



properties) provides a reasonable description of the emission spectrum away from the Galactic plane.





The spectral index gradient problem

Prothast, Gaggero, Strom, Weniger, 2018



Clear evidence of a progressive hardening in the inner Galaxy towards the GC Large uncertainty in the GC region !

The spectral index gradient problem

Prothast, Gaggero, Strom, Weniger, 2018

proton spectral index in several energy intervals using SkyFact: *adaptable* template fitting tool [Storm,Veniger & Calore 2017]



The radial dependent hardening is present in two energy intervals with hints of a harder spectrum at high energies which may be an evidence that the CR hardening found by Pamela and AMS is present in the whole Galaxy

Can the progressive hardening be due to unresolved sources ?

Prothast, Gaggero, Strom, Weniger, 2018



NO !

Small contribution for any reasonable source distribution model

A possible solution based on non-linear propagation



More sources → smaller diffusion coefficient due to streaming instability
 → advection dominates CR escape → harder CR spectrum

<u>Energy dependent effect</u>: at high energy it should be absent The results of *Prothast et al.* already disfavor this interpretation



A scenario based on spatial dependent diffusion



Gaggero, Urbano, Valli & Ullio, PRD 2015

CR hardening @ ~ 300 GeV/n

CREAM coll. ApJ Lett. 2010 PAMELA coll. SCIENCE 2011 AMS-02 coll. PLR 2015

PAMELA found an hardening of the p and He spectra at ~ 250 GeV/n **AMS-02** confirmed the feature (slightly smoother and starting at ~ 300 GeV/n). This is also required to match **CREAM**

A similar effect was found for heavier nuclei



CR hardening @ \sim 300 GeV/n

CREAM coll. ApJ Lett. 2010 PAMELA coll. SCIENCE 2011 AMS-02 coll. PLR 2015

PAMELA found an hardening of the p and He spectra at ~ 250 GeV/n **AMS-02** confirmed the feature (slightly smoother and starting at ~ 300 GeV/n).This is also required to match **CREAM**

A similar effect was found for heavier nuclei

- What is the origin of such feature ?
- Is this a local effect or it is present in the whole Galaxy ?



CR hardening @ 300 GeV/n, secondaries vs primaries AMS-02 coll.

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 120, 021101 (2018)

Editors' Suggestion

Featured in Physics

Observation of New Properties of Secondary Cosmic Rays Lithium, Beryllium, and Boron by the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station

above 200 GeV/n secondaries harden more than primaries $(\Delta \gamma \sim 0.13 \text{ on average})$

this is just what expected if the effect would be produced by propagation

Secondary nuclei, however, probe only few kpc around us.

 γ -rays provide a much deeper probe !



PRL 2018

Origin of the Cosmic Ray Galactic Halo Driven by Advected Turbulence and Self-Generated Waves

Carmelo Evoli,^{1,2,*} Pasquale Blasi,^{1,2,3,†} Giovanni Morlino,^{1,2,3,‡} and Roberto Aloisio^{1,2,§}



13

First steps beyond the TeV

a possible solution of the Milagro anomaly

Milagro observed an excess (4 σ) at 15 TeV in the inner GP respect to the prediction of conventional models (*Abdo et al. ApJ 2008*)

We checked that the excess is present also respect to updated conventional CR propagation models based on Fermi data

The excess holds also accounting for a CR hardening at 300 GeV/n as require by Pamela ad AMS-02 results (assuming it is present in the whole Galaxy as expected if it is originated by sources or by propagation)



First steps beyond the TeV

a possible solution of the Milagro anomaly

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Urbano, Valli ApJ L 2015

We extended the KRA γ model including the CR spectral hardening assuming it to be present in the whole Galactic plane (gamma model)

This allows to match FERMI data and Milagro observed flux @ 15 TeV consistently !

HAWC collaboration will check and extend Milagro results providing a further test of our scenario





 \rightarrow <u>CR spectrum becomes harder</u> for R \rightarrow 0. The effect holds at large energies

Relevance of / implications for the Galactic Center diffuse emission

- To understand CR propagation/γ-ray diffuse emission in that region is crucial for DM indirect search and to interpret Fermi bubbles
- The GC is one of the few regions where the diffuse emission spectrum was measured up to tens of TeV
- Different interpretations of the spectral index gradient predicts different γ -ray spectra at the GC



The Galactic center TeV excess

H.E.S.S., Nature 2006

- The diffuse emission from the central molecular zone (CMZ) is correlated with the gas distribution (inferred from CO and CS emission maps)
- IC and synchrotron losses too strong in that region ⇒ hadronic emission
- The spectrum is harder ($\Gamma \simeq -2.3$) than expected from the hadron scattering of Galactic cosmic rays (CR) if their spectrum is the same of that at the Earth ($\Gamma \simeq -2.7$)
- A freshly accelerated (hard) CR component was invoked to explain the emission





The Galactic center TeV excess

10 years later

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016

 the diffuse emission around J1745-290 (positionally compatible with SgrA*) extends up to ~ 50 TeV ⇒ CR protons up to ~ PeV

Galactic latitude (degrees

+00.2

+00.0

-00.2

-00.4

-00.6

- same spectrum of the point source JI745-290 which however display at cutoff @ ~ 10 TeV.
 Very strong attenuation required around it !!
 See e.g. S. Celli et al. 2016
- leptonic emission (IC) cannot match the observed spectrum due to strong losses





The PeVatron scenario

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016 + A&A 2018

At the GC the emission profile seems more peaked than the estimated gas distribution. The inferred CR density profile is consistent with that expected from CR diffusing out a stationary source.

stationary source.

$$w_{\rm CR}(E,r) = \frac{\dot{Q}_{\rm source}(E)}{4\pi D(E)} \frac{1}{r} \propto E^{-(\Gamma_{\rm src}+\delta)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{1.5}^{1.0} \int_{1.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.0}^{0.0} -0.5 -1.0 -1.5} \int_{0.0}^{1.0} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.0}^{0.0} -0.5 -1.0 -1.5} \int_{0}^{1.0} \int_{0}^{0.5} \int_{0.0}^{0.0} \int_{0}^{0.0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0$$

ess counts/arcmin²

з

2

Galactic

+LSC +DGC

+CC

The PeVatron scenario

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016 + A&A 2018

At the GC the emission profile seems more peaked than the estimated gas distribution. The inferred CR density profile is consistent with that expected from CR diffusing out a stationary source.

$$w_{\rm CR}(E,r) = \frac{Q_{\rm source}(E)}{4\pi D(E)} \frac{1}{r} \propto E^{-(\Gamma_{\rm src}+\delta)}$$

Possible loopholes:

- the H₂ tracer's emission (CO, CS .. lines) may be absorbed in high density clouds
- only the projected distance from the GC is observed. HESS collaboration assumes a uniform gas density along the line of sight. This may give rise to a bias.



Extending the energy interval: the role of 10 years of Fermi-LAT data

- The morphology of the emission may be different at different energies for non stationary sources
- In the conventional scenario the presence of a hard component taking over the softer large scale
 Galactic CR sea should give rise to a spectral feature

keep in mind that below 10 GeV the diffuse emission measured by Fermi data agrees with what expected from a uniform CR sea





The Fermi counts from the central kpc of the Galaxy



The emission is clearly dominated by the CMZ !

The CR energy density radial profile from HESS and FERMI

Here we use the same approach, and same gas mass distribution based on the CS emission map, of the HESS coll. and compare the result with our model

We use larger region due to the smaller Fermi-LAT angular resolution

Good agreement with the **gamma model** for R > 50 pc consistent with an almost uniform CR density outside that region Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso & Urbano, PRL 2017





Ridge (2 < l < -2 & -1 < b < 1)

The diffuse emission spectrum of the GC before 2016



The CR sea emission against FERMI + HESS at the GC

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso & Urbano, PRL 2017 " + S.Ventura (ICRC 2017)



Recent updates

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso, S. Ventura preliminary





Recent updates

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso, S. Ventura preliminary





0

90 ||| < |°, | b | < 0.3°

PASS8 Fermi-LAT 516 weeks of data extracted with the v10r0p5 Fermi tool. Point sources from the 3FHL catalogue subtracted.

 $\Gamma_{\text{HESS} + \text{FERMI}} = 2.43 \pm 0.03$

this implies $\Gamma \sim 2.5$ for primary protons



The role of the (global) hardening at few hundred GeV

Similarly to what required for the solution of the Milagro anomaly both the radial hardening and the global hardening are required to match the data. This implies:

- further evidence for radial spectral index gradient. It presence at the GC and at E > I TeV disfavour interpretations based on non-linear CR propagation.
- first evidence of the presence of the CR hardening in the GC region suggesting this is a global effect (a source effect most likely).



Future tests



ICECUBE PRELIMINARY 54 Implications for neutrino astronomy 26 +17 31 Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Urbano, Valli ApJ L 2015 51 + 53 × 29 + 180 -180 ×38 13× 33-34 + 15 + 12+ • On the whole sky the diffuse flux due to the Galactic .10 2.1 Galaxy is 15 % at most (8 % for conventional TS=2log(L/L0) 13.1 ٥ models) of that measured by IceCube. < 30° |b| ANTARES, spectral index: -2.4 ($\nu_{\mu} \times 3$) In the inner Galactic plane however the gain KM3NeT, spectral index: $-2.3 (\nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + \nu_{\tau})$ 10⁻⁵ factor is much larger $E_{\nu}^{2} d\Phi/dE_{\nu} [GeV cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}]$ ▼ IceCube (37 events) A neutrino telescope in the North hemisphere 10⁻⁶ is more suited to detect the Galactic component. We computed the upper limit on the basis of ANTARES data in the region. 10⁻⁷ | *I* | < 30°, | *b* | < 4° (cut 5x10′GeV) (cut 5x10⁶ KRA, GeV) KRA' (cut 5x10' GeV)

10⁻⁸

 10^{-2}

KRA

 10^{-1}

 10^{0}

(cut 5x10⁶ GeV

 10^{1}

 E_{ν} [TeV]

10³

104

34

 10^{2}

Observable by KM3NeT (work in progress) !

Implications for neutrino astronomy

ANTARES coll., Phys. Lett. B, 2016 ANTARES coll. + D. Gaggero & D.G. PRD 2017 ANTARES + IceCube + D. Gaggero & D.G., to appear___

Galactic plane

ANTARES and IceCube constrained the maximum diffuse neutrino flux at a maximum value of ~20% of the total IceCube astrophysical measured flux.





-75

15

CONCLUSIONS

- Fermi-LAT data in the inner galaxy may imply that the Galactic CR sea in the GC region is harder that the local one. This can hardly be explained by unresolved sources while inhomogeneous/anisotropic transport offers a viable interpretation of the spectral index gradient
- We used PASS8 Fermi-LAT data to extend the diffuse emission spectrum in the GC measured by HESS down to few GeV. An independent analysis by the Fermi-LAT coll. would be wishful
- Assuming that the CR spectral hardening at 300 GeV found by Pamela and AMS is
 present in the whole disk (as also favored by AMS 2018) the bulk of the diffuse emission
 from the CMZ may be originated by the Galactic CR sea.
- CTA should be able to confirm the scenario we propose observing the emission from molecular clouds outside the CMZ
- In this scenario the neutrino diffuse emission from the Galactic disk is significantly enhanced. ANTARES and IceCube may soon test it. Km3NeT can measure that emission with high accuracy.