

Covariant constraints in massive gravity theories

Laura BERNARD

based on arXiv: 1410.8302, 1504.0482 + in prep., with C. Deffayet, A. Schmidt-May and M. von Strauss

Cosmology : 50 years after CMB discovery

Qhy Nhon - 19/08/2015

INSTITUT D'ASTROPHYSIQUE DE PARIS

Unité mixte de recherche 7095



CNRS - Université Pierre et Marie Curie

Introduction to massive gravity

How to obtain the linearized field equations and the constraints

Results in different cases

Motivations and history of massive gravity

Motivations

- ▶ Explain the accelerated expansion of the Universe by a modification of GR at long distance.
- ▶ Have a better understanding of massive spin-2 fields.

Massive gravity : a brief historical review

- ▶ Fierz-Pauli linear massive gravity theory (1939),
- ▶ van Dam, Veltman and Zakharov (vDVZ) discontinuity (1970) : FP does not recover GR in the massless limit,
- ▶ Vainshtein mechanism (1972) : have to take into account the non-linearities,
- ▶ Boulware Deser (BD) ghost (1972) : a ghost-like 6th dof reappears in any non-linear massive gravity theory,
- ▶ de Rham, Gabadadze and Tolley (dRGT) theory (2011) : non-linear theory free of the BD ghost.

Fierz-Pauli theory (1939)

$$S_{h,m} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{M}_h^2 \int d^4x h_{\mu\nu} \left[\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2} (\eta^{\rho\mu} \eta^{\sigma\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma}) \right] h_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\rho \delta_\nu^\sigma \square + \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \delta_\mu^\rho \partial^\sigma \partial_\nu - \delta_\nu^\rho \partial^\sigma \partial_\mu - \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} \square + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \partial^\sigma \right] h_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\delta \bar{E}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2} (h_{\mu\nu} - h \eta_{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

- ▶ Field eqs. for a massive graviton that has 5 degrees of freedom.
- ▶ $\partial^\nu \delta \bar{E}_{\mu\nu} \implies$ 4 vector constraints : $\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu h = 0$.
- ▶ Taking another divergence : $2\partial^\mu \partial^\nu \delta \bar{E}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{m}^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{E}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{3}{2} \bar{m}^4 h$.
- ▶ Scalar constraint $h = 0$.
- ▶ It is the only linear massive gravity theory free of ghost.
- ▶ But it needs to be generalized to a non-linear theory.

The dRGT massive gravity theory

$$S = M_g^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R(g) - 2m^2 V(S; \beta_n) \right],$$

$$V(S; \beta_n) = \sum_{n=0}^3 \beta_n e_n(S),$$

- ▶ Square-root matrix $S^\mu{}_\rho S^\rho{}_\nu = g^{\mu\rho} f_{\rho\nu}$,
- ▶ $e_n(S)$ elementary symmetric polynomials :

$$e_0(S) = 1, \quad e_1(S) = \text{Tr}[S], \quad e_2(S) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Tr}[S]^2 - \text{Tr}[S^2] \right),$$
$$e_3(S) = \frac{1}{6} \left(\text{Tr}[S]^3 - 3\text{Tr}[S]\text{Tr}[S^2] + 2\text{Tr}[S^3] \right)$$

- ▶ No BD ghost.

The dRGT massive gravity theory

$$S = M_g^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R(g) - 2m^2 V(S; \beta_n) \right],$$

$$V(S; \beta_n) = \sum_{n=0}^3 \beta_n e_n(S) \quad \text{and} \quad S^\mu{}_\nu = [\sqrt{g^{-1}}f]^\mu{}_\nu.$$

Field equations

$$E_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + m^2 V_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R, \quad V_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{-2}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{|g|}V)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}.$$

The dRGT massive gravity theory

$$S = M_g^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R(g) - 2m^2 V(S; \beta_n) \right],$$

$$V(S; \beta_n) = \sum_{n=0}^3 \beta_n e_n(S) \quad \text{and} \quad S^\mu{}_\nu = [\sqrt{g^{-1}}f]^\mu{}_\nu.$$

Field equations

$$E_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + m^2 V_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R, \quad V_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{-2}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{|g|}V)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}.$$

Linearized field equations around a background solution

$$\delta E_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + m^2 \delta V_{\mu\nu} \equiv \left[\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} + m^2 \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} \right] h_{\rho\sigma} = 0,$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

Method 1: Variation of the matrix S

To linearized the field equations we first need to obtain the perturbed matrix S .

It can be done using 2 different methods.

Cayley-Hamilton theorem

$$S^4 - e_1 S^3 + e_2 S^2 - e_3 S + e_4 \mathbb{1} = 0.$$

$$\left[e_3 \mathbb{1} + e_1 S^2 \right] \delta S = F(\delta S^2).$$

- ▶ Solution for δS iff $\mathcal{X} \equiv e_3 \mathbb{1} + e_1 S^2$ is invertible.

Method 1: Variation of the matrix S

To linearized the field equations we first need to obtain the perturbed matrix S .

It can be done using 2 different methods.

Cayley-Hamilton theorem

$$S^4 - e_1 S^3 + e_2 S^2 - e_3 S + e_4 \mathbb{1} = 0.$$
$$\left[e_3 \mathbb{1} + e_1 S^2 \right] \delta S = F(\delta S^2).$$

- Solution for δS iff $\mathbb{X} \equiv e_3 \mathbb{1} + e_1 S^2$ is invertible.

Sylvester equation: $AX - XB = C$

$$S^\mu{}_\nu (\delta S)^\nu{}_\sigma + (\delta S)^\mu{}_\nu S^\nu{}_\sigma = \delta[S^2]^\mu{}_\sigma.$$

- Unique explicit solution for δS iff S and $-S$ do not have common eigenvalues $\iff \det(\mathbb{X}) \neq 0$.

Method 2: Redefined fluctuation variables

Redefinition of the perturbation variable

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = (\delta_{\mu}^{\beta} S_{\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} S_{\mu}^{\lambda}) \delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$$

- ▶ The other variables $(\delta S, \delta S^{-1})$ can then be expressed as a function of $\delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$.

Method 2: Redefined fluctuation variables

Redefinition of the perturbation variable

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = (\delta_{\mu}^{\beta} S_{\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} S_{\mu}^{\lambda}) \delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$$

- ▶ The other variables $(\delta S, \delta S^{-1})$ can then be expressed as a function of $\delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$.

$\delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$ is also a solution of the Sylvester equation:

$$g^{-1} \delta g = S g^{-1} \delta g' + g^{-1} \delta g' S$$

- ▶ There is a unique solution for $g^{-1} \delta g'$ iff S and $-S$ do not have **common eigenvalues**.
- ▶ It decreases the number of terms we have to deal with: the variation of δS is hidden in $\delta g'_{\beta\lambda}$.

Search for a scalar constraint

Counting the degrees of freedom

- ▶ 4 vector constraints: $\nabla^\nu \delta E_{\mu\nu} = 0$
- ▶ Scalar constraint: unlike in the F-P theory, it cannot be obtained from a linear combination of $g^{\mu\nu} \delta E_{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \delta E_{\mu\nu}$.

Search for a scalar constraint

Counting the degrees of freedom

- ▶ 4 vector constraints: $\nabla^\nu \delta E_{\mu\nu} = 0$
- ▶ Scalar constraint: unlike in the F-P theory, it cannot be obtained from a linear combination of $g^{\mu\nu} \delta E_{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \delta E_{\mu\nu}$.

Generalised traces and divergences of the field equations

1. We define all possible ways of tracing $\delta E_{\mu\nu}$ with S^μ_ν :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i &\equiv [S^i]{}^{\mu\nu} \delta E_{\mu\nu}, & 0 \leq i \leq 3 \\ \Psi_i &\equiv [S^i]{}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\lambda \delta E_{\lambda\mu} & 0 \leq i \leq 3.\end{aligned}$$

2. Find a linear combination of these 8 scalars for which the 2nd derivative terms vanish :

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 (u_i \Phi_i + v_i \Psi_i) \sim 0,$$

More details on the search for a scalar constraint

$$\Phi_i \equiv [S^i]^{\mu\nu} \delta E_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Psi_i \equiv [S^i]^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\lambda \delta E_{\lambda\mu} \quad 0 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Find a linear combination of these 8 scalars for which the 2nd derivative terms vanish : $\sum_{i=0}^3 (u_i \Phi_i + v_i \Psi_i) \sim 0$.

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 (u_i \Phi_i + v_i \Psi_i) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{26} \alpha_i \mathfrak{N}_i = 0,$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_i = \{ \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma}, \dots, [S^3]^{\rho\sigma} [S^3]^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \}$$

- ▶ $\alpha_i = 0$: 26 equations for 7 unknowns $\{u_i, v_i\}$, only the trivial solution.
- ▶ All the \mathfrak{N}_i are not all independent from each other : non trivial identities (**syzygies**) linking them \implies Reduces the number of equations to be solved.

A particular case: the beta 1 model

We assume $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ and keep $\beta_0, \beta_1 \neq 0$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ arbitrary.

Field equations

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + m^2 \left[\beta_0 g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_1 g_{\mu\rho} (e_1(S) \delta_\nu^\rho - S^\rho_\nu) \right] = 0,$$

It can be solved for S^μ_ν :

$$S^\rho_\nu = \frac{1}{\beta_1 m^2} \left[R^\rho_\nu - \frac{1}{6} \delta_\nu^\rho R - \frac{m^2 \beta_0}{3} \delta_\nu^\rho \right].$$

- ▶ It is only possible in the β_1 model.
- ▶ It can be used to eliminate any occurrences of S in the linearized field equations.

A particular case: the beta 1 model

- ▶ In the β_1 model, we can express the linearized field equations as a function of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its curvature.
- ▶ **We now take these equations as our starting point, no more assuming that $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a background solution.**

A particular case: the beta 1 model

- ▶ In the β_1 model, we can express the linearized field equations as a function of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its curvature.
- ▶ We now take these equations as our starting point, no more assuming that $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a background solution.

The fifth scalar constraint

$$-\frac{m^2 \beta_1 e_4}{2} \Phi_0 - e_3 \Psi_0 + e_2 \Psi_1 - e_1 \Psi_2 + \Psi_3 = 0.$$

- ▶ Massive graviton (with 5 dof) propagating in a single arbitrary background.

Beyond the beta 1 model: the general case

$$\bar{\Psi} = [S^{-1}]^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\lambda \delta E_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{e_4} (e_3 \Psi_0 - e_2 \Psi_1 + e_1 \Psi_2 - \Psi_3).$$

1. $\beta_3 = 0$

$$\frac{m^2 \beta_1}{2} \Phi_0 + m^2 \beta_2 \Phi_1 + \bar{\Psi} = 0.$$

Beyond the beta 1 model: the general case

$$\bar{\Psi} = [S^{-1}]^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\lambda \delta E_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{e_4} (e_3 \Psi_0 - e_2 \Psi_1 + e_1 \Psi_2 - \Psi_3).$$

1. $\beta_3 = 0$

$$\frac{m^2 \beta_1}{2} \Phi_0 + m^2 \beta_2 \Phi_1 + \bar{\Psi} = 0.$$

2. $\beta_3 \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m^2 \beta_1}{2} \Phi_0 + m^2 \beta_2 \Phi_1 - m^2 \beta_3 (\Phi_2 - e_1 \Phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 \Phi_0) + \bar{\Psi} \\ & \sim m^2 \beta_3 (S^{\mu\lambda} [S^2]^{\nu\beta} - S^{\mu\nu} [S^2]^{\beta\lambda}) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g'_{\beta\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ It is not a covariant constraint but all the second time derivatives vanish.

Applications

- ▶ For flat and Einstein space-times the constraint reduces to the expected one $h = 0$.
- ▶ Application to cosmology (β_1 model): The equations of motion are those of a massive graviton propagating in an arbitrary FLRW space-time.
- ▶ We can use this formalism for bimetric gravity to obtain the linearized field equations in bimetric gravity and study the covariant constraints.

Conclusion

- ▶ Linearized equations of massive gravity (and bi-gravity) in the general case.
- ▶ Consistent theory for a massive graviton propagating in a single arbitrary background metric (β_1 model).
- ▶ Five covariant constraints in a metric formulation, when $\beta_3 = 0$.
- ▶ No covariant scalar constraint when $\beta_3 \neq 0$.