## Noble liquid detectors near the single-electron limit

Adam Bernstein, Rare Event Detection Group Leader, Physics and Life Sciences Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

On behalf of the nascent U<sub>A</sub>,(1) collaboration LBL, UCSD, Purdue, LLNL, Stonybrook, CERN...

13<sup>th</sup> Rencontres du Vietnam - Exploring the Dark Universe

July 23 2017



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC



## Outline

- Dark matter candidates old and new
- A Standard Model standard candle
- U<sub>A</sub>(1): A modest (ly sized) proposal
- Turning your back on the greatest invention since sliced bread
- Energy calibration in the few electron regime
- Few-electron noise in noble liquids





#### Fiducial mass – 10 kg





# The Dark Sector – gravitationally interacting particles with no Standard Model charge, consistent with astrophysical evidence for Dark Matter

### Weakly interacting massive particles

'WIMPs' – ~100 GeV particles with neutrino-level cross sections



Nuclear scatter

Interacts coherently with nuclei

Phase space thoroughly probed in the 50-500 GeV range by **LUX** and others

**LZ** will approach/breach the solar neutrino coherent scatter noise floor

Dark Sectors Workshop arxiv:1608.08632

### Some other viable Dark Sector interactions

Standard Model Photon  $\rightarrow$  A <u>Dark photon  $\rightarrow$  A'</u> the exchange particle for WIMPS or other DM particles ?



shell e- scatter

e<sup>-</sup> absorption

scatters incoherently from or is absorbed by shell electrons

# Phase space already probed by LUX, XENON-10, XENON-100

Low cost, fast  $U_{A'}(1)$  experiment may probe more deeply than prior expts.



## WIMP interactions look a lot like a Standard Model process that does have a (weak) charge

 Standard Model: (fast, light, Z<sup>0</sup> mediated, blind to charge and flavor, coherent)

### **Coherent Neutrino Nucleus Scattering**



 $\lambda \sim a \text{ few } fm \quad M = \sim 1 \text{ meV}$ 

 WIMP: (slow, heavy, unmediated, blind to charge and flavor, coherent)

### **WIMP-Nucleus Scattering**





 $\lambda \sim a \text{ few } fm \quad M = \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$ 



 $U_{A'}(1)$  – a modestly sized proposal to observe very low energy recoils in xenon UA1 experiment  $\longrightarrow U_{A'}(1)$  experiment

Discovered W and Z bosons, won Nobel prize

Discovery of A', the dark photon ?

The  $U_{A'}(1)$  experiment consists of a ~10 kg dual-phase xenon detector



Purdue, UCSD, LBL, LLNL are involved so far.. Others anticipated and welcomed



# What kind of dark sector sensitivity might $U_{A'}(1)$ achieve ?



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Plots/estimates courtesy R. Essig, Stonybrook Univ

10 kg of xenon – or argon - also provides a healthy coherent scatter rate at a typical nuclear reactor

Ar-39 dominates in natural argon but can be suppressed (Savarese, Fri. 4 pm) negligible in xenon





~3 GWt reactor ~25 meter standoff ~10 meter depth

```
Argon (Xenon): ~25 (6) cts/day
```

But why make a reactor coherent scatter measurement ?

- 1. First detection ever, an important physics result
- 2. Close dark matter analog
- 3. new monitoring tool for nonproliferation an LLNL priority
- 4. Experimental tour-de-force !

## **Dual-phase noble liquid Time Projection Chambers**

 primary or SI scintillation (prompt photons generated in liquid start the TPC clock)

and:

- secondary or **S2** scintillation (delayed electrons, each converted to 10-100 photons in gas blanket)
- Good electron drift properties
- Large self-shielded target mass
- 3-D signal localization to ~I mm
- Powerful discrimination between nuclear and electromagnetic recoils S1/S2 ratio differs for nuclear/E&M recoils
  U<sub>A</sub>(1) would sacrifice these properties to reduce energy thresholds



# Giving up on the best part of xenon TPCs by ignoring primary 'S1' scintillation

LUX example: Retain efficiency at low threshold using only the charge (S2) signal



First proposed

Hagmann and Bernstein 2004 – arXiv:0411004v1

'Two-Phase Emission Detector for Measuring Coherent Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering' A recent application

Essig et. al, 2011 – arxiv:1206.2644

'First Direct Detection Limits on sub-GeV Dark Matter from XENON10'





## To succeed we must characterize signal strengths, and noise, in the 0 – 1 keV region – about 1-20 liquid electrons

### Sub-keV measurements of <u>electromagnetic</u> recoils have already been made in argon and xenon



LLNL results circa 2013-2014

Yale/LBL/UCB results circa 2016-17 E. Boulton et. al arxiv 1705.08958



## Even after LUX in-situ <u>nuclear</u> recoil ionization yield measurements, uncertainty remains at the lowest energies



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Plot courtesy B. Lenardo UC Davis and LLNL



# Physics reach depends on the ionization yield (e<sup>-</sup>/keV) results and achievable threshold



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Plot courtesy B. Lenardo UC Davis and LLNL



# Yield measurements in the few electron regime remain essential



Univ. provided by Prof. Phil Barbeau

#### LLNL Xe Detector Assembly





# Assuming we can calibrate signal strengths, we must still confront noise..



#### At thresholds ~< 1keV or ~1-10 $e^{-}$ , we enter **a new domain**:

Physics-induced signals are comparable to intrinsic excitations in detector materials – the detector itself is the background, not just external radiation !



## LUX shows evidence for photoionization of bulk impurities, and release of electrons at the gas-liquid boundary

Different X-Y position patterns can be identified for different stages of electron emission:

- Prompt electron emissions from bulk photoionization
- Delayed electrons emissions from liquid surface



#### Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Plots courtesy J. Xu And the LUX collaboration

SE-X-Y, t < 325 us

Time

# Some emissions are neither time nor space correlated with prior energy depositions

The distribution of fewelectron events 200 ms after small, isolated energy depositions is **not** highly space or time correlated with a prior event..

Not seen to come from grid defects or "hot spots"...



#### Where are these events coming from ?

# The Malter effect in noble liquids (?)

- The Malter effect is enhanced e- emission in the presence of dielectric layers on metals, due to accumulation of positive charge on the dielectric surface
- Layers of solid xenon are observed to form on metals immersed in liquid xenon (and He, Ne, Ar)
- Photo-induced electron emission (PIEE) is observed in rare element solids



Positive ion accumulation at the liquid xenon-metal boundary may result in <u>correlated emissions</u> of a few electrons



Mitigation strategies for few-electron noise can be explored readily in available small detectors

- ? Increase extraction field may reduce trapped electrons at the liquid gas interface
- ? Apply AC field at the cathode to de-trap positive ions (local, does not disrupt drifting electrons)
- ? Apply an infrared pulse to liberate trapped electrons

We will explore these noise suppression techniques in the coming year as part of the  $U_{A'}(1)$  effort

# Summary

- Sub-kev thresholds permit exploration of new dark sector phase space with relatively small detectors
- The U<sub>A</sub> (1) collaboration is well positioned to explore this new phase space with a 10 kg xenon detector
- Low energy calibrations and noise studies will help us explore the light mass particle regime – and possibly extend the reach of LZ beyond its baseline sensitivity
- Reactors provide high statistics sample of (anti)neutrino scatters that closely mimic low-mass WIMPs



## In LUX, **photo-ionization in the bulk liquid** can be timecorrelated with a prior scintillation or ionization event

- Xe scintillation light can ionize impurities in the bulk liquid
- Produced by both scintillation (S1) and ionization (S2) light
- Time delay up to full drift time in the detector



