## Measuring precise planetary masses in the presence of stellar activity in RV surveys





# Raphaëlle D. Haywood

A. C. Cameron, D. Queloz, S.C.C. Barros, M. Deleuil, R. Fares,
M. Gillon, A. Hatzes, A. F. Lanza, C. Lovis, C. Moutou, F. Pepe,
D. Pollacco, A. Santerne, D. Ségransan, Y. Unruh

#### Context

#### For low mass planets: Radial-velocity (RV) signal entangled with stellar activity RV signatures

- Observations suggest that even "quiet" stars have  $\Delta RV_{activity} \approx 1-2 \text{ m/s}$  (Isaacson & Fischer 2010)
- Magnetic activity can lead to false detections (Queloz et al. 2001, Bonfils et al. 2007, Huélamo et al. 2008, Boisse et al. 2009, 2011)
- HARPS-N is looking for planets with 1-2 m/s amplitude, need to be able to trust results



#### I. Flux blocked by starspots on a rotating star



Single spot on Sun-like star  $\Delta RV \approx 0.38$  m/s (Makarov et al. 2009)

#### II. Suppression of convective blueshift





 $\Rightarrow$  Net blueshift



Active regions suppress granulation blueshift ~ few m/s

Found to be dominant contribution to  $RV_{activity}$  (Meunier et al. 2010, Haywood et al. submitted)

## III. Other potential stellar activity RV signals

- Faculae that are not associated with starspots
- ~ 50 m/s inflows towards active regions in the Sun (Gizon et al. 2001, 2010)
- Other...?





#### Outline of this work

- Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) code
- RV model:

 $RV_{total} = RV_{planets} + RV_{activity}$ 



Basis functions derived from lightcurve (FF' method of Aigrain 2012)

Gaussian process with covariance properties of lightcurve (Haywood et al., submitted)

Granulation

#### Gaussian processes



Time

## Gaussian processes



Flux

#### A Gaussian process is encoded by a covariance function



## Using a Gaussian process to fit data

Lightcurve: naturally has covariance properties of star's magnetic activity



train GP: determine  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ of covariance function through MCMC simulation





predict GP: compute covariance matrix using k (t, t)





RV basis function with covariance properties of lightcurve

## Application to CoRoT-7

- G9,V=11.7
- CoRoT transit observations in 2009
   super-Earth CoRoT-7b (Léger et al. 2009)
- HARPS radial-velocity campaign (2009)
  - another super-Earth CoRoT-7c (Queloz et al. 2009)
  - sub-Neptune mass planet CoRoT-7d at 9 days (Hatzes et al. 2010)
- Many analyses, no agreement Bruntt et al. 2010, Lanza et al. 2010, Pont et al. 2010, Boisse et al. 2010, Ferraz-Mello et al. 2011, Hatzes et al. 2011
- Jan. 2012: New observations: simultaneous CoRoT photometry & HARPS RV



#### CoRoT-7 2012 simultaneous RV and photometry



Time [days]

 $RV_{total} = RV_{activity} + RV_{planets}$ 

If model RVs with only method of Aigrain (2012) and 2 planets, get correlated residuals:



Fit Gaussian process to residuals

#### Outcome of MCMC for CoRoT-7

Haywood et al., submitted



#### Summary

- In case of CoRoT-7:
  - $m_b$  = 4.62  $\pm$  0.89  $M_{\oplus}$  and  $m_c$  = 13.62  $\pm$  1.06  $M_{\oplus}$
  - signal at 9 days best explained as activity rather than a planet
- Accounting for stellar activity RV signals is key to detecting low-mass planets and determining their masses
- Can account for activity using method of Aigrain et al. (2012)
  + Gaussian process trained on lightcurve (Haywood et al., submitted)
- Apply to Kepler systems observed with HARPS-N