

# Exotic quarkonium-like resonances

Marek Karliner  
Tel Aviv University  
with S. Nussinov

JHEP 7,153(2013) - arXiv:1304.0345



ICISE, Quy Nhon, Aug 2013

# Possibility of Exotic States in the Upsilon system

Marek Karliner<sup>a\*</sup>  
and  
Harry J. Lipkin<sup>a,b†</sup>

## Abstract

Recent data from Belle show unusually large partial widths  $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$ . The  $Z(4430)$  narrow resonance also reported by Belle in  $\psi' \pi^+$  spectrum has the properties expected of a  $\bar{c}c u \bar{d}$  charged isovector tetraquark  $T_{\bar{c}c}^\pm$ . The analogous state  $T_{\bar{b}b}^\pm$  in the bottom sector might mediate anomalously large cascade decays in the Upsilon system,  $\Upsilon(mS) \rightarrow T_{\bar{b}b}^\pm \pi^\mp \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \pi^+ \pi^-$ , with a tetraquark-pion intermediate state. We suggest looking for the  $\bar{b}b u \bar{d}$  tetraquark in these decays as peaks in the invariant mass of  $\Upsilon(1S) \pi$  or  $\Upsilon(2S) \pi$  systems. The  $\bar{b}b u \bar{s}$  tetraquark can appear in the observed decays  $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) K^+ K^-$  as a peak in the invariant mass of  $\Upsilon(1S) K$  system. We review the model showing that these tetraquarks are below the two heavy meson threshold, but respectively above the  $\Upsilon \pi \pi$  and  $\Upsilon K \bar{K}$  thresholds.


# Observation of two charged bottomonium-like resonances

The Belle Collaboration


(Dated: May 24, 2011)

## Abstract


We report the observation of two narrow structures at  $10610 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and  $10650 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  in the  $\pi^\pm \Upsilon(nS)$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $\pi^\pm h_b(mP)$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) mass spectra that are produced in association with a single charged pion in  $\Upsilon(5S)$  decays. The measured masses and widths of the two structures averaged over the five final states are  $M_1 = 10608.4 \pm 2.0 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = 15.6 \pm 2.5 \text{ MeV}$  and  $M_2 = 10653.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = 14.4 \pm 3.2 \text{ MeV}$ . Analysis favors quantum numbers of  $I^G(J^P)=1^+(1^+)$  for both states. The results are obtained with a  $121.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  data sample collected with the Belle detector near the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance at the KEKB asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^-$  collider.




$$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+$$



$$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+$$



$$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+$$



Comparison of  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$  parameters obtained from different decay channels. The vertical dotted lines indicate  $B^*\bar{B}$  and  $B^*\bar{B}^*$  thresholds.

$$J^P = 1^+ \quad \text{for both } Z_b(10610) \text{ and } Z_b(10650)$$

The  $Z_b$  resonances decay into

$Y(nS)$  and a charged pion

→ must contain both  $bb^*$  and  $ud^*$

→ manifestly exotic

Neutral member of the  $I=1$  multiplet

$Z_b^0(10610)$  very recently also observed

by Belle in Dalitz plot analysis of

$$\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^0\pi^0$$

After the discovery of  $Z_b$ -s by Belle,  
natural to expect analogous states  
in the charm system

one caveat:  
a priori unknown whether charmed quarks  
are heavy enough to allow for binding


in March 2013 the BES Collaboration  
in Beijing provided the answer:





## Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26$ GeV

We study the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$  at a center-of-mass energy of 4.260 GeV using a  $525 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  data sample collected with the BESIII detector operating at the Beijing Electron Positron Collider. The Born cross section is measured to be  $(62.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.7) \text{ pb}$ , consistent with the production of the  $Y(4260)$ . We observe a structure at around  $3.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  in the  $\pi^\pm J/\psi$  mass spectrum, which we refer to as the  $Z_c(3900)$ . If interpreted as a new particle, it is unusual in that it carries an electric charge and couples to charmonium. A fit to the  $\pi^\pm J/\psi$  invariant mass spectrum, neglecting interference, results in a mass of  $(3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9) \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and a width of  $(46 \pm 10 \pm 20) \text{ MeV}$ . Its production ratio is measured to be  $R = (\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm Z_c(3900)^\mp \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)) = (21.5 \pm 3.3 \pm 7.5)\%$ . In all measurements the first errors are statistical and the second are systematic.




$$M_{Z_c} = 3899.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.9 \text{ MeV}$$


$$\Gamma_{Z_c} = 46 \pm 10 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$$

$Z_c^+(3900)$  decays to  $J/\psi \pi^+$


a) pion



b) proton



c)  $Z_c(3900)$



## tetraquark or a “molecule” ?

The molecule idea has a long history:

Voloshin & Okun 1976,

de Rujula, Georgi & Glashow 1977

Tornqvist, Z. Phys. C61,525 (1993)

$Z_{b-s}$  sit 3 MeV above the  $BB^*$  and  $B^*B^*$  thresholds

$X(3872)$  sits at the  $DD^*$  threshold

strong hints in favor of the molecular interpretation

what about the  $Z_c(3900)$ ?

Heavy-light  $Qq$  mesons have  $I=1/2$

→ they couple to pions

→ **deuteron-like meson-meson bound states, “deusons”**

via pion exchange – no  $D \bar{D}$ , only  $D \bar{D}^*$

$D \bar{D}^*$  ( $I=0$ ) at threshold  $\leftrightarrow$   **$X(3872)$  !**

S-wave  $\rightarrow J^P = 1^+$

$I=1$  attraction x3 weaker than  $I=0$

→  **$I=1$  expected well above threshold**

What about  $B \bar{B}^*$  analogue ?...

B B\* vs D D\*:

-- same attractive potential

-- much heavier, so smaller kinetic energy

→ expect  $B\bar{B}^*$  and  $B^*\bar{B}^*$   $l=1$  states near threshold

→  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$  seen by Belle !!!

$l=0$  binding much stronger

→  $l=0$  states expected well below threshold

EXP signature:

$Z_b(l=0) \rightarrow Y(ns) \pi^+ \pi^-$

$Z_b(l=0) \rightarrow B \bar{B} \gamma$  via EM  $B^* \rightarrow B \gamma$ ,  $E(\gamma)=46$

MeV → **LHCb!**

in the  $M_Q \rightarrow \infty$  limit attractive potential between the two heavy mesons becomes universal

Kinetic  $E \sim p^2/M_Q \rightarrow 0$

→ treat kinetic  $E$  as perturbation

$$H = a \cdot p^2 + V(r) \quad \text{where } a \equiv 1/2\mu_{\text{red}}$$

convert the parameter  $a \sim 1/M_Q$  into a dimensionless parameter  $\tilde{a}$

“natural” unit of  $\sim 0.8$  Fermi  $\sim 4.0 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$

With  $m_D \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_B \sim 5.3 \text{ GeV}$

$$\tilde{a}(D) = 1/8 \qquad \tilde{a}(B) = 1/21$$

→ small: can use 1-st order P.T.

for  $l=1$  potential have 2 data points:

$Z_c(3900)$  at  $\tilde{a}(D)$  approximately 27 MeV above  $\bar{D}D^*$  threshold

$Z_b(10610)$  at  $\tilde{a}(B)$  approximately 3 MeV above  $\bar{B}B^*$  threshold

Linear extrapolation to  $\tilde{a} = 0$  yields

$$E_b^{I=1}(\tilde{a}=0) \approx -11.7 \text{ MeV}$$

In view of the convexity, the actual binding energy likely to slightly exceed this linear extrapolation

→ use this result for the isovector channel to estimate the  $\bar{B}B^*$  binding in the isoscalar channel

Assuming that the isoscalar binding energy in the  $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$  limit is 3 times larger than for the isovector,

$$E_b^{I=0}(\tilde{a}=0) \approx 3 \cdot (-11.7) = -35 \text{ MeV}$$

$X(3872)$  at  $\bar{D}D^*$  threshold →  $E_b^{I=0}(\tilde{a}(D)) \approx 0$

Linear extrapolation to  $\tilde{a}(B)$  yields  $\bar{B}B^*$  binding energy in the isoscalar channel  $\approx -20 \text{ MeV}$



# Heavy Quark Nuclear Physics!

the newly discovered  $Z_c(3900)$  isovector resonance confirms and refines the estimates for the mass of the putative  $\bar{B}B^*$  isoscalar bound state.

immediately leads to several predictions:

- two  $l=0$  narrow resonances in bottomonium system,  
~23 MeV below  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$ , i.e.  
~20 MeV below  $BB^*$  and  $B^*B^*$  thresholds
- $l=0$  resonance near  $D^* \bar{D}^*$  threshold
- $l=1$  resonance slightly above  $D^* \bar{D}^*$  threshold

the newly discovered  $Z_c(3900)$  isovector resonance confirms and refines the estimates for the mass of the putative  $\bar{B}B^*$  isoscalar bound state.

immediately leads to several predictions:

- two  $l=0$  narrow resonances in bottomonium system,  
~23 MeV below  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$ , i.e.  
~20 MeV below  $BB^*$  and  $B^*B^*$  thresholds
- $l=0$  resonance near  $D^* \bar{D}^*$  threshold
- $l=1$  resonance slightly above  $D^* \bar{D}^*$  threshold  
reported today by BES, arXiv:1308.2760  
 $Z_c\{+-\}(4025): M=4026.3\pm 2.6\pm 3.7$  MeV,  
 $\Gamma=24.8\pm 5.6\pm 7.7$

## Likely observable at LHC and Tevatron:

Guo, Meißner & Wang, arXiv:1308.0193

$\sim$  nb x-section for  $Z_b(10610)$  and  $Z_b(10650)$

x-section for  $Z_c(3900)$  and  $Z_c(4020)$   
larger by a factor of 20-30

large enough to be observed

x-section for neutral exotic states ?

# $\Sigma_b^+ \Sigma_b^-$ dibaryon ?

$\Sigma_b$  heavier, with  $I=1 \rightarrow$  stronger binding via  $\pi$

$\rightarrow$  deuteron-like  $J=1, I=0$  bound state: “beautron”

exp. signature:

$$(\Sigma_b \Sigma_b) \rightarrow \Lambda_b \Lambda_b \pi \pi$$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_b^-) = 4.3 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma(\Sigma_b^+) = 9.2 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}$$

so might be visible

should be seen in lattice QCD

# Summary

- QQqq tetraquarks: new color structures, unique exp. signatures
- prediction for  $\Upsilon(nS) \pi^+$  peaks
  - Z<sub>b</sub>-s seen by Belle
  - charmed analogue Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) seen by BES & Belle
- → new I=0 exotics below threshold:
  - BB\*, B\*B\*
- $\bar{D}^* D^*$  resonances in I=0 and I=1 channels
- heavy “deuteron”:  $\Sigma_b \Sigma_b$

# Summary

- QQqq tetraquarks: new color structures, unique exp. signatures
- prediction for  $\Upsilon(nS) \pi^+$  peaks
  - Z\_b-s seen by Belle
  - charmed analogue Z\_c(3900) seen by BES & Belle
- → new I=0 exotics below threshold:
  - BB\*, B\*B\*
- $\bar{D}^* D^*$  resonances in I=0 and I=1 channels I=1 seen!
- heavy “deuteron”:  $\Sigma_b \Sigma_b$

# Backup slides



The doubly heavies:

$\bar{Q}Q\bar{q}q$  and  $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$  tetraquarks and  $QQq$  baryons