



# Study of Rare Decays of B Mesons at B Factories

Fabrizio Bianchi University of Torino and INFN-Torino



Rencontres du Vietnam Windows on the Universe Qui Nhon, Vietnam August 11-17, 2013



DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

ALMA UNIVERSITAS TAURINENSIS

# Why Study B Meson Decays ?

- Constrain the CKM sector of the SM.
  - Observation of CP violation
  - Angles and sides of the UT
- Search for evidence of New Physics beyond the SM
- Two paths in the quest for NP:
  - The relativistic path:
    - Increase the energy and look for direct production of new particles.
  - The quantum path:
    - Increase the luminosity and look for effects of physics beyond the standard model in loop diagrams.
- Recent results on B meson decays already exclude significant phase space of New Physics models.

#### Overview

- $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \omega$ ,  $\omega \phi$
- Direct  $A_{CP}$  in  $B \rightarrow X_{s\gamma}$
- Search for Lepton Number Violation in B -> X<sup>-</sup>I<sup>+</sup>I'<sup>+</sup>
- B -> D<sup>(\*)</sup>τν
- Comments:
  - My selection of recent Babar results
  - Related Belle results are also discussed
  - All BaBar results are on full dataset of  $471 \times 10^{6}$  BB decays
  - Charge conjugate processes are always implied



- At leading order,  $\phi\omega$  is pure penguin and  $\omega\omega$  is a penguin-tree combination.

F. Bianchi

#### Anomalies in Charmless Decays with Loops

- Value of  $sin(2\beta^{eff})$  somewhat lower than in b->ccs decays
- Quite low value of longitudinally-polarized fraction in  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^*$  measured by BaBar and Belle.
  - Dominant longitudinal polarization fraction expected from QCD factorization

Belle PRL 94, 221804(2005)

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B^{0} \rightarrow \phi K^{\star}} \\ f_{L} = 0.45 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02 \\ f_{L} = 0.494 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.013 \\ f_{L} = 0.499 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.010 \end{array}$ BaBar PRD 78, 092008(2008) Belle (presented at EPS2013)

• Limits on  $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \omega$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \omega$  BFs can provide a constraint on amplitudes of  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^*$ . Neither helicity amplitude measurements, nor even significant signal peaks, are required S. Oh, Phys. Rev. D 60, 034006 (1999)

30

15

10

5.24

Preliminary

**B**<sup>0</sup> -> ωφ

#### **New Results** $B^{0} \rightarrow \omega \omega$ and $B^{0} \rightarrow \phi \omega$ : Results Events / 2.5 MeV20 MeV **70**∄ B<sup>0</sup> -> ωω **B**<sup>0</sup> -> ωω 50 60 Events ,

40

20

**20** 

Preliminary

B<sup>0</sup> -> ωφ

15 10 Preliminary Preliminary -0.2 5.26 5.27 5.28 5.29 -0.1 0.1 0.2 5.25 0  $\Delta E$  $m_{
m ES}$ BF( $\omega\omega$ ) = (1.2 ± 0.3<sup>+0.3</sup><sub>-0.2</sub>) x 10<sup>-6</sup> (4.4 $\sigma$  significance)  $BF(\omega\phi) < 0.7 \text{ x } 10^{-6} (90\% CL)$ 

#### Direct CP Asymmetries in B -> $X_s \gamma$



FCNC process which is forbidden at tree level in SM. New Physics can enter in loops. HFAG branching fraction average:  $BF(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma) = (343 \pm 21 \pm 7) \times 10^{-6} (E_{\gamma} > 1.6 GeV)$ 

## Introduction to $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

 Effective Hamiltonian can be factorized in terms of shortdistance (C<sub>i</sub>, Wilson Coefficients) and long-distance (O<sub>i</sub>) terms:

$$H_{eff} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i C_i(\mu) O_i$$

• The CP asymmetry  $A_{CP}$  is defined as:

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{X}_{S}\gamma) - \Gamma(B \to X_{S}\gamma)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{X}_{S}\gamma) + \Gamma(B \to X_{S}\gamma)}$$

- Difference between charged and neutral B decays:  $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(B^+ \to X_s^+ \gamma) - A_{CP}(B^0 \to X_s^0 \gamma)$
- $\Delta A_{CP}$  is proportional to  $\text{Im}(C_8^{\text{eff}}/C_7^{\text{eff}})$ :

 $\Delta A_{CP}(X_s \gamma) = 4\pi^2 \alpha_s \frac{\overline{\Lambda}_{78}}{m_b} \Im\left(\frac{C_8^{eff}}{C_7^{eff}}\right) \approx 0.12 \frac{\overline{\Lambda}_{78}}{100 MeV} \Im\left(\frac{C_8^{eff}}{C_7^{eff}}\right) 17 \text{ MeV} < \overline{\Lambda}_{78} < 190 \text{ MeV}$ Benzke et al PRL 106, 141801 (2011)

• In SM,  $C_7^{\text{eff}}$  and  $C_8^{\text{eff}}$  are real  $\rightarrow \Delta A_{CP} \neq 0$  is evidence of NP

## B -> $X_s \gamma$ : Direct $A_{CP}$ results

- $1.6 < E_{\gamma}^* < 3.0 \text{ GeV}; 0.6 < m_{Xs} < 3.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2; |\Delta E| < 0.15 \text{ GeV}$
- B<sup>+</sup> tagged by overall charge, B<sup>0</sup> by Kaon charge.
- Fit  $m_{ES}$  for b and b tagged samples simultaneously to extract  $A_{CP}$



- After corrections for backgrounds and detector K<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>-</sup> response: A<sub>CP</sub> = 1.7±1.9±1.0%
- Agrees with SM predictions:  $-0.6\% < A_{CP} < 2.8\%$

M. Benzke et al., PRL 106, 141801 (2011)

# $\Delta A_{CP}$ and Wilson Coefficients

- From simultaneous fit to charged and neutral B samples: –  $\Delta A_{CP} = 5.0 \pm 3.9 \pm 1.5 \%$
- Set 90% CL constraints on  $Im(C_8^{eff}/C_7^{eff})$ : - 1.64 <  $Im(C_8^{eff}/C_7^{eff})$  < 6.52



F. Bianchi  $\Delta A_{CP}(X_s \gamma)$  measurement and first constraint on  $Im(C_8^{eff}/C_7^{eff})$ 

- Lepton Number Violation is highly suppressed in the SM.
- Many New Physics scenarios introduce LNV

   Majorana neutrino exchange
- Searched for the modes:
  - $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^- (\rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0) |^+|'^+$
  - $B^+ \rightarrow K^{\star-} (\rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \text{ and } \rightarrow K^- \pi^0) |I^+|'^+$
  - $B^+ \rightarrow D^- (\rightarrow K^- \pi^- \pi^+) |^+|'^+$
  - $B^+ \rightarrow K^- / \pi^- e^+ \mu^+$



Related results:

CLEO: PRD 65, 111102 (2002) Belle: PRD 84, 071106 (2011) BaBar: PRD 85, 071102 (2012) LHCb: PRL 108, 106601 (2012); PRD 85, 112004 (2012)



- New BaBar results
- 11 updated measurements; 90% CL UL in range (1.5 26.4)  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup>
- Order of magnitude improvement over CLEO results.
- Similar precision to Belle for  $B^+ \rightarrow D^-I^+I'^+$

#### Ratio of $B \rightarrow D^{(\star)}\tau v vs B \rightarrow D^{(\star)}I v Decays$

• Semileptonic decays sensitive to charged Higgs.



• We measure:

$$R(D) = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to D\tau \nu)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to D\ell\nu)} \qquad R(D^*) = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to D^*\tau\nu)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to D^*\ell\nu)} \quad \ell = e,\mu$$

- Several experimental and theoretical uncertainties cancel in the ratio
- non-SM contribution from  $H^{\pm}$  expected to change rates for  $B \to D^{(\star)} \tau \nu$

$$H_{S}^{2HDM} \approx H_{S}^{SM} \times \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{2} \beta}{m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}} \frac{q^{2}}{1 \mp m_{c}/m_{b}} \right) \quad - \quad \text{for } \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{D}\tau \upsilon + \quad \text{for } \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{D}^{*}\tau \upsilon$$

#### $B \rightarrow D^{(\star)}\tau v$ : Analysis

Event selection:

- Reconstruct D<sup>(\*)</sup> candidate
- Exactly one extra lepton candidate (τ→evv,µvv)
- Multivariate analysis to suppress backgrounds (uses control sample and off-peak data)
- *m<sub>miss</sub>* higher and lepton momentum p<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub> smaller for signal than normalization
- 2D Extended Maximum LH fit to m<sup>2</sup><sub>miss</sub> and p<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub> to extract yields
- Simultaneous fit with B→D<sup>(\*)</sup>π<sup>0</sup>In to account for D\*\* contribution E Bianchi





#### $B \rightarrow D^{(\star)}\tau v$ : Results

SM expectations in S. Fajfer, J. Kamenik, I. Nisandzic, PRD 85, 094025 (2012).

 $\overset{(0,4)}{\underset{(0,2){(1,2)}{\overset{(0,4)}{\overset{($ 

PRL 109, 101802 (2012)

- -27% correlation between R(D) and R(D\*)
- combined BABAR results 3.4 $\sigma$  higher than SM



#### Need more results for confirmations!

PRL 109, 101802 (2012)

# $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau v$ : Type II 2HDM scan

2HDM affects fit variables distributions and hence the efficiency. PDF recalculated for different values of  $tan\beta/m_H$ 



## Summary and Outlook

- New BaBar measurements of:
  - Branching Fractions of  $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \omega$ ,  $\omega \phi$ ,
  - Direct  $A_{CP}$  in  $B \rightarrow X_{s\gamma}$
  - Search for Lepton Number Violation in B ->  $X^{-1+1'+}$
- Average of published BaBar and Belle measurements of B ->  $D^{(*)}\tau\nu$  shows a  $4.6\sigma$  deviation from SM prediction.
  - Eagerly waiting for Belle result on full dataset.
- Looking forward to future data coming from LHCb and Belle II
  - Start of Belle II data taking expected in 2016



#### The colliders





Dabaip(e) = 0dev p(e) = 0.1dev p(e) = 0.5Belle $p(e^-) = 8$  $GeV p(e^+) = 3.5$ GeV $\beta\gamma = 0.42$ 

**Asymmetric-energy B factories => Flavor Physics at the intensity frontier** 

#### **Integrated luminosity of B factories**



#### $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^*$ - Preliminary Results (Numbers)



| Parameter                                 | $\phi(K\pi)_0^*$            | φ <b>K</b> * (892) <sup>0</sup> | $\phi K_2^* (1430)^0$                |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| raramotor                                 | 0 = 0                       | 0 - 1                           | v = 2                                |
| <i>₿</i> <sub>J</sub> (10 <sup>−6</sup> ) | $4.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$       | $10.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$          | $5.5^{+0.9}_{-0.7} \pm 0.7$          |
| f <sub>LJ</sub>                           |                             | $0.499 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.010$     | $0.918^{+0.029}_{-0.060} \pm 0.008$  |
| $f_{\perp J}$                             |                             | $0.238 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.005$     | $0.056^{+0.050}_{-0.035} \pm 0.006$  |
| $\phi_{\parallel J}$ (rad)                |                             | $2.23 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$        | $3.76 \pm 2.88 \pm 1.32$             |
| $\phi_{\perp J}$ (rad)                    |                             | $2.37 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04$        | $4.45^{+0.43}_{-0.38} \pm 0.07$      |
| $\delta_{0J}$ (rad)                       |                             | $2.91 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04$        | $3.53 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.12$             |
| <b>А</b> <sub>СРЈ</sub>                   | $0.093 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.015$ | $-0.007 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.020$    | $-0.155^{+0.152}_{-0.133} \pm 0.024$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{CPJ}^0$                     |                             | $-0.030 \pm 0.061 \pm 0.006$    | $-0.016^{+0.066}_{-0.051} \pm 0.004$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{CPJ}^{\perp}$               |                             | $-0.14 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01$       | $-0.01^{+0.85}_{-0.67} \pm 0.04$     |
| $\Delta \phi_{\parallel J}$ (rad)         |                             | $-0.02 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.01$       | $-0.02 \pm 1.08 \pm 0.99$            |
| $\Delta \phi_{\perp J}$ (rad)             |                             | $0.05 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$        | $-0.19 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.06$            |
| $\Delta \delta_{0J}$ (rad)                |                             | $0.08 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.01$        | $0.06 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01$             |

 BR and polarization parameters consistent with existing results
 All parameters related to direct *CP* violation consistent with zero E. Bianchi

#### B<sup>0</sup> -> ωω; φω: Analysis Strategy

- Full reconstruction of B<sup>0</sup> candidates, with  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and  $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ .
- Resulting B<sup>0</sup> signal candidates are characterized by the standard variables: m<sub>ES</sub> =

$$\Delta E = E_B^* - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{s}$$
$$= \sqrt{(\frac{1}{2}s + \mathbf{p}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p}_B)^2 / E_0^{*2} - \mathbf{p}_B^2}$$

• Signal, combinatory background, and peaking background yields are extracted from an UML fit to  $m_{ES}$ ,  $\Delta E$ , resonance masses and helicities, event shape Fisher discriminant,  $\omega$  internal helicity angle(s)  $\theta$ 

Di-pion (π+π-) rest frame:



#### $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \omega$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \phi$ : Fit Result



>  $B(\omega\omega) = (1.2 \pm 0.3_{-6})^3 \times 10^{-6}$  (4.4 $\sigma$  significance)

#### ► B(\u03c6\u03

► Largest systematic contributions from fit yield bias estimation (O(5 events)  $\leq$  10% for  $\omega\omega$ ) and marginalizing over longitudinal vs transverse fraction (f<sub>L</sub> = 0.88 is used as the nominal central value).

Brand new! To be

submitted to PRL

# B -> $X_s \gamma$ : Event Selection

- Reconstruct 16 exclusive modes to measure A<sub>CP</sub>; further 22 modes reconstructed to eliminate peaking background
- Two multivariate classifiers used:
  - Signal Selecting Classifier (SSC): based on signal properties. Factor 2 improvement compared to using ΔE alone.
  - Background Rejection Classifier (BRC): Based on event shapes.
  - Trained in four X<sub>s</sub> mass regions, selection criteria based on optimizing sqrt(S/S +B).

|                 | Decay Mode  |  |                                 |                   |            | Decay Mode  |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|-----------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|--|-----------------|--------|---------------|----------|--|
|                 | 1           | $B^+$ -                                      | $ ightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \gamma$ |                   |            | 9   | $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$ |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 2           | $B^+$ -                                      | $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \gamma$      |                   |            | $0  B^+ \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$       |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 3           | $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^- \gamma$                   |                                 |                   |            | 11 $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \gamma$ |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 4           | $4  B^+ \to K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$          |                                 |                   |            | 12 $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 5           | 5 $B^+ \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma$ |                                 |                   |            | 13 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta \gamma$              |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 6           | $6 \ B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$         |                                 |                   |            | 14 $B^0 \to K^+ \eta \pi^- \gamma$                |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 7           | 7 $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$   |                                 |                   | 1          | 15 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ \gamma$           |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
|                 | 8           | $B^{+}$ -                                    | $\rightarrow K_S^0 \pi$         | $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ | $\gamma 1$ | 6   | $B^0$                                  | $\rightarrow I$ | $K^+K$ | $K^-K^+\pi^-$ | $\gamma$ |  |
| 0.45ع           | E           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
| ۳ R             | Ē           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
| а<br>1.4<br>1.4 | E           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  | •••             |        |               |          |  |
| ട്ട്0.35        | È           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        | •             |          |  |
|                 | Ē           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        | • •           |          |  |
| 0.3             | Ē           |  |                                 | ••                |            |   |  | . •             | •      |               |          |  |
| 0.25            | È           |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
| • • •           | E           |  |                                 |                   | •          | •••   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
| 0.2             | E           | /  |                                 |                   | •          |   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |
| 0.15            | <u> </u>    |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  | • SSC           |        |               |          |  |
|                 | È.          | /  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 | 000    |               |          |  |
| 0.1             | =/          |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  | •               | ΔEN    | linimization  |          |  |
| 0.05            | <b>;</b> /- |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  | L               |        |               |          |  |
|                 | ŧ,          |  |                                 |                   |            |   |  |                 |        |               |          |  |

0.3

0.4

0.5

<sup>∼</sup> 0.6 Fake Rate

0.1

0.2

#### B+ -> X-I+I'+: Event Selection

- A multivariate discriminant (BDT) has been constructed to reject backgrounds.
- Event yields from ML fit to  $m_{ES}$ ,  $\Delta E$ , BDT, [K\*/p/D mass]



#### Hadronic and Semileptonic Tags

- Semileptonic B decays
  - $B \rightarrow D^* | v$
  - PRO: Higher efficiency  $\epsilon_{tag} \sim 1.5\%$
  - CON: more backgrounds, B momentum unmeasured
- Hadronic B decays with charm
  - −  $B+\rightarrow D^{(*)0}X^+$  or  $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)+}X^-$
  - X is a charged system of hadrons among  $(\pi, K, \pi^0, K_s)$  up to 5 charged particles and 2 neutrals
  - PRO: cleaner events, B momentum reconstructed
  - CON: smaller efficiency

$$\epsilon_{tag} \sim 0.15\%$$



#### Type-II 2HDM - connection with LHC

 $\tan\beta - m_{H^+}$  BABAR exclusion plot



# $\begin{array}{l} & \bigoplus \quad \mathsf{D}(^{\star}) \; \tau \; v: \; \textit{limits on Type-III 2HDM} \\ & \text{General spin-0} \\ & \text{interactions} \end{array} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[ (\overline{c} \gamma_{\mu} P_L b) \, (\overline{\tau} \gamma^{\mu} P_L \nu_{\tau}) + S_R (\overline{c} P_R b) \, (\overline{\tau} P_L \nu_{\tau}) + S_L (\overline{c} P_L b) \, (\overline{\tau} P_L \nu_{\tau}) \Big] \\ & \text{Impact on } \mathsf{R}(\mathsf{D}^{(\star)}): \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}(D) = \mathcal{R}(D)_{\text{SM}} + A'_D \operatorname{Re}(S_R + S_L) + B'_D |S_R + S_L|^2 \\ \mathcal{R}(D^{\star}) = \mathcal{R}(D^{\star})_{\text{SM}} + A'_{D^{\star}} \operatorname{Re}(S_R - S_L) + B'_{D^{\star}} |S_R - S_L|^2 \end{array}$

Corresponds to Type-II 2HDM case for  $S_L=0$ 

Crivellin, Greub, & Kokulu, arXiv:1206.2634 (2012); Datta et al, PRD 86, 034027 (2012)

