The latest astrophysical measurements, combined with theoretical problems, cast doubt on the long-cherished inflationary theory of the early cosmos and suggest we need new ideas

By Anna Ijjas, Paul J. Steinhardt, Abraham Loeb



🖞 Observations

A Cosmic Controversy

A *Scientific American* article about the theory of inflation prompted a reply from a group of 33 physicists, along with a response from the article's authors

Chen, Namjoo & YW, 1509.03930

Precision Era: Haven't we known our universe very well?



Image: Planck Team



We know fluctuations as functions of scales (k) very well. $k \sim -1/\tau$ (conformal time) Thus we know fluctuation \leftrightarrow conformal time τ But what about fluctuation \leftrightarrow physical time t?



We know fluctuations as
functions of scales (k) very well.
k ~ −1/τ (conformal time)
Thus we know
fluctuation ↔ conformal time τ
But what about
fluctuation ↔ physical time t?





We know fluctuations as functions of scales (k) very well. $k \sim -1/\tau$ (conformal time) Thus we know fluctuation \leftrightarrow conformal time τ But what about fluctuation \leftrightarrow physical time t?



X. Chen, Namjoo & YW, 1509.03930



- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference
- What's at the energy scale *H*?
- How is the collider "built"?
- Has inflation indeed happened?

Status ??



Challenges for observations ...

- HEP at Higher Energies?
- Collider Built by Nature?
- What's needed as a "collider"?
- What can be studied?
- Mass: what's the resonance?
- From resonance to interference
- What's at the energy scale *H*?
- How is the collider "built"?
- Has inflation indeed happened?
- Challenges for observations ...

Thank you!

Main References:

X. Chen & YW, 0909.0496, 0911.3380, 1205.0160 D. Baumann & D. Green, 1109.0292 Noumi, Yamaguchi & D. Yokoyama 1211.1624 Gong, Sasaki & Pi 1306.3691 N. Arkani-Hamed & J. Maldacena, 1503.08043 X. Chen, Namjoo & YW, 1509.03930, 1601.06228 X. Chen, YW & Z. Z. Xianyu, 1610.06597, 1612.08122 An, McAneny, Ridgway & Wise, 1711.02667 S. Kumar & R. Sundrum, 1711.03988 and so on

Acknowledgment

This talk is supported in part by Grants ECS 26300316 and GRF 16301917 from Research Grants Council (RGC) of Hong Kong