







Fermi observations of the jet photosphere in GRBs: interpretations and consequences

Magnus Axelsson Stockholm University and OKC

On behalf of the Fermi GBM and LAT teams

Rencontres du Vietnam, Quy Nhon, August 14, 2013









Bottom line:

The emission mechanisms for GRBs are still unclear, but *Fermi* observations show that the photosphere plays an important role.

The inclusion of the thermal component is the first step towards an understanding the physical origin of the prompt emission.

• *Fermi* provides evidence of subphotospheric heating (*Photosphere* \leftrightarrows *Planck function*)

We need time resolved spectroscopy!

GRBs: general properties

- Transient
- Very bright sources
- Observe ~I per day
- Isotropically distributed on sky
- Cosmological distance (highest z~9)

Two phases:

• The PROMPT phase: lasting ~ 100s mainly in the kev-MeV band;

• The AFTERGLOW phase lasting >3000s;



Very different light curves...

Two phases:

• The PROMPT phase: lasting ~ 100s mainly in the kev-MeV band;

• The AFTERGLOW phase lasting >3000s;





Very different light curves...

Two phases:

• The PROMPT phase: lasting ~ 100s mainly in the kev-MeV band;

• The AFTERGLOW phase lasting >3000s;





...but spectra quite similar.



Gravitational potential energy \rightarrow "Fireball"

(Mészáros 2006)

Should there be thermal emission in GRBs? 1986: Thermal emission from the fireball

Fireball model.

high optical depths

Variability >~10 ms **Cosmological distances** Observed Flux: $\sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-4} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Typical observed energy: <~ MeV

Strong thermal component expected ~I MeV and at 10¹² cm

Goodman (1986), Paczyński (1986), Thomson (1994) etc.

FIG. 1.-Solid line: energy distribution of the flux received by a distant observer at rest with respect to the center of mass of the fluid. The vertical scale in arbitrary units. (Dashed line): corresponding distribution for a blackbody at the initial temperature of the fluid.

Observed spectra are not Planck spectra

Optically thin **synchrotron emission** in internal shocks; jitter

radiation, IC

- Line of death
- shock acceleration
- efficiency of internal shocks

CGRO BATSE ERA (1994-2000) Photospheric emission in BATSE bursts

Spectra from temporally resolved pulses observed by BATSE over the energy range 20-2000 keV. Spectral fit: Black body combined with a power law: $N_{\rm E}(E,t) = A(t) \frac{E^2}{exp[E/kT(t)] - 1} + B(t) E^s$

CGRO BATSE ERA (1994-2000)

The spectral peak is due to a peaked thermal component. Behavior of the thermal component:

 $F(t) = A(t) \, [kT]^4 \, \pi^4 / 15$

Temperature Evolution kT

Evolution of the normalization, A(t)

CGRO BATSE ERA (1994-2000)

The spectral peak is due to a peaked thermal component. Behavior of the thermal component:

 $F(t) = A(t) \, [kT]^4 \, \pi^4 / 15$

Temperature Evolution kT

Evolution of the normalization, A(t)

Ryde & Pe'er 2009

Predictions for *Fermi* based on BATSE results Simulations using prelaunch models of the response: gtobsim

BATSE

Battelino, Ryde, Omodei, & Longo (2007)

Predictions for Fermi based on BATSE results Simulations using prelaunch models of the response: gtobsim GRB100724B

Photosphere in GRB100724B Guiriec+10

∍ermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope Limiting the band width to 8 keV - 1500 keV (Comparing the BATSE fits) CGRO BATSE fits of GRB981021 NaI 1000 Band model (Ryde & Pe'er 2009) -° Band Nu F_n (photons keV cm⁻² 100 L $(keV cm^{-2} s^{-1})$ 1000 Е Г 10 Sigma ь 100 1000 Energy (keV) Ene Times: 9.216: 13.312 s ۳. NAI_01 NaI 1000 NAILOO و 100 Nu F., (photons keV cm⁻² s⁻¹) BB+pl EFg[kev BB+pl model 100 1000 Sigma 100 1000 EGRET TASC data available $\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$ 100 Energy (keV) ۳, Ĩ E F g [keV

Photosphere in GRB100724B Guiriec+10

∍ermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope Limiting the band width to 8 keV - 1500 keV (Comparing the BATSE fits) CGRO BATSE fits of GRB981021 NaI 1000 Band model (Ryde & Pe'er 2009) -° Band Nu F_n (photons keV cm⁻² 100 L $(keV cm^{-2} s^{-1})$ 1000 Е Г 10 Sigma ь 100 1000 Energy (keV) Ene Times: 9.216: 13.312 s ۳. NAI_01 NaI 1000 NAILOO و 100 Nu F., (photons keV cm⁻² s⁻¹) BB+pl EFg[kev BB+pl model 100 1000 Sigma 100 1000 EGRET TASC data available $\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$ 100 Energy (keV) ۳, Ĩ E F g [keV

Photosphere in GRB100724B Guiriec+10

GRB 120323A

Time resolved spectra consist of two peaks, one at 30 keV and one at ~ MeV

Time resolved spectrum:

(Guiriec et al. 2012)

GRB 110721A

Time resolved spectra consist of two peaks, one at 100 keV and one at ~ MeV

GRB 110721A

Exceptionally high peak energy 15 MeV during initial time bin [-0.32:0 s]

GRB 110721A Significant temperature evolution

Gamma-ray pace Telescope

> Filled points: >5 σ detection of an extra (blackbody) component

Open points: ~ 3σ detection of an extra (blackbody) component

Grey points: higher time resolution gives lower significance in each bin. However the characteristic trend is confirmed.

Evolution different from E_p and normalization!

Comparison to BATSE analysis:

Observables give physical parameters of outflow

Luminosity, L₀

$$L_0 = 4\pi d_{\rm L}^2 Y F$$

Observables give physical parameters of outflow

Changing the shape of the thermal component

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

Photosphere in GRB090902B

Ryde et al. 2010

Photosphere in GRB090902B

Photosphere in GRB090902B

Possible explanation I

Idea: a heating mechanism below the photosphere modifies the Planck spectrum

Rees & Meszaros 2005

Internal shocks

(Peer, Meszaros, Rees 06, Toma+10, loka10)

- Magnetic reconnection (Giannions 06, 08)
- Weak / oblique shocks

(Lazzati, Morsonoi & Begelman 11, Ryde & Peer 11)

 Collisional dissipation (Beloborodov 10, Vurm, Beloborodov & Poutanen 11)

Image: Collision of the second second

Lazzati et al. 09 numerical simulation of jet propagation. See also Mizuta 11, Toma11 $=0.6 = 0.2 \ 6 = 0.3 \ 6 = 0.3$

Nymark et al. 2011, Pe'er et al. 2006

Emission from the photosphere is NOT seen as Planck !

Possible explanation 2 Geometrical broadening

Angle dependent photosphere

Photosphere in a relativistic explosion

Simulations show:

- Geometrical effects can produce broadening of the spectrum without introducing synchrotron photons
- For **narrow jets** $(\Theta_j \le \text{few}/\Gamma_0)$ broadening observed at any viewing angle
- For wider jets, broadening when observed at $\Theta_v \approx \Theta_i$

Lundman et al. 2012

Conclusions

The emission mechanisms for GRBs are still unclear, but Fermi observations show that the photosphere plays an important role

The inclusion of the blackbody is the first step towards an **understanding the physical origin** of the prompt emission: The Band function does not provide it.

The **addition of a photospheric component** improves the fit in many cases, and follows well-defined characteristics.

• The spectrum emerging from the photosphere does **not need to be a Planckian**. Several broadening mechanisms, e.g. subphotospheric dissipation or geometrical.

Thank you!

Analytical and Monte Carlo study of geometrical effects (Lundman et al. 2012)

Analytic model

- Considers last scattering positions of photons
- Local emissivity is given by the 'scattering density' attenuated by the optical depth

Monte Carlo simulation

- Tracks photon propagation within regions of varying electron density and Lorentz factor
- Full photon propagation below the photosphere, including Comptonization of photons

