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## Outline

Heavy flavor spectroscopy
$\checkmark \mathrm{X}(3872)$ state in $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays
$\checkmark \mathrm{Z}(4430)^{-}$state in $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{K}^{+} \pi^{-}$decays
$\checkmark$ Search for $\mathrm{f}_{0}(980)$ in $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decays

Heavy flavor production
$\checkmark$ kinematic dependences of the relative production rates $\mathrm{f}_{\Lambda_{b}} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{d}}$
$\checkmark$ Production of $\chi_{b}(1 \mathrm{P}, 2 \mathrm{P}, 3 \mathrm{P})$ states

## X(3872) state

X(3872) discovered by Belle in 2003, also observed by CDF, D0, BaBar, LHCb and CMS

- Exotic particle X(3872)
- discovered in

$$
\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \text {decay mode }
$$

$-\mathrm{M}=3871.68 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ $M \simeq M\left(D^{0}\right)+M\left(D^{* 0}\right)$
$-\Gamma<1.2 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
$-\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=1^{++}$by LHCb using $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}(3872) \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

- Nature is still unclear, possible interpretations:
- $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{* 0}$ molecula
- conventional $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ (2P)
- tetraquark
- ...
- and their mixtures
$\eta_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(1^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ is now ruled out
$\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}\left(2^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ possible but disfavored by mass
charmonium spectrum



## Radiative decay of X(3872)

$\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ decay allows to better understand the nature of $\mathrm{X}(3872)$
Predictions for the ratio $\quad R_{\psi \gamma} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2 S) \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma)}$

| Model | Prediction |
| :---: | :---: |
| charmonium, $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}(2 \mathrm{P})$ | $1.2-15$ |
| molecula, $\mathrm{DD}^{*}$ | $(3-4) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mixture $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}(2 \mathrm{P})+\mathrm{DD}^{*}$ | $0.5-5$ |

## LHCb-PAPER-2014-008, Nucl.Phys B 886 (2014) 665

PRL 107 (2011) 091803


BaBar vs Belle descripancy

| events |  | significance |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ | $\mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma$ | $\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ | $\mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma$ |
| $25.4 \pm 7.3$ | $23.0 \pm 6.4$ | $3.6 \sigma$ | $3.5 \sigma$ |
| $5.0_{-11.0}^{+11.9}$ | $30.0_{-7.4}^{+8.2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 \sigma}$ | $4.9 \sigma$ |



Radiative decay of X(3872) in LHCb


Projections of the 2D fit to $\mathrm{M}(\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma \mathrm{K})$ and $\mathrm{M}(\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ )

LHCb-PAPER-2014-008, Nucl.Phys B 886 (2014) 665

The significance was estimated with simplified simulation

## Radiative decay of $X(3872)$ in LHCb

$$
R_{\psi \gamma}=\frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma)}=2.46 \underset{\text { (stat) }}{ \pm 0.64 \pm} \underset{\text { (syst) }}{0.29}
$$



|  | events |  | significance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ | $\mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma$ | $\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ | $\mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma$ |
| BaBar | $25.4 \pm 7.3$ | $23.0 \pm 6.4$ | $3.6 \sigma$ | $3.5 \sigma$ |
| Belle | $5.0_{-11.0}^{+11.9}$ | $30.0_{-7.4}^{+8.2}$ | $0.4 \sigma$ | $4.9 \sigma$ |
| LHCb | $36.4 \pm 9.0$ | $591 \pm 48$ | $4.4 \sigma$ | $12 \sigma$ |

## LHCb-PAPER-2014-008,

Nucl.Phys B 886 (2014) 665
$\checkmark$ The LHCb results are consistent with, but more precise than, the BaBar and Belle results
$\checkmark$ The results are not consistent with the expectations for pure molecular X(3872)
$\checkmark \mathrm{X}(3872)$ is likely a mixture of a $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}\left(2^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ charmonium state and of $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{* 0}$ molecule

## Z(4430)-

## Phys. Rev.Lett. 100 (2008) 142001

Observation of a resonance-like structure in the $\pi^{ \pm} \psi^{\prime}$ mass distribution in exclusive $B \rightarrow K \pi^{ \pm} \psi^{\prime}$ decays
The observation could be interpreted as the first evidence for the existence of mesons beyond the traditional quark-anti-quark model


Belle Discovers a New Type of Meson


1D $\mathrm{M}\left(\pi^{ \pm} \psi(2 S)\right)$ mass fit $\mathrm{K}^{*}$ veto region
$M(Z)=4433 \pm 4 \pm 2 \mathrm{MeV}$
$\Gamma(\mathrm{Z})=45 \begin{array}{cc}+18 & +30 \\ -13 & -13\end{array} \mathrm{MeV}$
significance $6.5 \sigma$


## Z(4430)- in BaBar and Belle

Harmonic moments of K*s (2D)
reflected to $\mathrm{M}\left(\pi^{ \pm} \psi(2 S)\right)$


Almost model independent approach to $\mathrm{K}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \pi^{-}$backgrounds
BaBar did not confirm Z(4430)- in B sample comparable to Belle
Did not numerically contradict the Belle results $\mathrm{BR}\left(\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}\right) \times \mathrm{BR}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \psi(2 \mathrm{~S})\right)<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$

4D amplitude fit
K* veto region


Model dependent approach to $\mathrm{K}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \pi$ backgrounds
$J^{P}=1^{+}$prefered by $>3.4 \sigma$
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Z})=4485_{-22}^{+22}+{ }_{-11}^{+28} \mathrm{MeV}$
$\Gamma(\mathrm{Z})=200{ }_{-46}^{+41} \begin{gathered}+26 \\ -35\end{gathered} \mathrm{MeV}$ significance $6.4 \sigma$ ( $5.6 \sigma$ with sys.)

## Z(4430)- state in LHCb



An order of magnitude larger signal statistics than in Belle or BaBar thanks to hadronic production of b-quarks at LHC

Even smaller non-B background than at the $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$experiments thanks to excellent performance of the LHCb detector (vertexing, particle identification)

## Z(4430)- state in LHCb

LHCb uses both approaches

Belle 4D


- data

4D model dependent amplitude analysis


Moments analysis

No assumptions about K* contributions except for the maximal J
$\mathrm{K}^{*}$ reflection do not describe the $\mathrm{Z}(4430)^{-}$region
This approach does not allow to define $\mathrm{Z}(4430)^{-}$ parameters

4D fit method


The $\chi^{2}$ p-value $<2 \times 10^{-6}$ ( Z excluded) The $\chi^{2} \mathrm{p}$-value $=12 \%\left(\right.$ with $\left.Z(4430)^{-}\right)$

## Z(4430)- parameters in LHCb



| Excellent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eonsistency | LHCb | Belle |
| $M(Z)[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $4475 \pm 7_{-25}^{+15}$ | $4485 \pm 22_{-11}^{+28}$ |
| $\Gamma(Z)[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $172 \pm 13_{-34}^{+37}$ | $200_{-46}^{+41+35}$ |
| $f_{Z}[\%]$ | $5.9 \pm 0.9_{-3.3}^{+1.5}$ | $10.3_{-3.5-2.3}^{+3.0+4.3}$ |
| $f_{Z}^{I}[\%]$ <br> (with interterences) <br> Significance | $16.7 \pm 1.6_{-5.2}^{+2.6}$ |  |
|  | $>13.9 \sigma$ | $>5.2 \sigma$ |

(new large systematic effect included by LHCb)
spin parity

Likelihood-ratio test to discriminate between $0^{-}$and $1^{+}$ hypotheses

LHCb-PAPER-2014-014 arXiv: 1404.1903


Including systematic variations
Rejection level relative to $1^{+}$

| Disfavored $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}$ | LHCb | Belle |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $0-$ | $9.7 \sigma$ | $3.4 \sigma$ |
| $1-$ | $15.8 \sigma$ | $3.7 \sigma$ |
| $2+$ | $16.1 \sigma$ | $5.1 \sigma$ |
| $2-$ | $14.6 \sigma$ | $4.7 \sigma$ |

$\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$now established beyond any doubt

## Z(4430)- in LHCb

Argand diagram


Replace the Breit-Wigner amplitude for $Z(4430)^{-}$by 6 independent amplitudes in $\mathrm{M}^{2}\left(\psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \pi^{-}\right)$bins in its peak region

Observe a fast change of phase crossing maximum of magnitude

Expected behaviour for a resonance
arXiv: 1404.1903

First time ever the resonant character of the four-quark candidate has been demonstrated this way!

## More than one $Z(4430)^{-} \rightarrow \psi(2 S) \pi^{-}$



One more Z resonance may be included
Argand diagram studies are inconclusive

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Z})=4239 \pm 18_{-10}^{+45} \quad \mathrm{MeV}
$$

$$
\Gamma(\mathrm{Z})=200 \pm 47{ }_{-74}^{+108} \quad \mathrm{MeV}
$$

Need more data to clarify!

## Excitement



## Spectroscopy in light quark sector

Scalar mesons in general (particular $\mathrm{f}_{0}(980)$ ) are not well understood Recently, LHCb observed two channels $B_{s} \rightarrow J / \psi f_{0}(980)$ and $B_{d} \rightarrow J / \psi f_{0}(500)$ Many possibilities: $q \bar{q}, q \bar{q} q \bar{q}$, mixtures...

When $\mathrm{f}_{0}(500)$ and $\mathrm{f}_{0}(980)$ are considered as $q \bar{q}$ states there is the possibility of their being mixtures of light and strange quarks

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{0}(980)\right\rangle & =\cos \varphi_{m}\langle s \bar{s}\rangle+\sin \varphi_{m}|n \bar{n}\rangle \\
\left|f_{0}(500)\right\rangle & =-\sin \varphi_{m}|s \bar{s}\rangle+\cos \varphi_{m}|n \bar{n}\rangle, \\
\text { where }|n \bar{n}\rangle & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|u \bar{u}\rangle+|\bar{d}\rangle) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When these states are viewed as $q \bar{q} q \bar{q}$ states the wave functions becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{0}(980)\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|[s u][\bar{s} \bar{u}]\rangle+|[s d][\bar{s} \bar{d}]\rangle) \\
\left|f_{0}(500)\right\rangle & =|[u d][\bar{u} \bar{d}]\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

phase space
Observable: factors

$$
\tan ^{2} \varphi_{m} \equiv r_{\sigma}^{f}=\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi f_{0}(980)\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi f_{0}(500)\right)} \frac{\Phi(500)}{\Phi(980)}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { for pure } \\
& \text { tetraquark } \\
& \text { states } \sim 1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amplitude analysis $B_{d} \rightarrow J / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$




Branching fractions for each channel

Similar to the $\mathrm{Z}(4430)$ : 4 D analysis No evidence for $\mathrm{J} / \psi \pi^{+}$
Best fit model does not require $\mathrm{f}_{0}(980)$ component

| $R$ | $\mathcal{B}\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi R, R \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\rho(770)$ | $\left(2.50 \pm 0.10_{-0.15}^{+0.18}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $f_{0}(500)$ | $\left(8.8 \pm 0.5_{-1.5}^{+1.1}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $f_{2}(1270)$ | $\left(3.0 \pm 0.3_{-0.3}^{+0.2}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\omega(782)$ | $\left(2.7_{-0.6-0.5}^{+0.8+0.7}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\rho(1450)$ | $(4.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\rho(1700)$ | $(2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$ |

The measured upper limit on $r^{\mathrm{f}}{ }_{\sigma}$ deviates from the tetraquark prediction $(1 / 2)$ by $8 \sigma$

## $\Lambda_{b}$ production

The relative production rates of beauty hadrons are described by the fragmentation fractions $f_{u}, f_{d}$, $f_{s}, f_{c}$, and $f_{\Lambda_{b}}$ which describe the probability that a b quark fragments into a $B_{q}$ or a $\Lambda_{b}$ The kinematic dependences of the relative production rates $f_{\Lambda_{b}} / f_{d}$ of $\Lambda_{b}$ baryons and $B_{d}$ mesons are measured using $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathrm{c}} \pi^{+}$and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+} \pi^{-}$decays


$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{f_{\Lambda_{b}}}{f_{d}}(x)=\frac{B R\left(\bar{B}_{d}^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{B R\left(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{-}\right)} \times \frac{B R\left(D^{+} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}\right)}{B R\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)} \times R(x) \\
R(x)=\frac{N_{\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{-}}(x)}{N_{\bar{B}_{d}^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-}}(x)} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\bar{B}_{0}^{0} \rightarrow t^{+} \pi^{+}}(x)}{\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{-}}(x)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\Lambda_{b}$ production

Dependences of $f_{\Lambda_{b}} / f_{d}$ on the $p_{T}$ and $\eta$ of the beauty hadrons


$\checkmark$ The $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependence is accurately described by an exponential function
$\checkmark$ The ratio of fragmentation fractions $\mathrm{f}_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{d}}$ decreases by a factor of three in the range $1.5<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<40 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
$\checkmark$ The ratio of fragmentation fractions $\mathrm{f}_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{d}}$ versus $\eta$ is described by a linear dependence in the range $2<\eta<5$

## Production of $\chi_{b}(1 P, 2 P, 3 P)$ state

LHCb-PAPER-2014-031
LHCb preliminary


|  | $\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$ | $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $N_{\Upsilon(1 \mathrm{~S})}$ | $283252 \pm 592$ | $659599 \pm 906$ |
| $N_{\Upsilon(2 \mathrm{~S})}$ | $87541 \pm 263$ | $203277 \pm 558$ |
| $N_{\Upsilon(3 \mathrm{~S})}$ | $50419 \pm 289$ | $115271 \pm 435$ |








$$
\mathrm{M} \chi_{\mathrm{b}}\left(3 \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)=10511.3 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Most precise } \\
& \text { measurement }
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\chi_{b}(n P)$ to $\Upsilon\left(n^{\prime} S\right)$ feeddown fractions

Fraction of $\Upsilon$ mesons originating from $\chi_{\mathrm{b}}$ radiative decays



$\chi_{\mathrm{b}}(3 \mathrm{P})$ to $\Upsilon(3 \mathrm{~S})$ feed-down has been often neglected when comparing data and theory on $\Upsilon(3 \mathrm{~S})$ This measurements demonstrates its importance

The measurement of the $\Upsilon(3 S)$ production fraction due to radiative $\chi_{b}(3 \mathrm{P})$ decays is performed for the first time

## conclusions

$\checkmark \mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma$ decay now established at $4.4 \sigma$
$\bullet \operatorname{BR}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \psi(2 \mathrm{~S}) \gamma) / \mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{X}(3872) \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \gamma)$ inconsistent with pure molecular interpretation of X(3872)
$\checkmark$ Existence confirmation of Z(4430)- with $>13.9 \sigma$

- quantum numbers determination $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$

LHCb-PAPER-2014-014

- resonant behaviour observed
- the charge and spin-party make $\mathrm{Z}(4430)^{-}$unambiguous four-quark candidate
$\checkmark$ No evidence for $\mathrm{f}_{0}(980)$ in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \pi+\pi$ - decays
- resonance production $f_{0}(980)$ as a tetraquark state ruled out at $8 \sigma$

LHCb-PAPER-2014-012
arXiv 1404.5673
$\checkmark$ New interesting results on $\chi_{b}(3 \mathrm{P})$ production rate:

- $\chi_{b}(3 \mathrm{P})$ to $\Upsilon(3 \mathrm{~S})$ feed-down is large
$\checkmark$ The kinematic dependences of the relative production rates $f_{\Lambda_{b}} / f_{d}$ of $\Lambda_{b}$ baryons and $B_{d}$ mesons are measured

LHCb-PAPER-2014-004, arXiv 1405.6842

