





Study of Higgs boson leptonic decay modes

Windows on the Universe ICSE, Vietnam 11-17.08.2013

Pawel Brückman de Renstrom on behalf of the ATLAS, CMS, CDF, D0 Collaborations (Institute of Nuclear Physics P.A.N., Cracow PL)

Ladies and gentlemen, I think we've got it!

Discovery of a Higgs-like particle coupling to gauge bosons

4 July 2012 CERN

Why do we care?

□ The Yukawa interactions are not strictly needed for the Electroweak symmetry breaking via the Higgs mechanism. □ However, they give us a very appealing opportunity to dynamically introduce masses of otherwise massles fermion fields: $-L_{Yukawa} = Y_{ij}(\overline{\psi}_{Li}\phi)\psi_{Rj} + h.c.$

SU2 doublets

singlet

If realized, couplings to all fermions in the SM are proportional to their masses.
We nearly confirmed the Higgs E-W symmetry breaking mechanism.
We only start gathering direct evidence for Yukawa couplings (indirect via ggF)
So far, leptonic sector remains the least confirmed!



Hideki Yukawa P. Brückman de Renstrom

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

SM Higgs production @ LHC in the context of its leptonic decays (~25 fb⁻¹/exp has been collected till LS1)





~1.5pb (0.07pb)

~1.Opb (0.21pb) Dominant process. Can exploit high p_T of the Higgs due to associated jets.

Clean signature with two forward jets and rapidity gap.

Small contribution to significance. Consider W/Z->hadrons (ATLAS) and W/Z->leptons (CMS)

~0.1pb (0.004pb)

Tevatron

The smallest contribution Considered implicitly in H+jets.



Main challenges of the $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ analyses: \Rightarrow Identification of hadronic tau decays against QCD jets. \Rightarrow Large irreducible background from $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau$ \Rightarrow Reconstruction of the invariant mass of the $\tau \tau$ system Analysis strategy for H→TT (ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2012-160) and (CMS: HIG-12-053, HIG-13-004) □ Similar strategy adopted by the two experiments.

Separate analyses in each decay mode allow optimization for different background compositions.

Define mutually exclusive categories motivated by Higgs production modes and kinematics:

 VBF: tagged by 2 forward jets in opposite hemispheres with large rapidity difference. Usually gives the highest significance
 Inclusive: target ggF topology, further classified according to the apparent boost of the Higgs (tt mass resolution).
 VH: target H associated production with W/Z, tagged by either hadronic or leptonic W/Z decay. By far the least significant.

 $\hfill\square\hfill\blacksquare\hfillt$

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

Analysis strategy for $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2012-160) and (CMS: HIG-12-053, HIG-13-004)

ATLAS:

S > VBF: two high p_T jets with high $\Delta \eta$ & high m_{jj} > Boosted: high Higgs p_T (II, $I\tau_{had}$) or high p_T jets ($\tau_{had} \tau_{had}$); (improve $\tau\tau$ mass resolution) > No Boost (II, $|\tau_{had}$): > VH: 2 jets with $m_{jj} \sim m_{Z/W}$, (II mode only) > 1 jet: target mostly ggF with a recoil against a jet

- > 0 jet: (e_μ only in 7TeV data)

CMS:

> VBF: two high p_T jets with high $\Delta \eta$ & high m_{jj} and a rapidity gap. > 1-jet: catch-all categories, mostly ggF with a recoil against a jet. Further split into "low- p_{T} " and "high- p_{T} " categories based on τ kinematics. > 0-jet: serves as a control region.

> VH: target VH with W/Z decaying leptonically. All tauonic Higgs decay modes considered.

Reconstruction of hadronic τ decays (ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2011-152) and (CMS: JINST 7 (2012) P01001)

ATLAS (top-down) *Start from the anti- k_{T} jets reconstructed from calorimeters. *Associate charged tracks. Energy calibration based on MC. Use MVA to discriminate against QCD jets and leptons.



BDT-based ID: ♦60%(40%) efficiency for medium (tight) ◆2-3% (0.5%) QCD jet acceptance. ◆1% (0.4%) QCD jet acceptance. RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

CMS (bottom-up) *Start from particles reconstructed by the Particle Flow algorithm **Construct** 1-prong, 1-prong+ π 0's, 3prong τ candidates.



A MVA discriminant based on $\sum p_T$ of particles in rings around τ . **BDT-based ID**: ✤50%(36%) efficiency for loose (medium) P. Brückman de Renstrom **Invariant mass of the** $\tau\tau$ **system** MMC (ATLAS: NIM A 654 (2011)) and SVFit (CMS: HIG-13-004) There are 6 to 8 parameters describing invisible neutrinos and 4 constraints ($2 \times m_{\tau}, \not{E}_{Tx}, \not{E}_{Ty}$) Find max. likelihood solution accounting for the distributions of the $\tau\tau$ kinematics and \not{E}_{T} resolution.





 $\sigma(m_{\tau\tau}) \leq 20\%$ (depending on the channel and kinematics) P. Brückman de Renstrom

τ_{vis}

Δθ_{3D}(τ_{vis},ν)

Main backgrounds

Irreducible Z/γ*->ττ: from "embedding", normalized using data-driven methods
 Others: (Electroweak, tt+single top): Simulation, normalized from data CR
 QCD: SS data, yield corrected from data CR

A: VBF $I_{\tau_{had}}$ category uses MC and Fake Factor method F=N_{id}/N_{anti-id} (W+jets, QCD) A: 2D template fit to τ candidate track multiplicity for Z/ γ^* -> $\tau\tau$ and QCD in $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$ category:



RdV2013 12-17/08









Background estimation: "embedding"

□ Embedding in Z/γ^* ->µµ events: reconstructed muons are removed from data events and replaced by simulated τ decays with the same kinematics.

Advantage: data-driven description of the entire event (except for lepton decays) leading to significantly reduced systematic uncertainties (jets, underlying event, luminosity, etc.) compared to the MC simulation.

 $\square II, I\tau_{had}, \tau_{had}\tau_{had} (ATLAS \& CMS)$





(man de Renstr

Main sytematic uncertainties

□ Modeling and normalization of background processes: ★ Irreducible Z/γ^* -> $\tau\tau$ is dominated by the data-driven normalization (5% to 10%).

♦ Uncertainties on backgrounds with misidentified leptons and τ candidates (QCD, W+jets, etc.) can be as large as 50% (I τ_{had} VBF), but their contribution is much smaller.

 \square Experimental uncertainties on the expected signal yield come from JES, τ energy scale and τ identification and add up to ~10%

Theoretical uncertainty: $\sigma_H \times BR$ 3-28% (the largest for ggF)

ATLAS results (CONF-2012-160)

4.6 fb⁻¹ @ 7 TeV and 13.0 fb⁻¹ @ 8 TeV analysed.
Update to full statistics imminent!
μ=0.7 ± 0.7; significance 1.1σ (1.7 expected) @ 125 GeV



p13

CMS results (HIG-13-004)

All 24.3 fb⁻¹ @ 7 & 8 TeV analyzed.
 μ=1.1 ± 0.4; significance 2.9σ (2.6 expected) @ 125 GeV
 Higgs mass has been estimated at m_H=120⁺⁹-7 GeV



RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

p14

P. Brückman de Renstrom

H-> TT at the Tevatron

X-sections over an order of magnitude lower, half of the integrated luminosity - cannot expect sufficient sensitivity
 Both experiments searched for H->ττ decays in three production channels (ggF, VBF, VH)

CDF

Decay modes considered:
 (eµ, eτ_{had}, µτ_{had})
 1-jet & ≥2jets categories
 Simulation and SS data
 samples are used to
 estimate main backgrounds.
 Main systematics:
 lumi, bkg normalization, JES

DO

◆ Decay modes considered: ($e\tau_{had}$, $\mu\tau_{had}$)
◆ ≥2jets required
◆ Simulation and SS (QCD)
data samples are used to
estimate main backgrounds.
◆ Main systematics:
lumi, τ energy scale, QCD
modeling.

H->ττ at the Tevatron (PRL 108, 181804 (2012), arXiv:1211.6993v2)





CDF

Int. Lumi: 8.3 fb⁻¹
 95% CL @ m_H=125 GeV:
 Limit obs.: 11.7 × σ_{SM}
 Limit exp.: 14.8 × σ_{SM}

bo • Int. Lumi: 9.7 fb⁻¹ • 95% CL @ m_H =125 GeV: • Limit obs.: 12.8 × σ_{SM} • Limit exp.: 10.4 × σ_{SM}

Search for H->μμ in ATLAS Higgs coupling to second generation fermions: BR(H->μμ)=2×10⁻⁴! (ATLAS-CONF-2013-010)



• Clean: only irreducible $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$, but S/B=0.2% !!!.

Very good mass resolution.

The search relies on a binned likelihood fit to background and signal (narrow resonance) PDF's in the range 110-150 GeV, separately for central and forward regions, due to different resolution.
Systematic uncertainties are small, at a few percent level.

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

$H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ search results (ATLAS-CONF-2013-010)

✤ 20.7 fb⁻¹ @ 8 TeV analysed. No evidence for signal seen. ✤ 95% CL @ m_H=125 GeV:

- - Limit obs.: 9.8 $\times \sigma_{SM}$
 - Limit exp.: $8.2 \times \sigma_{SM}$

 $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Ldt = 20.7 fb⁻¹

√s = 8 TeV

140

145

150

m_µ [GeV]

130 135

ATLAS Preliminary

---- Bkg. Expected

- Observed

 $\pm 1\sigma$

+2 σ





110

115

120

125

70ı

60F

50⊢

40

30

20

10

٥l

CL Limit on μ

95% (



P. Brückman de Renstrom

CONCLUSIONS

Start exploring the Yukawa Higgs sector.

Leptonic couplings particularly challenging.

The least known. No indirect evidence.

First direct evidence emerge (CMS: μ=1.1 ± 0.4).
 First attempt to tackle H->μμ (ATLAS: 95% CL

9.8 × σ_{SM}).

With 3000 fb⁻¹ @ 14 TeV, σ(μ_τ)~0.1, σ(μ_μ)<0.2.
ATLAS update of H->ττ to full stats imminent.
HL LHC will allow to explore leptonic Yukawa sector in much detail.

Stay tuned!

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

THANK YOU.

P. Brückman de Renstrom

BONUS MATERIAL

SM Higgs production @ LHC (~25 fb⁻¹/exp has been collected till LS1)





Tevatron

~19pb

(0.95pb)

~1.5pb

(0.07pb)

~1.0pb

(0.21pb)

~0.1pb

(0.004pb)

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

arXiv:1202.1796v2



FIG. 2: Relative magnitudes of the FP Higgs prediction over a SM-like Higgs in different channels at the 7 TeV LHC for $m_H = 122, 124, 126$ GeV. The error bars correspond to the SM cross section uncertainties. The red (upper) and blue (lower) predictions show the theoretical errors associated with the new-physics scale Λ . For LHC at 8 TeV, the results are practically identical.

τ lepton basics

p23

- Mass: 1.777 GeV/c2 the heaviest lepton
- cτ: ~87μm

short lifetime

• decays via weak interactions



First observed in 1977 by Martin Perl et al. (SLAC-LBL)

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

Most important decay modes

Decay Mode	Branching Fraction
Leptonic modes ~35%	
τ±→e±νev₁	18%
τ±→μ±ν _μ ν _τ	17%
Hadronic modes ~65%	
1 prong (1 charged particle)	46%
τ ∸ →π±ν _τ	11%
τ±- > π±π⁰ν _τ	26%
τ±→π±π ⁰ π ⁰ ν _τ	9%
3 prong (3 charged particles)	14%
τ⁺→π⁺π⁺π⁺ν,	9%
τ±→π±π±π [∓] π⁰ν _τ	5%

P. Brückman de Renstrom

Background estimation

□ From MC with normalisation correction from control regions: ATLAS: Z/γ^* ->II ttbar (II); W+jets tt ($I\tau_{had}$); Z/γ^* ->II, Z/γ^* -> $\tau\tau$ ($I\tau_{had}$ VBF); CMS: ttbar (II); W+jets ($I\tau_{had}$); □ From data CR with normalisation from the 2-D track multiplicity template fit: ATLAS: Z/γ^* -> $\tau\tau$, QCD ($\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$);





P. Brückman de Renstrom

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

p24

Background estimation

□ SS corrected for the rate in the QCD control region. Other backgrounds taken as OS-SS. ATLAS: $(I\tau_{had}, not VBF, QCD \tau_{had}\tau_{had})$; CMS: QCD $(I\tau_{had}, \tau_{had}\tau_{had})$;

Fake Fraction method to estimate fake τ contribution ATLAS: QCD, W+jets (Iτ_{had} VBF); CMS: QCD, W/Z+jets (Iτ_{had} VBF, all VH);

$$N_m^T = \frac{p_m}{(p_r - p_m)} (p_r * N^L - N^T)$$

OTHER: Directly from MC or templates in CR. P. Brückman de Renstrom

Summary of LHC Higgs results (signal strength)



RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

p26

P. Brückman de Renstrom

CMS H->ττ **results** (production, decay, fitted mass)







P. Brückman de Renstrom

RdV2013 12-17/08/2013

p27





p28

Are Higgs couplings ~m_F, i.e. nonuniversal?
 >6σ significance expected @3000 fb⁻¹
 Signal strength μ with σ<0.2
 Strong case for HL LHC!

Main backgrounds and sytematics (II)

Irreducible Z/γ*->ττ
 Estimated from "embedding"
 Z/γ*->II
 MC normalized from CR
 tt+single top
 MC normalized from CR
 WW/WZ/ZZ
 MC normalized from CR
 Fake leptons (QCD)
 A: Template method
 C: Fake Factor method

Theoretical uncertainty: σ_H×BR 8-28% (ggF largest by far)

$$\begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & & \\ &$$

p29

σ_{svs} ~8%

σ_{sys} =10-30%

σ_{sys} =3-10%

σ_{sys} =10-25%

σ_{svs} ~10%

Main backgrounds and sytematics ($I_{\tau_{had}}$)

 \Box Irreducible Z/ γ^* -> $\tau\tau$ σ_{svs} =6-20% Estimated from "embedding" Others (Electroweak) A: SS data corrected in the CR σ_{sys} ~20% C: simulation, yield from CR □Fake taus (QCD) SS data corrected in the CR σ_{sys} <50%

A: VBF category uses MC and Fake Factor method (W+jets, QCD)

 \Box Theoretical uncertainty: $\sigma_H \times BR$ 18-23% (ggF largest by far) p30





Main backgrounds and sytematics $(\tau_{had}\tau_{had})$

□ Irreducible $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau$ Estimated from "embedding" σ_{sys} =10-13% □ Multi-jet (QCD) SS data selection $\sigma_{sys} \sim 10\%$ A: a 2D template fit to the candidate multiplicity used for yield estimation:





C: Yield corrected from CR ☐ Theoretical uncertainty: $\sigma_H \times BR$ 3-20% (ggF largest by far)





Brout-Englert-Higgs-Hagen-Guralnik-Kibble field and... the Higgs boson (1964)



T.Kibble

G.Guralnik R.C.Hagen

F.Englert

P.Higgs

&

R.Brout

$$L_{Higgs} = D_{\mu}\phi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\phi - V_{Higgs}$$

$$V_{Higgs} = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}(\phi^{\dagger}\phi) + |\lambda|(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{2}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{+} \\ \phi^{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \upsilon + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$



- Do you want to be a king?
- Do you want more than the Nobel Prize?

- Then solve the mass problem -R.P. Feynman



P. Brückman de Renstrom